

# CLASS VI PERMIT APPLICATION NARRATIVE

40 CFR 146.82(a)

STRATEGIC BIOFUELS
LOUISIANA GREEN FUELS, PORT OF COLUMBIA
FACILITY

Prepared By: GEOSTOCK SANDIA, LLC



Revision No. 0 February 2023

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

LIST OF TAB	BLES	5
LIST OF FIG	URES	7
LIST OF APP	PENDICES	. 11
1.0 PROJE	CT BACKGROUND AND CONTACT INFORMATION	13
1.1 Facil	ity Information	13
1.2 Proje	ect Goals	13
1.3 Partn	ners and Collaborators	15
1.4 Proje	ect Timeframe	16
1.5 Proje	ect CO <sub>2</sub> Details	16
2.0 Site Ch	naracterization	18
2.1 Regio	onal Geology	19
2.1.1	Regional Maps and Cross Sections	22
2.1.2	Regional Stratigraphy	22
2.1.3	Regional Structural Geology	41
2.1.4	Regional Groundwater Flow in the Injection Zone	43
2.2 Loca	l Geology of the Louisiana Green Fuels, Port of Columbia Facility	45
2.2.1	Data Sets Used for Site Evaluation	45
2.2.2	Local Stratigraphy	52
2.2.3	Local Structure	. 64

2.2.4	Absence of Faulting Within the Area of Review	67
2.3 De	escription of the Confining and Injection Zones	68
2.3.1	Confining and Containment Zones	69
2.3.2	Injection Zones	77
2.4 Ge	eomechanics and Petrophysics	83
2.4.1	Available Data Used for Analysis	84
2.4.2	Ductility	86
2.4.3	Stresses and Rock Mechanics	92
2.4.4	Pore Pressures	97
2.4.5	Calculated Fracture Gradient	100
2.5 Se	ismicity	103
2.5.1	Regional Seismic Activity	105
2.5.2	Seismic Risk of the Site	107
2.5.3	Induced Seismicity and Regional Case Studies	108
2.5.4	Seismic Risk Models	112
2.6 Hy	ydrogeology	118
2.6.1	Regional Hydrogeology	118
2.6.2	Local Hydrogeology	121
2.6.3	Determination of the Lowermost USDW	124
2.6.4	Local Water Usage	130

2.6.5	Water Wells and Data Sets	131
2.6.6	Injection Depth Waiver	132
2.7 Geo	ochemistry	132
2.7.1	Formation Brine Properties	133
2.7.2	Compatibility of the CO <sub>2</sub> with Subsurface Fluids and Minerals	142
2.7.3	Site Specific Geochemical Modeling	148
2.8 Ecc	onomic Geology of the Area	149
2.9 Sha	allow Surface Geology	150
2.10 Site	e Suitability Summary	151
3.0 AoR	and Corrective Action Plan	154
4.0 Finan	icial Responsibility	155
5.0 Inject	tion Well Construction	156
5.1 Pro	pposed Stimulation Program	156
5.2 Con	nstruction Details	157
5.2.1	Casing String Details	158
5.2.2	Tubing and Packer Details	159
5.2.3	Centralizers	160
5.2.4	Annular Fluid	161
5.2.5	Cement Details	162
5.3 Con	nstruction Procedures	162

	5.3.1	Proposed Drilling Procedure	. 162
	5.3.2	Proposed Completion Procedure	. 168
	5.3.3	Proposed Well Fluids Program	. 171
	5.3.4	Proposed Cementing Program	. 172
	5.3.5	Casing Cementing Equipment	. 174
	5.3.6	Well Logging, Coring, and Testing Program	. 176
6.0	Pre-O	perational Logging and testing	. 177
7.0	Well	Operation	. 178
8.0	Testir	ng and Monitoring	. 180
9.0	Inject	ion Well Plugging	. 182
10.0	Post i	njection Site Care (PISC) and Site Closure	. 183
11.0	Emer	gency and Remedial Response	. 184
12.0	Inject	ion Depth Waiver and Aquifer Exemption Expansion	. 185
13.0	Optio	nal Additional Project Information	. 186
14.0	.0 Other Relevant Information		
REF	ERENC	ES	. 190

# **LIST OF TABLES**

## <u>Section 2.0 – Site Characterization</u>

Table 2-1	Listing of Local Geologic Maps in Appendix A
Table 2-2	Confining Zone Properties of the Midway Shale, Austin Chalk, and Paluxy Formations
Table 2-3	Mineralogy of the Midway Shale, Austin Chalk, and Paluxy Confining Zones
Table 2-4	XRF Composition of the Midway Shale, Austin Chalk, and Paluxy Confining Zones and the Annona Sand Injection Zone
Table 2-5	Laboratory Analysis of Permeability and Porosity of Injection Zone $1$ – Annona Sand
Table 2-6	Mineralogy of the Injection Zones (Annona and Upper Tuscaloosa Formations)
Table 2-7	Laboratory Analysis of Permeability and Porosity of Injection Zone 2 – Upper Tuscaloosa Formation – Conventional Core Analyses Results from Stratum Reservoir
Table 2-8	Laboratory Analysis of Permeability and Porosity of Injection Zone 2 – Upper Tuscaloosa Formation – Rotary Sidewall Core Analysis Results from Stratum Reservoir
Table 2-9	Laboratory Rock Mechanical Testing Samples – Upper Tuscaloosa Injection Zone
Table 2-10	Tabulation of Geomechanical Rock Properties for Port of Columbia Site
Table 2-11	Fracture Gradient for the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation
Table 2-12	Tabulation of Seismic Events in and around Louisiana Since 1900 (search performed in September 2022)
Table 2-13	Critical Pressure to Induce Seismicity

Table 2-14	Tabulation of Water Wells Within the Delineated AOR	
Table 2-15	Formation Water Properties for 8 Samples Tested in the Laboratory by Stratum Reservoir in Houston	
Table 2-16	CO <sub>2</sub> Measured in Bottomhole Water Samples Tested in the Laboratory by Stratum Reservoir in Houston	
Section 4.0	- Financial Demonstration	
Table 4-1	Cost Estimates for Activities to be Covered by Financial Responsibility	
Section 5.0	- Injection Well Construction	
Table 5-1	Proposed Casing String Details	
Table 5-2	Proposed Injection Tubing Details	
Table 5-3	Proposed Well Fluids Program	
Table 5-4	Potable Water Casing Cement Program	
Table 5-5	Surface Casing Cement Program	
Table 5-6	Intermediate Casing Cement Program	
Table 5-7	Protection Casing Cement Program	
Section 7.0	- Well Operation	
Table 7-1	Proposed Operational Procedures – Annona Sand Injection Zone	
Table 7-2	Proposed Operational Procedures – Tuscaloosa Injection Zone (upper interval)	

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

## <u>Section 2.0 – Site Characterization</u>

Figure 2-1	Northern Louisiana Stratigraphic Column (modified from the Louisiana Geological Society)	
Figure 2-2	Composite Type Log of Electric Log, Geologic Layers for Port of Columbia site	
Figure 2-3	Schematic Northwest-Southeast Cross Section Showing Evolutionary Stages in the Formation of the Northern Gulf of Mexico and East Texas Basin (modified from Jackson and Galloway, 1984)	
Figure 2-4	Distribution of Cretaceous and Cenozoic continental margins in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico (modified from Jackson and Galloway, 1984)	
Figure 2-5	Location Map of Major Interior Structures and Salt Basins in the Northern Gulf of Mexico (modified after Mancini and Puckett, 2000)	
Figure 2-6	Principal Sediment Sources and Depositional Systems in the Northern Gulf of Mexico During the Late Oligocene (modified from Galloway et al., 2000) and Location of Salt Diapirs (modified from Ewing and Lopez, 1991; Lopez, 1995, Martin, 1980)	
Figure 2-7	Regional Cross Section (modified from Eversull, 1984)	
Figure 2-8	Olmos and Nacatoch Delta Systems in the Late Cretaceous Supplying Clastic Sediments to the Region (Ewing and Galloway, 2019)	
Figure 2-9	Regional Configuration and Thickness Trends of the Midway Group (Hosman, 1996 in USGS Report 1416)	
Figure 2-10	Regional Configuration and Thickness Trends of the Wilcox Group (Hosman, 1996 in USGS Report 1416)	
Figure 2-11	Regional Configuration and Thickness Trends of the Cane River Formation (Hosman, 1996 in USGS Report 1416)	

Figure 2-12 Regional Configuration and Thickness Trends of the Sparta Formation (Hosman, 1996 in USGS Report 1416) Figure 2-13 Regional Configuration and Thickness Trends of the Cook Mountain Formation (Hosman, 1996 in USGS Report 1416) Figure 2-14 Regional Configuration and Thickness Trends of the Cockfield Formation (Hosman, 1996 in USGS Report 1416) Figure 2-15 Regional Configuration and Thickness Trends of the Vicksburg and Jackson Group (Hosman, 1996 in USGS Report 1416) Figure 2-16 Regional Configuration and Thickness Trends of the Miocene Formation (Hosman, 1996 in USGS Report 1416) Regional Configuration and Thickness Trends of the Pliocene Formation Figure 2-17 (Hosman, 1996 in USGS Report 1416) Figure 2-18 Major Regional Geological Structures of Interest in North Louisiana Figure 2-19 Topographic Map of the Port of Columbia Injection Site Figure 2-20 Location maps of Stratigraphic Test Well (LA SN:975841) Figure 2-21 Thin section image from the Midway Shale (3,609 feet core (driller's) depth) in the Louisiana Green Fuels Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN975841) (magnification 50x) Figure 2-22 Mineralogy Ternary Diagram for Sealing formations encountered in the Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN975841) Figure 2-23 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) images of the cored shales in the Paluxy Formation Figure 2-24 Thin section image from the Paluxy Formation (5,900.5 feet core depth) in Louisiana Green Fuels Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN975841) (magnification 50x) Figure 2-25 Thin section image from the Austin Chalk (Eq.) in the Louisiana Green Fuels Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN975841) (magnification 50x).

Figure 2-26	Mineralogy Ternary Diagram for Injection Zones encountered in the Louisiana Green Fuels Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN975841)
Figure 2-27	Thin section image from the Annona Sand (4,177 feet) in the Louisiana Green Fuels Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN975841) (magnification 50x)
Figure 2-28	MICP Pore Throat Radius for the Annona Sand (4,154 feet and 4,177 feet)
Figure 2-29	Thin section image from sandstone layer in the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation $(5,070 \text{ feet core depth})$ in the Louisiana Green Fuels Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN975841) (magnification $50x$ )
Figure 2-30	MICP Pore Throat Radius for selected sandstones cored within the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation (samples taken at 5,070 feet, 5,314 feet, and 5,449 feet) in the Louisiana Green Fuels Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN975841)
Figure 2-31	Density Effects on Shale Ductility (from Hoshino et al. 1972)
Figure 2-32	Change in Density and Ductility of Shales with Increasing Depth (from Hoshino et al. 1972)
Figure 2-33	Three Different Creep Stages Illustrated on a Strain vs. Time Plot (modified from Brendsdal, 2017)
Figure 2-34	Schematic Diagram of Stress and Strain concepts (from Han, 2021)
Figure 2-35	Principal Horizontal Stresses along the Gulf Coast Region (Nicholson, 2012)
Figure 2-36	Formation Pressure Graph
Figure 2-37	Modified Mercalli Intensity (MMI) Scale (from USGS, 2022)
Figure 2-38	Seismic Risk Map (USGS, 2018)
Figure 2-39	Regional and Local Seismic Events in and around Louisiana since 1900. Data search compiled in September 2022 from the United States Geological Society (USGS) National Earthquake Database

Figure 2-40	Major Regional Aquifers and Aquifer Systems in Louisiana (from USGS, prepared for Louisiana Groundwater Resources Commission, 2009)
Figure 2-41	Hydrostratigraphic Column for the State of Louisiana from the United States Geological Survey. The red box outlines northern Louisiana where the Project of Interest is located
Figure 2-42	Regional Extent of the Sparta Aquifer System within the Mississippi Embayment systems (modified from USGS Fact Sheet 111-02, 2002)
Figure 2-43	Potentiometric Surface Map of the MRVA Aquifer (from McGuire et al., 2019)
Figure 2-44	Principal Aquifers of Louisiana (Louisiana Management of Water Resources, 2020)
Figure 2-45	Localized Hydrostratigraphic Column for the Project Area
Figure 2-46	Regional N-S Cross Section across Caldwell Parish (Prakken and White, 2014)
Figure 2-47	Potentiometric Surface of the Sparta Aquifer System in north-central Louisiana, March-April 2012 (from McGee and Brantly, 2015)
Figure 2-48	Resistivity Nomograph for NaCl Solutions (Sclumberger, 1979)
Figure 2-49	Graphic Solution of the SP Equation (Schlumberger, 1987)
Figure 2-50	Composite Log – USDW Exhibit
Figure 2-51	Location of water wells within the delineated AOR
Figure 2-52	Total water wells by depth within the delineated AOR
Figure 2-53	Active water wells by aquifer within the delineated AOR
Figure 2-54	Active water wells by usage within the delineated AOR

Figure 2-55 Formation Water Resistivity vs. Measured Depth for the Louisiana Green Fuels
No. 1 Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN975841)

## <u>Section 5.0 – Injection Well Construction</u>

Figure 5-2 Proposed Class VI Injection Well(s) Schematic

Figure 5-2 Proposed Wellhead Schematic

# **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A	Local Geologic Maps and Cross sections	
Appendix B	Core Analysis - Louisiana Green Fuels No. 1 Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN975841)	
Appendix C	Pressure Falloff Test Analysis - Louisiana Green Fuels No. 1 Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN975841)	
Appendix D	Stress Calculations on the Injection Well Tubulars	
Appendix E	Environmental Justice Reports	

# **INDEX OF SUBMITTED APPLICATION PLANS**

GSDT Module	Report Plan Name
Module A - Summary of Requirements	A.1 – Project Narrative
Module B – Area of Review & Corrective Action Plan	B.1 – Area of Review and Corrective Action Plan
Module C – Financial Demonstration	C.1 – Financial Demonstration Plan
Module D – Pre-Operational Testing	D.1 – Pre-Operation Testing Plan
	E.1 – Testing and Monitoring Plan
Module E – Project Plan Submission	E.2 – Injection Plugging Plan
	E.3 – Post-Injection Site Care and Site Closure Plan
	E.4 – Emergency and Remedial Response Plan

## 1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND AND CONTACT INFORMATION

#### 1.1 FACILITY INFORMATION

**Facility Name:** Louisiana Green Fuels, Port of Columbia Facility

Three Class VI Injection Wells

Facility Contact: Bob Meredith, COO

303 Wall St., Columbia, LA 71418

(318) 502-4053

bobmeredith@strategicbiofuels.com

**Well Locations:** Port of Columbia,

Caldwell Parish, Louisiana

Name: Latitude / Longitude

Well 1 (W-N1): 32.18812141510 / -92.10986101060

Well 2 (W-N2): 32.18686691570 / -92.05915551900

Well 3 (W-S2): 32.1639375970 / -92.08754320370

#### 1.2 PROJECT GOALS

The issuance of permits for Class VI wells is integral to the operation of a planned deeply carbon negative renewable fuel facility (or "bio-refinery") that will be powered with its own onsite generated green power, where the carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) from both the fuel production process and the power generation is captured and sequestered (CCS). Biomass waste materials from the forestry industry in northeastern Louisiana will provide the feedstocks for both the fuel production and the power generation.

The feedstock for the renewable fuel is Renewable Fuel Standard compliant pre-commercial thinning's from the region's sustainable, managed hundred-year-old plantation pine forest industry. Typically, 500 to 700 pine seedlings are planted per acre. These trees compete for

Page 14 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

sunlight, nutrients, and water. Over the 30-to-35-year crop cycle, several planned thinnings are

implemented by the plantation owner to reduce the number of trees and allow the remaining ones

to more quickly grow to sufficient diameter and height for harvesting and the subsequent

production of lumber and other forest products. All of the carbon in the trees was captured from

CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere via photosynthesis; thus, all the carbon that is reconstituted in the renewable

fuel and all the CO<sub>2</sub> that is captured and geologically sequestered from the refining operation

originated in the atmosphere.

The feedstock for the renewable power is predominantly sawmill waste. This waste consists of the

bark, chips, and sawdust that are the residuals from the harvesting of the fully grown pine trees.

The bark comprises the outer ten to fifteen percent of each pine tree and, together with the

accompanying wood chips and sawdust, may total up to 30% of the total mass of a tree. The

sawmill waste is fed into a wood-fired boiler to generate steam which is then used to generate

electricity in a steam turbine. Approximately 95% of the carbon dioxide produced by this power

generation is captured using an amine-based chemical process. As with the bio-refinery feedstock,

all the carbon in the sawmill waste was captured by the trees directly from the atmosphere, and all

the CO<sub>2</sub> captured and geologically sequestered from power generation operations originated in the

atmosphere.

The bio-refinery will produce about 32 million gallons per year of renewable fuel (87% diesel and

13% naphtha) from the forestry waste. The feedstock is converted via gasification and partial

oxidation to synthesis gas (carbon monoxide and hydrogen). Approximately 90% of the carbon

dioxide is removed from the gas stream using a chilled methanol process. The synthesis gas is then

converted to paraffinic oil and wax via the Fischer-Tropsch process, and those materials are

hydrocracked to the finished products.

A detailed life cycle analysis of the renewable fuel scored its carbon intensity (CI) at -294

gCO2e/MJ, or a 394% reduction in carbon footprint relative to fossil diesel at +100 CI. This is

expected to be the lowest carbon footprint renewable diesel fuel plant in the U.S., and likely the

world.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The project is located within Louisiana's Fifth US Congressional District, the seventh poorest congressional district in the country with an average household income of just \$36,000. The project site in Caldwell Parish has an even lower average household income of just \$32,000 per year. The project is expected to create 151 jobs at the facility site – sourced from the surrounding communities – with an average salary of about \$69,000 per year plus benefits.

It is estimated that the ongoing operation of the facility will create another 750 indirect jobs in the surrounding communities, which will benefit from the increased demand for goods and services. The project has an overall 31-month construction schedule employing an average of 635 workers with peak construction employment approaching 1,500 workers.

#### 1.3 PARTNERS AND COLLABORATORS

The Louisiana Green Fuels facility project at the Port of Columbia is being developed and sponsored by Strategic Biofuels LLC, sometimes referred to herein as the Applicant. Partners and collaborators in the project are the hundreds of private investors who have funded the project thus far and the world-class technical team assembled by Applicant that is actively designing and will build the facility. Among the project accomplishments as of the date of this application are (1) completion of the Class V stratigraphic test well and evaluation of the data collected to confirm geologic suitability for a carbon dioxide Sequestration Complex, (2) site acquisition for the planned renewable fuels facility from the Port and significant additional adjacent privately-owned real estate, (3) completion of the first two of three phases of engineering design of the facility and commencement of the third and final phase, (4) allocation thus far of \$450 million of tax-exempt bonds from the State of Louisiana with additional volumes pending, (5) initiation by the Port of Columbia of several infrastructure improvement projects at the Port site including re-building the primary access road and construction of a high capacity railroad spur, (6) completion of an Independent Engineer's Report confirming the technological and economic soundness of the project, (7) approval of the Louisiana Dept. of Transportation & Development for U.S. Highway interchanges and a railroad overpass, (8) pending approval from the Louisiana Dept. of Environmental Quality for a minor source Air Permit, (9) recognition by the leading consulting firm in the US that the Carbon Intensity of the project will be minus 294, making it the most deeply carbon-negative renewable liquid fuel in the world, (10) completed studies by two leading firms

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

in the US indicating the availability within the immediate proximity of the plant site of several

multiples of the needed forestry waste feedstock, (11) a pending \$1.6 billion DOE loan application

that is in the second stage of review, (12) enactment of favorable legislation, specific to the

Louisiana Green Fuels project, by the Louisiana state legislature and signed by the Governor of

Louisiana, significantly enhancing the project's ability to acquire the needed pore space for

sequestration in accordance with the drilling prohibition requirements of the California Air

Resources Board, (13) adjacent development of a biomass-fired green power plant fully dedicated

to servicing the bio-refinery, and (14) unanimous formal expression of project support by the local

government, including for the carbon sequestration component of the project, and the broader

voiced support of the community. These project milestones have been achieved with the input of

the leading industrial engineering design and construction firms in the world, pre-eminent

geotechnical experts in the region, the unqualified governmental support of the project at the local,

state and federal level, international financial firms, and the financial commitment and confidence

of hundreds of individual investors.

1.4 PROJECT TIMEFRAME

The Applicant expects to reach Final Investment Decision or FID in early 2024, signaling the

commencement of construction of the renewable fuels facility. Plant construction will require 30-

36 months with first operations with first fuel production and first CO<sub>2</sub> for injection and

sequestration in early 2027. Construction of the Sequestration Complex, including drilling,

completion, and evaluation of all Class VI wells, plus construction and installation of all carbon

capture components of the facility and CO<sub>2</sub> compression and pipeline transport to offsite wells,

will be accomplished simultaneously with facility construction.

1.5 PROJECT CO2 DETAILS

Approximately 1.36 million metric tons of carbon dioxide will be captured and geologically

sequestered from the combined fuel production refinery and its associated power generation plant

on an annual basis. The Applicant drilled a Class V Stratigraphic Test Well in 2021 about 1 mile

southeast of the proposed bio-refinery site and conducted a series of injection / flowback tests in

the proposed injection zones in the Stratigraphic Test Well, which was also extensively cored and

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Page 16 of 204

Revision Number: 0 Revision Date: February 2023 Module A – Project Information Tracking

logged. This substantial data acquisition contributed greatly to the accuracy of the Dynamic and Static Models used to model plume growth and migration.

Following detailed analysis and modeling, Applicant has determined that the best approach to sequestering the CO<sub>2</sub> to be generated by the facility would be to provide for the drilling of three Class VI injection wells and the conversion of the Stratigraphic Test Well and four additional legacy dry holes to monitor wells, a total of five (5) monitor wells to be completed within the injection zone. An additional shallow monitor well will be completed at a depth just above the confining layer. The injection wells will sequester CO<sub>2</sub> in the multiple sandstones of the Upper Tuscaloosa and Paluxy Formations. Modeling indicates that the injected CO<sub>2</sub> plume will reach the converted Stratigraphic Test Well (located in the midst of the three injection wells) within five (5) years, a second monitor well within twenty (20) years and a third monitor well during postinjection migration. The modeled plume does not reach the other two monitor wells (or any other wells that penetrate into the Injection Zones) within the Area of Review (AoR). The plan for managing the CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration process is to operate the three injection wells at injection pressures (and rates) far below their regulatory maximum allowable injection pressures. With that normal operating condition, when any one of the three wells is temporarily removed from service for maintenance, the other two wells together will have more than sufficient available injection pressure (and rate) capacity to absorb the volume of CO<sub>2</sub> from the well that is offline, maintaining full and undiminished CCS operations from both the bio-refinery and the power plant.

Page 18 of 204

The geologic suitability of a specific stratigraphic interval for the injection and confinement of

CO<sub>2</sub> is determined primarily by the following criteria:

• Lateral extent, thickness, interconnected porosity, permeability, and geo-

mechanical properties of the injection zone;

Lateral extent, thickness, minimal porosity, impermeability, and geo-mechanical

properties of the overlying confining zone;

• Hydrogeologic compatibility of the injected carbon dioxide with the rock formation

material and in-situ brine solutions;

Faulting or fracturing of the injection zone, overlying aquiclude, and confining

zone; and

Seismic risk.

These criteria can be evaluated based on the regional and local depositional and structural histories

of the geologic section.

In the following sections, the depositional and structural framework of the sedimentary column

(Figure 2-1) utilized for the sequestration of CO<sub>2</sub> for the project at the Louisiana Green Fuels, Port

of Columbia Facility in Caldwell Parish is outlined. Information is obtained from the regional and

local data interpretations and conclusions of the AoR study, and from the drilling and completion

of a Class V Stratigraphic Test Well in 2021, one mile southeast of the proposed bio-refinery site.

A type-log of the formations beneath the site using the log from the Test Well is included as Figure

2-2. The key regulatory intervals are reported in depths below ground elevation. Geologic maps

and cross sections illustrating the regional geology, hydrogeology, and the geologic structure of

the local area are provided per 40 CFR 146.82(a)(3)(vi) standard.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

### 2.1 REGIONAL GEOLOGY

In Figure 2-3, the series of cross-sections showing evolutionary stages in the development of the northern region of the Gulf of Mexico and East Texas Basin between the Lower Triassic and Lower Cretaceous periods provide the background knowledge necessary to understand the regional geological setting. The earliest record of sedimentation in the Gulf of Mexico Basin occurred during the Early Mesozoic, between 200 and 160 million years ago. At this time, the early phases of continental rifting resulted in the deposition of non-marine red beds and deltaic sediments (shales, siltstones, sandstones, and conglomerates) that comprised the Eagle Mills Formation in a series of restricted, graben fault-block basins. These sediments were subsequently overlain by a thick sequence of evaporites, predominantly halite, forming the Werner Anhydrite and Louann Salt, deposited during the Early to Middle Jurassic time.

The deposition of the Louann Salt was localized within major basins that were defined by the major structural elements in the Gulf Coast Basin. The clastic Norphlet Formation (consisting of thin shales overlain by arkosic sandstones and conglomerates, as well as thick eolian sandstones) overlies the Louann Salt and can exceed 1,000 feet thick in Mississippi and Alabama but thins westward to a sandstone and siltstone across southern Arkansas and northern Louisiana and into Texas. Norphlet conglomerates (granite wash) were deposited in coalescing alluvial fans adjacent to Appalachian sources and grade downdip into seif dune ergs and interdunal siltstone deposited across a broad desert plain (Mancini et al., 1985). Although the Norphlet Formation is essentially devoid of fossils, based on the dating of the overlying and underlying sequences, the Norphlet Formation is probably late Middle Jurassic (Callovian) in age (Todd and Mitchum, 1977).

The marine transgression that marked the encroachment of the Lower Smackover sea led to the subsequent deposition of the shallow-water carbonates, evaporites, and clastic rocks of the Smackover, Haynesville-Buckner, and Cotton Valley Formations, a series of progressively younger Jurassic rocks that were deposited atop the Norphlet Formation. Thick oolitic shoals and calcareous muds accumulated on a ramp-type shelf with thrombolitic buildups developed atop subtle topographic highs (Baria et al., 1982). A massive influx of terrigenous clastics in eastern Louisiana and Mississippi occurred during the deposition of the Haynesville Formation, diminishing westward into East Texas where the clastic facies (predominantly shale) grades

Page 20 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

laterally into the Gilmer Limestone. The top of the Cotton Valley Group, and the end of the

Jurassic, is demarcated at the Cretaceous / Jurassic unconformity atop which thick basal

conglomerates of the Lower Cretaceous Hosston / Travis Peak Formation were deposited. The

lengthy lower to middle Cretaceous period was a time of regional stability for the Gulf Coast area,

permitting the development of extensive, shelf-edge reef complexes (Baria et al., 1982).

During the Late Cretaceous (especially during the Laramide Orogeny, between 70 to 80 million

years ago), tectonism in the western and south-central United States as well as northern Mexico

resulted in the influx of terrigenous sands and muds into the Gulf of Mexico Basin that contributed

to the deposition of the Tuscaloosa Formation. A dramatic rise in sea level during Campanian

through Maastrichtian time gave rise to the deposition of thick pelagic chalks including the Austin

Chalk and Selma Chalk. Following the end of the Cretaceous, the rate of terrigenous sediment

influx was greater than the rate of basin subsidence in the Gulf of Mexico, resulting in the

significant progradation of the continental shelf margin (Figure 2-4).

The Late Cretaceous was also a time when large regional uplifts like the Sabine Uplift and Monroe

/ Sharkey Platform formed in the onshore Gulf Coast area, resulting in the formation of extensive

regional angular unconformities (Ewing, 2009). The late movement of the Sabine Uplift and

Monroe / Sharkey Platform profoundly impacted the sediments of the East Texas Salt Basin -

North Louisiana Salt Basin and the Interior Salt Basin of Mississippi, respectively (Figure 2-5).

The Mesozoic igneous activity of the onshore Northern Gulf of Mexico Basin, which peaked

during Campanian time, has been studied and discussed in several studies and local reports. The

largest volume of intrusive and extrusive rocks and the greatest compositional diversity in the

Northern Gulf of Mexico Basin has been attributed to the genesis and movement of the Monroe /

Sharkey Platform; at least four major igneous rock groups were defined so far atop that broad

uplift, including: i) intermediate rocks; ii) alkaline rocks; iii) basalts; and iv) lamprophyres (Ewing,

2009; Kidwell, 1951). There appears to be a direct correlation between regional upwarping and the

onset of igneous activity in the greater Monroe / Sharkey Platform area (Salvador, 1991; Kidwell,

1951).

During the Cenozoic era, the geometry of the deposition in the Gulf of Mexico Basin was primarily

controlled by the interaction of the following factors:

Page 21 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

1. Changes in the location and rates of sediment input, resulting in major shifts in the location

of areas of maximum sedimentation.

2. Changes in the relative position of sea level, resulting in the development of a series of

large-scale depositional cycles throughout Cenozoic time.

3. Diapiric intrusion of salt and shale in response to sediment loading and buoyancy.

4. Flexures and growth faults due to sediment loading and gravitational instability.

Early Tertiary sediments are thickest in the Rio Grande Embayment of Texas, reflecting the role

of the ancestral Rio Grande and Nueces Rivers as sediment sources to the Gulf of Mexico (Figure

2-6). By Oligocene time, the thickest sediment deposition had shifted to the northeast, suggesting

that the ancestral Colorado, Brazos, Sabine, and Mississippi Rivers were increasing in importance.

The onset of Miocene time is marked by an abrupt decrease in the amount of sediment supply

entering the Rio Grande Embayment, with a coincident increase in the rate of sediment supply in

southeast Texas, Louisiana, and Mississippi. Throughout the Pliocene and Pleistocene epochs,

large depocenters of sedimentation were deposited by the Mississippi River and developed

offshore of Louisiana and Texas.

Tertiary sediments accumulated to great thickness where the continental platform began to build

toward the Gulf of Mexico, beyond the underlying Mesozoic shelf margin. Rapid loading of sand

on water-saturated prodeltaic and continental slope muds resulted in contemporaneous listric

growth faulting (Loucks et al., 1986). The effect of this syndepositional faulting was a significant

expansion of the sedimentary section on the downthrown side of the faults. Sediment loading also

led to salt diapirism and the movement of allochthonous salt, with its associated faulting and

formation of large salt withdrawal basins (Galloway et al., 1982a).

The sediments of the Tertiary progradational wedges were deposited in continental, marginal

marine, nearshore marine, shelf, and basinal environments and present a complex depositional

system along the Texas Gulf Coast. Overlying the Tertiary progradational wedges along the Texas

Gulf Coast are the Pleistocene and Holocene sediments of the Quaternary Period. Pleistocene

sedimentation occurred during a period of complex glacial activity and corresponding sea level

Page 22 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

changes. As the glaciers made their final retreat, Holocene sediments were being deposited under

the influence of an irregular, but rising, sea level. Quaternary sedimentation along the Texas Gulf

Coast occurred in fluvial, marginal marine, and marine environments.

2.1.1 Regional Maps and Cross Sections

Following this brief summary of the principal tectonic and depositional events attributable to the

formation of the Gulf of Mexico and the filling of its associated basins, the regional geology of the

proposed sequestration site can be described in further detail.

The understanding of the regional geology for the proposed sequestration site is based upon the

detailed geological and geophysical mapping of the area, published reports, maps and cross

sections, and published regional studies. The data evaluated covers the Gulf Coast Region and the

State of Louisiana, with the focal area being the northeast sector of the State. The published

regional maps are contained as "Figures" referenced within their respective description sections.

A published north-south oriented regional cross section H-H' (Figure 2-7), from Eversull (1984),

illustrates the influence of the Monroe / Sharkey Platform uplift on its updip (left) side and the

increasingly thicker Mesozoic formations that expand in thickness as southern regional dip

increases towards the Gulf of Mexico.

2.1.2 Regional Stratigraphy

The general stratigraphy of the region is depicted on the Stratigraphic Column shown in Figure 2-

1. The regional stratigraphy is well documented and extensive throughout northern Louisiana. The

following sections only describe the regional formations that may be penetrated or consist of the

regulatory intervals at the Port of Columbia site. These formations are described in ascending

order, beginning with the Lower Cretaceous-aged Trinity Group.

For the proposed sequestration site in Caldwell Parish, the proposed injection intervals are the

sandstones of the Paluxy and Upper Tuscaloosa Formations and the Upper Cretaceous Annona

Sand (which is being held in reserve and not initially used). The regional upper confining unit is

defined as the Paleocene Midway Shale and the lower confining unit is defined as the Ferry Lake

Page 23 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Anhydrite of the Trinity Group. Details on the proposed confining and injection zones are further

discussed in Section 2.3.

2.1.2.1 Trinity Group

The Trinity Group is a lower Cretaceous-aged geologic group that extends from Mississippi to

New Mexico, and northwards into Oklahoma and Arkansas. It consists of facies varying from

fluvial sands to marginal marine limestones and shales to lagoonal evaporites that extend over the

interior portions of the northern Gulf Coast region.

The Ferry Lake Anhydrite is a geological unit that is comprised of alternating carbonate and

evaporite beds (predominantly anhydrite). It extends from east Texas through northern Louisiana

throughout southern Mississippi and southwestern Alabama, and into northwestern Florida. In

north Louisiana, the Ferry Lake Anhydrite is essentially truncated along an angular unconformity

on the southern flank of the Monroe Uplift. The evaporites were deposited in an arid back-reef

lagoonal environment, which preserved sufficient levels of calcium sulphate for the deposition of

extensive beds of gypsum (Forgotson, 1963). Over time, compaction, pressures, and temperatures

increased in the strata and the gypsum underwent dehydration to form anhydrite. The Ferry Lake

anhydrite internal facies are separated out by thin interbeds of limestone to mudstone, indicating

cyclical variations in climate. The anhydrite has been described in published literature as dense,

off-white, soft, and finely crystalline.

Overlying the anhydrite is the Mooringsport Formation, also known as the Rusk Member. The

terrigenous facies of the Mooringsport are comprised of dark grey shales, lenticular (channel)

sandstones, and some varicolored mudstones with red and white limestone nodules. As one moves

in a coastward (southwesterly) direction, the Mooringsport transitions to more marine facies, with

increasing volumes of calcareous shale (Devery, 1982). This transition from terrigenous

siliciclastics to a more marine environment is best observed in east Louisiana and southwest

Mississippi. The lack of depositional variation in the Mooringsport strata of northeast Texas and

northwest Louisiana suggests a calm, offshore neritic environment (Forgotson, 1963).

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

## 2.1.2.2 Washita Fredericksburg Group

In the western U.S. Gulf Coast region, the Washita / Fredericksburg Group generally consist of back-reef carbonates; however, further east the formations include a full range of laminated limestones, shales, mudstones, and sands (Nunnally and Fowler, 1954). Shallow-water, platform deposition occurred during Washita / Fredericksburg time over most of the northern Gulf Coast area. However, in northeastern Louisiana the Group is truncated by angular unconformities in the vicinity of the Monroe / Sharkey Platform (Granata, 1963).

The Paluxy Formation underlies the Washita / Fredericksburg Group and extends from northeast Texas, across Louisiana, to southern Mississippi. The Paluxy is a progradational unit associated with fluvial and marginal marine depositional environments (Caughey, 1977, Mancini and Puckett, 2000). The top of the Paluxy Formation is arbitrarily placed at the base of the lowest limestone of the Washita / Fredericksburg Group (Nunnally and Fowler, 1954). The Paluxy trends northwest and southeast through Caddo Parish and northern DeSoto Parish in Northern Louisiana. The end of Comanchean (Lower Cretaceous) time was characterized by uplift and erosion across east Texas, southern Arkansas, north Louisiana, and across into west-central portions of Mississippi (Granata, 1963). This massive period of erosion is manifested by the substantial UK/LK unconformity, where the basal Tuscaloosa Formation of the Upper Cretaceous truncates, in an updip succession, first the Washita / Fredericksburg Group, followed by the underlying Paluxy.

#### 2.1.2.3 Tuscaloosa Group

The period of Tuscaloosa deposition is characterized by a full transgressive / regressive depositional cycle that was initiated at the beginning of the Late Cretaceous (Pair, 2017) and ended with the marine transgression of the Campanian Austin Chalk equivalent. The Tuscaloosa is informally subdivided into three formations: the Upper Tuscaloosa, the Middle Tuscaloosa, and the Lower Tuscaloosa. In southern Mississippi and the north central – eastern Louisiana area, the Lower Tuscaloosa Formation unconformably overlies the Washita-Fredericksburg group at the UK/LK boundary. Regional differences in stratigraphic nomenclature have led to different names (Eutaw, Eagleford) having been assigned to portions of the Upper Tuscaloosa in different areas of the Gulf Coast; in addition, much of the sand-rich facies of the Upper Tuscaloosa grades laterally

Page 25 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

into what are called the upper shales of the Middle Tuscaloosa, and in the updip areas of Alabama,

Mississippi and Louisiana, the three units coalesce into an undifferentiated sand/shale sequence

that is simply referred to as the Tuscaloosa Formation.

In the northeast Louisiana area, this coalescence into one undifferentiated Tuscaloosa sand/shale

sequence occurs approximately ten miles north of the AoR.

2.1.2.3.1 Lower Tuscaloosa

In south/central Mississippi and east/central Louisiana, the Lower Tuscaloosa Formation

transitions from an updip terrigenous facies to a laterally equivalent, downdip marine facies (Berg

and Cook, 1968; Chasteen, 1983; Hearne and Lock, 1985; Stancliffe and Adams, 1986; Shirley,

1987). The Lower Tuscaloosa may then be further subdivided into two sections, from oldest to

youngest: the basal transgressive Massive Sand member, considered to represent a series of thick

braided stream sediments deposited atop the UK/LK unconformity; and the overlying Stringer

Sand interval, which includes several meander belt point-bar complexes that transitioning downdip

into deltaic / intertidal sediments. The Stringer Sand interval in the subject area is noteworthy for

its interfingering of such fluvial/deltaic and intertidal deposits in a near-shore environment.

The basal Lower Tuscaloosa Massive Sand, which was not deposited in northeastern Louisiana, is

comprised of a series of stacked massive quartzitic sandstones. Chert gravels / conglomerates are

commonly present at the base of the unit, associated with the onset of erosion and deposition at

the end of the Lower Cretaceous (Chasteen, 1983). The stacking of channel sandstones with basal

conglomerates is typical of a braided-stream environment. Regional isopach maps of the braided-

stream unit indicate a sheet-like geometry with thick sand areas corresponding to persistent

drainage patterns where major streams existed (Chasteen, 1983). The Massive Sand was deposited

in portions of south Alabama, south Mississippi, and southeast Louisiana, but as noted previously,

the unit was not deposited in northeast Louisiana; in that area, the lowest units of the younger

Stringer Sand interval are encountered atop the UK/LK unconformity.

The overlying Stringer Sand interval is comprised of three fluvial-deltaic / intertidal marine

depositional sequences. These Stringer Sand sequences are identified by industry as the Lower

Tuscaloosa "A", "B", and "C" Sands of south Mississippi, where they were first exploited. Later,

Page 26 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

as the Lower Tuscaloosa producing trend expanded into adjacent states, variations in the local

nomenclature were adopted in those states. In Alabama, the stratigraphic equivalent of the Lower

Tuscaloosa "A" Sand was called the Lower Tuscaloosa Pilot Sand; similarly, in east Louisiana,

the stratigraphic equivalent of the Lower Tuscaloosa "A" Sand was called the Lower Tuscaloosa

Stringer Sand, while the stratigraphic equivalents of the Lower Tuscaloosa "B" and "C" Sands

were called the Lower Tuscaloosa "Upper Basal Sand and "Lower Basal Sand", respectively.

Updip, the sandstones of the Stringer Sand interval consist of numerous fluvial meander-belt

systems that exhibit sinuous patterns on sand isolith maps (Devery, 1980). These fluvial sandstones

typically contain appreciable amounts of chlorite and kaolinite clay, contributed by the widespread

vulcanism occurring along the Monroe / Sharkey Platform at that time. As one progresses further

to the south / southwest, the fluvial depositional environment transitions to a tide-dominated

littoral setting, and in this marginal marine environment many of the intertidal sandstones exhibit

intense bioturbation. The chlorite and kaolinite clay winnows out and is replaced by varying

amounts of glauconite. In addition, cores and sample logs commonly record the presence of oysters

as solitary and bedded forms in calcareous shales, which would support a shallow-water marine

origin for the unit (Chasteen, 1983).

2.1.2.3.2 Middle Tuscaloosa Shale

A marine transgression caused by a global rise in sea level during the early Late Cretaceous

inundated the near-shore facies of the Lower Tuscaloosa Stringer Sand interval, leading to the

deposition of the Middle Tuscaloosa Shale (Vail et al., 1977; Stancliffe and Adams, 1986). The

Middle Tuscaloosa Shale is composed almost entirely of a grey to black, fissile, and sometimes

sandy shale that thickens and transitions downdip to a calcareous, brittle, organic-rich marine shale

(John et al., 1997). This downdip marine unit is commonly called the Tuscaloosa Marine Shale,

and it represents the inundated stage of the depositional cycle, otherwise known as the end

transgressive systems tract (John et al., 1997). The upper portion of the Middle Tuscaloosa Shale

is considered the time equivalent of the basal portion of the Upper Tuscaloosa, which grades

laterally into shale as one moves downdip.

Page 27 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The marine shales of the Tuscaloosa Marine Shale (TMS) unit contain a diverse assemblage of

macrofossils, including ammonites, gastropods, inoceramids, other bivalves, and a rich

assemblage of planktonic foraminifera and calcareous nannofossils typical of Cretaceous open-

shelf environments (Mancini et al., 1987). A microfauna analysis of TMS samples from Liberty

Field in Amite County, Mississippi indicates a vertical change from fauna dominated by the

agglutinated species Ammobaculites and Trochammina to those characterized by the calcareous

species Heterohelix and Lenticulina (Stancliffe and Adams, 1986). This faunal succession suggests

a transition over time from restricted marine to open marine neritic conditions for Middle and time-

equivalent Upper Tuscaloosa shales (Stancliffe and Adams, 1986).

The deposition of terrigenous shale within the Middle Tuscaloosa was confined to updip areas of

the northern Gulf of Mexico Basin, including the AoR (Chasteen, 1983). The TMS facies was not

deposited and is thus not present within the AoR.

2.1.2.3.3 Upper Tuscaloosa

The Upper Tuscaloosa Formation consists of interlaminated sandstones and shales deposited in an

alternating fluvial-deltaic / intertidal (near-shore) environment. Sandstones deposited in the

intertidal (near-shore) environment are typically glauconitic, fossiliferous, and intensely

bioturbated. Conversely, the Upper Tuscaloosa fluvial-deltaic sandstones are devoid of glauconite

and fossils, are not bioturbated, and typically exhibit more lenticularity. With an average thickness

of approximately 400 feet, the Upper Tuscaloosa is a southward thinning wedge of fluvial-deltaic

clastics which complements the northward thinning wedge of Middle Tuscaloosa Shale (Spooner,

1964) in lateral facies change previously described in this report. The Upper and Lower Tuscaloosa

coalesce into one undifferentiated clastic unit in central Mississippi prior to its outcrop along the

east flank of the Mississippi Embayment in extreme east Mississippi and west Alabama; similarly,

the Upper and Lower Tuscaloosa coalesce into one undifferentiated clastic unit in northern

Louisiana, but that unit is subcropped by the Midway Shale at the Cretaceous-Paleogene (KPg)

boundary (which is a major unconformity) along the crest of the Monroe / Sharkey Platform, about

10-15 miles north of the AoR.

Page 28 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023 Module A – Project Information Tracking

2.1.2.4 Eagle Ford Group

The Eagle Ford Group of Texas is generally considered to be the time-stratigraphic equivalent of

the Middle and Upper Tuscaloosa of east and north Louisiana. In Mississippi, the Eagle Ford is

considered to be the time-stratigraphic equivalent of the Eutaw Formation, which grades into

micaceous, calcareous, glauconitic, fine-grained sandstone near its updip marine margin (Mancini

et al., 1987). The interchangeable use of the term Eutaw Formation in Mississippi and Upper

Tuscaloosa Formation in north and east Louisiana is a confusing practice that generally describes

the same stratigraphic interval. Where present, the Eagle Ford Formation is interpreted to be a

truncated wedge of deep-water shale deposited out in front of the Middle / Upper Tuscaloosa shelf

margin. In these locations, the top of the Eagle Ford Group is an unconformity overlain by the

Austin Chalk, which was deposited in deeper water. In the Area of Review, the Eagle Ford Group

is interpreted to comprise the thin marine shale encountered beneath the base of the Austin Chalk

and above the top of the first Upper Tuscaloosa Sand.

2.1.2.5 Austin Group

The Upper Cretaceous-aged Austin Group is a thick layer of carbonate strata, predominantly

pelagic chalks, deposited across most of the northern perimeter of the Gulf of Mexico, extending

from east Texas to Mississippi to the panhandle of Florida and further offshore. In Texas, the group

is comprised of the Brownstone and Tokio Formations, which were deposited in a shallow marine

environment. In certain areas of regional uplift (Sabine Uplift; Monroe / Sharkey Platform), the

Austin Group as well as most of (if not all) of the entire Upper Cretaceous have been removed by

subsequent erosion (Adams and Carr, 2010), followed by the deposition of the thick Paleocene

Midway Shale.

Depositional environments across Louisiana transition from fluvial-deltaic to shallow marine, with

the latter exhibiting shoreface to barrier or beach complexes and marshes or tidal flats and

channels. Bioturbation, storm deposits, soft-sediment deformation, rip-up clasts, volcanic clasts,

and glauconite are all present (Clark, 1995). Vulcanism, common in the central Texas, northern

Louisiana, and west-central Mississippi area, contributed large volumes of volcaniclastics to the

Austin strata, especially in the form of thick water-lain, clay-rich volcaniclastic sediments.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The Austin Chalk interval ranges in thickness from 150 feet (updip) to 800 feet (downdip) and has

been generally subdivided into three main units: a lower chalk, a middle marl, and an upper chalk.

In south Louisiana, the upper and lower chalks contain less clay and are more micritic, and

therefore more brittle, leading to higher fracture densities (Hovorka and Nance, 1994). However,

in northeast Louisiana (including the Area of Review), proximity to the vulcanism associated with

the uplift of the Monroe / Sharkey Platform has contributed so much volcaniclastics that very few

"clean" carbonate layers exist in the Austin Chalk interval, which is otherwise predominantly

argillaceous, very ductile, and not prone to any substantive fracturing whatsoever.

The lower chalk is characterized as having thicker chalks that transition into thinly laminated

organic-rich marls. The marls contain pyrite and high organic content suggesting deposition in a

dysaerobic basin during a transgressive cycle. The thicker chalk units were most likely deposited

during highstand periods of the lower chalk depositional cycle. The middle marl contains

alternating layers of volcaniclastic clay and bioturbated chalk. The older strata thus appear to have

been deposited during a regressive phase while the younger units were deposited a transgressive

phase (Hovarka and Nance, 1994). Relative to the "cleaner" lower chalk, the middle marl facies

typically exhibit higher clay concentrations. The Austin Chalk interval also contains cyclic layers

of chalk and marl; however, they are less common. The upper chalk was deposited during a

highstand and trace fossil assemblages from the interval indicate normal marine waters (Hovarka

and Nance, 1994).

2.1.2.6 Taylor Group

The Late Cretaceous global rise in sea level reached its maximum extent in Maastrichtian time,

near the end of the Late Cretaceous. Much of the Gulf Coast (including most of Louisiana and

Mississippi) was inundated and remained below sea level through the end of Cretaceous time.

The Taylor Group is separated from the Austin Chalk by a regional disconformity at the base of

the unit. The Lower Taylor Group is comprised of calcareous claystone, and argillaceous

limestone, indicating deposition in a deepwater marine environment. Outcrops in Arkansas record

glauconite, shells, and phosphorite which are characteristic of a condensed zone. Though the sea

levels were relatively high, there were episodic, short-lived fluctuations in sea level. During such

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 29 of 204

Page 30 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

episodic, short-lived episodes where sea level fell, a renewed influx of sandy terrigenous sediment

in a shallow shelf and shoreface environment led to the deposition of glauconite-rich marine sands

such as the Annona Sand of the AoR (Galloway, 2008).

In the Ark-La-Tex area, including northern Louisiana, sedimentation took place on the submerged

Lower Cretaceous shelf during the Campanian. This time period included the deposition of several

chalk – rich layers (Ozan, Annona, and Marlbrook Formations) that comprise the Taylor Group

and are extensive throughout central and northern Louisiana. The Taylor Group transitions upward

into the Navarro Group with a gradation of chalks to marls, which corresponds with the episodic

changes of sea level during time of deposition. However, most of the Gulf Coast remained

inundated and below sea level through the end of Cretaceous time.

2.1.2.7 Navarro Groups

The Uppermost Cretaceous-aged Navarro Group overlies the Taylor Group and is bound at the

base by a maximum flooding surface, recording the end of a marine transgression, and bound at

the top by the highly significant KPg unconformity. During times of falling sea level, the Navarro

Group records a forward stepping progradational and shoaling event dominated by siliciclastic

material provided from the Olmos Delta and Nacatoch clastic system (Figure 2-8) in northeast

Texas, southwest Arkansas and northwest Louisiana. Lag deposits on the bounding erosional

surface consist of shell debris, fish, shark teeth, and mud clasts indicate deposition in a nearshore

to inner shelf paleoenvironment (Galloway, 2008). The Nacatoch delta and shore-zone system

provided a clastic pulse to northeast Texas, southwest Arkansas and northwest Louisiana areas,

while the larger Olmos delta prograded across the Rio Grande embayment from northern Mexico

(Galloway, 2008). However, these clastic pulses did not reach the northeast Louisiana area.

The Navarro Group extends through East Texas, Louisiana, and south Arkansas and contains

interbedded layers of sandstone, calcareous mudstone, chalks, and marls. In northeast Texas, from

oldest to youngest, the Navarro Group is comprised of the Neylandville Marl, the Nacatah Sand,

and the Kemp Clay Formation. The Neylandville Formation is a gray marl containing calcareous

sands that has a varying thickness of 50 to 400 feet. The Nacatoch Formation consists of massive

calcareous sandstones and mudstones, sourced from the East Texas Embayment, and can range in

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 31 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

thickness from 100 to 200 feet in East Texas, and 400 feet in south Arkansas (Esker, 1968; Adkins,

1933). The Kemp Clay Formation (the equivalent of the Arkadelphia Marl in Louisiana) is

characterized as greenish-gray silty calcareous mudstone that contain glauconite (Martin, 2014).

In Arkansas and Louisiana, the Navarro Group is subdivided, in ascending order, into the Saratoga

Chalk (in south Arkansas), the Nacatoch Sand, and the Arkadelphia Marl, which is the lateral

equivalent of the Selma Chalk of northeast-central Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama. The

Selma Chalk Formation is laterally extensive throughout northeast and central Louisiana and was

deposited in an epicontinental sea of varying water depths; lithologically, it consists of chalks,

marls, thin calcareous shales, and thin sandstone lenses. The Late Cretaceous Sea inundated most

of the Gulf Coast area during Maastrichtian time, with sedimentation and subsidence in near

equilibrium.

2.1.2.8 Midway Group

The Paleocene-aged Midway Group sediments were deposited atop the KPg boundary, a

significant unconformity, during the first major Tertiary regressive cycle. The Midway Shale is

regional in extent, thickening from the perimeter of the Gulf of Mexico towards its central area.

The Midway Group predominantly consists of a thick terrigenous clay member (the Porters Creek

clay) deposited atop a thin calcareous marl (the Clayton Formation) atop the KPg unconformity.

The faunal succession across the Paleogene / Upper Cretaceous boundary shows a sharp break in

both macro-fauna and micro-fauna types, making it possible to accurately determine the base of

the Paleogene (the KPg unconformity) in the Gulf Coast Basin (Rainwater, 1964a). At the

beginning of the Paleogene, a shallow epicontinental sea transgressed across most of the

Mississippi Embayment, resulting in the Clayton Formation ("Marl") being deposited in an open

marine environment. The Clayton Marl is generally less than 50 feet thick and is comprised of thin

marls, argillaceous chalk, and calcareous clays (Rainwater, 1964a).

As the Paleogene epicontinental sea receded within the Mississippi Embayment, the thick

terrigenous Porters Creek clay was deposited atop the Clayton Marl. The Porters Creek Formation

is composed mainly of massively bedded montmorillonitic clay. Open marine circulation was later

re-established in the Mississippi Embayment during the deposition of the shallow marine

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Matthews Landing Formation. The Matthews Landing Formation (or its equivalent) was deposited

above the Porters Creek clay in a shallow marine environment, and is composed primarily of

fossiliferous, glauconitic shales with minor sandstone beds (Rainwater, 1964a).

A major regression marks the deposition of the late Paleocene Naheola Formation that overlies the

Matthews Landing Formation. Uplift in the sediment source areas of the Rocky Mountains, Plains,

and Appalachian regions supplied an abundance of coarse-grained fluvial sediments for the first

time in the Tertiary. Sedimentation rates along the Gulf Coast exceeded subsidence rates and

produced the first major regressive cycle in the Tertiary. Fluvial environments dominated

throughout most of Naheola time. The Naheola Formation consists of alternating sand, silt, and

shale, with lignite interbeds near the top of the unit (Rainwater, 1964a).

The upper contact with the overlying Wilcox Group is gradational. Wood and Guervara (1981)

defined the top of the Midway as the base of the last Wilcox sand greater than 10 feet thick. In

outcrop, the Midway Group is subdivided into the Wills Point and Kincaid Formations (Wood and

Guevara, 1981).

The Midway Group comprises a very low-permeability hydrologic unit in the Area of Review that

is approximately 600 feet thick. The thick Midway Shale interval serves as a very effective

aquiclude, isolating the shallower Eocene aquifers from the deeper saline flow systems except,

perhaps, at fault zones and along the flanks of salt domes where vertical conduits for brine flow

may exist (Fogg and Kreitler, 1983).

In a regional published map from Hosman, 1996 (Figure 2-9) the Midway Shale continues to

thicken to greater than 2,000 feet towards the approximate center of the Gulf of Mexico at depths

exceeding 14,000 feet. Outcrops of the Midway Group in the Gulf Coast area occur in north-central

Alabama, southwestern Tennessee, and southeastern Arkansas.

2.1.2.9 Wilcox Group

The Wilcox Group, an upper Paleocene / Lower Eocene – aged sand/shale sequence, represents a

thick clastic succession that flanks the margin of the Gulf Coast Basin. This stratigraphic unit

contains stacked fluvial-deltaic channel-fill sand bodies distributed complexly in a matrix of lower

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 32 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

permeability inter-channel sands, silts, clays, and lignites. Most of the sands are distributed in a

dendritic pattern, indicating a predominately fluvial depositional environment (Fogg et al., 1983).

The clays of the underlying Midway Group grade upward into the fluvial and deltaic sediments of

the Wilcox, which are typically comprised of interbedded lenticular sandstone bodies, interbedded

shales, and lignites (Fogg and Kreitler, 1982).

The Wilcox Group is informally divided into the Lower, Middle, and Upper intervals. The semi-

regional Yoakum Shale divides the Upper and Middle Wilcox in Texas, while the Big Shale

Marker separates the Middle and Lower Wilcox strata further east. During Wilcox Group

deposition, the Laramide Orogeny displaced the Paleocene shelf eastward from the relict Lower

Cretaceous reef and formed Laramide uplands which sourced the majority of the sediment in Texas

(Galloway et al., 2000; Galloway et al., 2011). The East Texas Basin ceased to be a marine basin

during the Tertiary and Quaternary Periods, when major Eocene, Oligocene, Pliocene, and

Pleistocene depocenters shifted toward the Gulf of Mexico.

The thick sediments of the Lower Wilcox were transported via two ancestral fluvial-dominated

delta systems in the central Gulf, the Houston Delta and the Holly Springs Delta (Ewing and

Galloway, 2019). This represented a major Gulf Coast prograding delta system located primarily

in the ancestral Mississippi River synclinal trough that encompassed the Mississippi Embayment

of central Louisiana and southern Mississippi (Galloway, 1968). The Houston Delta, supplied by

a bed-load fluvial system, was the largest and was sand-dominated. East of the Houston Delta,

shore-zone facies deposits separated the Houston Delta from the smaller Holly Springs Delta

system. The Holly Springs Delta was the first Cenozoic Delta to be aligned with the axis of the

later Central Mississippi fluvial-delta system. The very high rate of sediment influx (150,000

km<sup>3</sup>/Ma) rapidly prograded the delta and shore-zone deposits towards the shelf edge, off-lapping

onto the continental slope (Galloway et al., 2000; Galloway et al., 2011).

Two transgressive events bound the Middle Wilcox interval at its base and top. The early

transgressive event deposited the Big Shale, and the later transgressive episode deposited the

Yoakum Shale. During Middle Wilcox deposition (Late Paleocene-Early Eocene), the LaSalle

wave-dominated delta and the fluvially-dominated Calvert delta supplied sufficient sediment to

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 33 of 204

Page 34 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

prograde the ancestral Gulf shelf (Galloway et al., 2000). Relative to the Lower Wilcox, the Middle

Wilcox sedimentation rate was roughly half (Galloway et al., 2000; Galloway et al., 2011).

During Upper Wilcox deposition, a wave-dominated delta in the southern axis of the Mississippi

Embayment prograded onto the central Gulf shelf. Reworking shifted the delta westward and

deposited shelf and shoreline sands covering the central Gulf of Mexico. An increase in the

carbonate content and glauconite content in the sediments of the upper Wilcox suggests an increase

in marine conditions compared to the middle and lower Wilcox intervals. An examination of

Wilcox hydrocarbon producing trends in Louisiana and Mississippi led Paulson (1972) to conclude

that the upper Wilcox is a transgressive sequence.

Figure 2-10 provides a published regional isopach and configuration map of the Wilcox Group

from Hosman, 1996 as presented in the USGS Report 1416. The composite thickness of the Wilcox

Group is about 3,000 feet in east-central Louisiana (Galloway, 1968) and thickens to the south and

can reach a maximum thickness of 4,000 feet (Lowry, 1988). Thickness trends mimic the perimeter

of the Mississippi Embayment in the northeast updip areas and thicken to the south and southwest

at the front of the Holly Springs Delta System.

2.1.2.10 Claiborne Group

The Claiborne Group in the Gulf Coastal Plain is widely considered to be a classic example of

strata produced by alternating marine-nonmarine depositional cycles (Hosman,1996). There are

multiple sand and shale units that have been identified across the region that comprise the

Claiborne Group. These are (in ascending order) the Cane River Formation, the Sparta Formation,

the Cook Mountain Formation, and the Cockfield Formation. These units are also the

hydrostratigraphic units for north central Louisiana (See Section 2.4 for details).

**Cane River Formation** 

The Cane River Formation represents the most extensive marine transgression to have occurred

during Claiborne time. In the central part of the Mississippi Embayment (Arkansas, Louisiana, and

Mississippi), the formation is composed of marine clays and shales. It is glauconitic and calcareous

in part, as well as, containing sandy clay, marl, and thin beds of fine sand. Well-developed sand

**Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels** 

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 35 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

bodies are found only around the margins of the Mississippi Embayment. Regionally, the sand

percentage decreases markedly to the south and southwest, so that in southeastern Arkansas,

southwestern Mississippi, and all of Louisiana, the Cane River Formation contains virtually no

sand. Along the flanks of the Mississippi embayment and over the Wiggins arch area the formation

is generally 200 to 350 feet thick (Payne, 1972), and its basal unit is known as the Tallahatta Marl.

The Cane River Formation ranges in thickness from 200 feet to 600 feet and deepens towards the

Gulf of Mexico. The Cane River is absent of the regional Sabine Uplift structure in the

northwestern part of Louisiana (Figure 2-11). In the northern Louisiana region, the Cane River

Formation acts as an additional regional aquitard isolating the overlying Sparta Aquifer from the

deeper brine-bearing sandstones of the Wilcox Formation.

**Sparta Formation** 

The Sparta Formation is one of the Gulf Coastal Plain's most recognized geologic units. Overlying

the Cane River Formation, the Sparta extends northward to the central part of the Mississippi

Embayment, where it is also known as the Kosciusko Sand. Deposited in a deltaic to shallow

marine environment, the thick sandstones of the Sparta Formation are comprised of fine to medium

- grained unconsolidated quartzitic sand that is ferruginous in places, occasionally forming

limonitic orthoquartzite ledges. The Sparta Formation ranges in thickness from less than 100 feet

at its outcrop outcrop to more than 1,000 feet near the southern axis of the Mississippi Embayment

(Hosman, 1996, Figure 2-12). The important Memphis Sand aquifer is the equivalent of the

Kosciusko (Sparta) Sand in southeastern Arkansas and southwestern Tennessee. Outcrops of the

Sparta Formation occur in north-central Louisiana along the perimeter of the Sabine Uplift

(considerably west of the Area of Review, in western Louisiana).

Cook Mountain Formation

The Cook Mountain Formation is predominantly a marine deposit that is present throughout the

Gulf Coastal Plain. It is generally less than 200 feet thick in the upper Mississippi Embayment but

thickens in southern Louisiana and Texas to a thickness in excess of 900 feet (Figure 2-13). Along

the central and Eastern Gulf Coastal Plain, the Cook Mountain Formation is composed of two

lithologic units. The lower unit is a glauconitic, calcareous, fossiliferous, sandy marl or limestone.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The upper unit consists of sandy carbonaceous shale than can be locally glauconitic. As the Cook

Mountain Formation thickens downdip, the carbonaceous shale facies of the upper unit gradually

increase as the predominant lithologic type.

**Cockfield Formation** 

Lithologically similar to the Wilcox Group, the Cockfield Formation is present throughout most

of the Gulf Coastal Plain, but less expansive in the interior than other units of the Claiborne Group

(Figure 2-14). It is composed of discontinuous and lenticular beds of lignitic to carbonaceous, fine

to medium quartz sand, silt, and clay. The lower part of the Cockfield Formation is generally

sandier than the upper part. The formation is non-marine in origin and represents the youngest

continental deposits of the Eocene Series in the Gulf Coastal Plain. The Cockfield is thickest in

the west-central part of Mississippi, with thicknesses ranging from 10 to 550 feet as it thins to the

east and southeast. It outcrops in the western portion of Caldwell Parish, adjacent to the AoR, as

well as along strike further to the southwest in Louisiana and south Texas and in central

Mississippi.

2.1.2.11 Jackson Group

The Eocene-aged Jackson Group was deposited during a regional transgressive episode which

flooded the Gulf and retracted the ancestral Fayette delta landward. This landward shift of the

Fayette delta reduced basinal sediment supply and disseminated clay-rich shelf deposits extending

from the Central Gulf to the Mississippi Embayment (Galloway et al., 2000). The Jackson Group

extends from Texas to western Alabama in the Gulf Coast. The northern and southern terrigenous

facies of the lower Jackson Group was formed as a destructional shelf facies by the reworking of

the upper surface of the Claiborne delta systems (Dockery, 1977). In Louisiana, the source material

was from deposits transported into the Mississippi Embayment.

With the transgressive / regressive shoreline movement and the decrease in terrigenous clastic

influx, the depositional environment transitioned to nearshore marine, leading to the deposition of

carbonates alternating with calcareous mudstones and clays. This transgression represents the most

recent maximum extent of sea level within the Mississippi Embayment. As a result, most of the

Jackson Group sediments are of marine or nearshore origin.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 36 of 204

Page 37 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The Moodys Branch Formation ("Marl") comprises the basal part of the Jackson Group and

consists of fossiliferous, glauconitic sands, calcareous clays, and some limestones (Dockery,

1977). Multiple Eocene-aged fossils specific to these deposition cycles are found within the

Moodys Branch Marl. Overlying the Moodys Branch Marl are the thick montmorillonitic clays of

the Yazoo Clay Formation. The Yazoo Clay is primarily argillaceous, containing thin

discontinuous sand lenses that are not regionally extensive. The clays have been described as

fossiliferous and highly calcareous. The formations of the Jackson Group, named for their

prominent outcrops in the greater Jackson, Mississippi area, are not present in the AoR.

2.1.2.12 Vicksburg Group

The Vicksburg Formation lies within the Tertiary depositional wedge of the Texas Gulf Coastal

Plain. Alluvial sands were funneled through broad valleys and grade seaward into deltaic sands

and shales, transitioning to prodeltaic siltstones and clays. These sediments were deposited during

periods of marine transgression, separated by thicker sections deposited during period of

regression in the early Oligocene. The shoreline advanced and retreated in response to both

changes in the rates of subsidence and sediment supply. Rapid downdip thickening occurs along

the syndepositional Vicksburg Flexure fault zone of south Texas, especially on the downthrown

sides of the listric faults that characterize that fault trend.

The contact between the Eocene-age Jackson Group and the Oligocene-aged Vicksburg group is

almost indistinguishable in most of the Gulf Coast. The lower part of the Vicksburg is marine and

the lithology changes between the two groups is based upon paleontological breaks, which are not

distinguishable on electric logs. For this reason, the Jackson-Vicksburg Group is combined as a

larger mega-group in most regional references. As was the case with the underlying Jackson

Group, the formations of the Vicksburg Group are not present within the AoR. The Jackson-

Vicksburg is mapped across the Gulf Coast region (Figure 2-15) showing that the strike of the unit

outcrops trends almost parallel with the current Gulf of Mexico coastline. The unit thickness of

the Vicksburg Group in Louisiana ranges from 200 feet thick in the southeastern part of the state

to 800 feet in the western Louisiana area.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

#### 2.1.2.13 Catahoula Formation

The Catahoula Formation consists of soft claystone containing lenticular beds of friable sandstone and siltstone (Paine and Meyerhoff, 1968). The two principal units of the Catahoula Formation are the Oligocene Frio Formation and the overlying Anahuac Shale. The deposition of the progradational Frio sedimentary wedge was initiated by a major fall in global sea level, with subsequent Frio sediments being deposited under the influence of a slowly rising sea level (Galloway et al., 1982b). The Frio Formation is thus comprised of a series of deltaic and marginal-marine sandstones and shales that represent the downdip equivalent of the continental Lower Catahoula Formation (Galloway et al., 1982b.) In southeast Texas and southwest Louisiana, a transgressive deepwater shale and sandstone unit referred to as the Hackberry Formation occurs in the middle part of the Frio Formation (Bornhauser, 1960; Paine, 1968). The Frio is regionally overlain by the Anahuac Formation, an onlapping, transgressive marine shale that occurs in the subsurface of Texas, Louisiana, and southwestern Mississippi (Galloway et al., 1982b).

In the updip depositional area of the Frio Formation, a time-equivalent Catahoula Formation facies that accumulated on the progradational continental platform was derived from the erosion of older Cockfield, Jackson, and Vicksburg sediments (Galloway et al., 1982b). Volcaniclastics are common in the sandstone composition of the Catahoula Formation and their presence reflects the nature of transport of such volcanic debris and the distance from the volcaniclastic source. East Texas / west Louisiana sample cuttings from the Catahoula typically contain heavy mineral assemblages including ultra-stable, polycyclic and igneous minerals such as zircon, sphene, tourmaline, staurolite, kyanite, apatite, rutile, sillimanite, and garnet (Ledger et al. 1984). South Texas sample cuttings often contain abundant hornblende, zircon, apatite, and biotite (Ledger et al., 1984). The Trans-Pecos volcanic area is the probable source for the volcaniclastic material found in the Catahoula Formation (Ledger et al., 1984) of Texas.

As sea level continued to rise during the late Oligocene, the underlying Frio progradational platform flooded. Wave-dominant reworking of sediments along the encroaching shoreline produced thick, time-transgressive blanket sands at the top of the Frio Formation and the base of the overlying Anahuac Formation. A transgressive Anahuac marine shale was deposited conformably atop the blanket sands throughout the Texas and Louisiana coastal region. The

Page 39 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Anahuac shale was deposited in an open-shelf environment and is typically composed of

calcareous marine shales with localized, lenticular, micritic limestone units. The Anahuac shale is

regional in extent, thickening from its inshore margin to nearly 2,000 feet of thickness in the

offshore sector of the Gulf of Mexico (Galloway et al., 1982b). The Catahoula Formation is not

present within the AoR.

2.1.2.14 Miocene-aged Formations

The Miocene strata of the Gulf Coastal Plain contain more transgressive-regressive cycles than

any other epoch. Rainwater (1964) has interpreted the Middle Miocene as a major delta-forming

interval comparable to the present-day Mississippi Delta system. The Middle Miocene is

representative of most of the entire Miocene interval, with only the depo-center location changing

in response to various transgressions and regressions. The result is a complex of deltaic sands,

silts, and clay deposits, restricted marine clays, and interbedded shallow neritic clays.

The Miocene sediments of the Fleming Group of Louisiana are equivalent to the Oakville and

Lagarto Formations of Texas, and to the Catahoula, Hattiesburg, and Pascagoula Formations of

south Mississippi.

Along the northeastern boundary of Texas, the Newton Fluvial System supplied sediment to the

Calcasieu Delta system of southeast Texas and southwest Louisiana. Sands of the Newton Fluvial

System are typically fine - medium-grained, with thick, vertically and laterally amalgamated sand

lithosome geometries typical of meander-belt fluvial systems (Galloway, 1985). Depositional

patterns within the Oakville Formation (lower Fleming equivalent) of southeast Texas exhibit

facies assemblages typical of a delta-fringing strand plain system (Galloway, 1985). The Calcasieu

Delta system is optimally developed in southeast Texas in the Lagarto Formation equivalent of the

upper Fleming Group. The delta system consists of stacked delta-front, coastal-barrier, and

interbedded delta-destructional shoreline sandstones that comprise the principal part of the delta

system, with interbedded prodelta mudstones and progradational sandy sequences deposited along

the distal margin of the delta (Galloway, 1985).

Per Hosman, 1996, the complexity and heterogeneity of the myriad of facies comprising the

Miocene strata preclude the correlation of regionally continuous horizons and have thus frustrated

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

attempts at regional differentiation. Much of the Miocene correlations in southern Louisiana rely

upon local nomenclature for the Miocene sands based upon the depth each such sand is

encountered in the subsurface at a particular location (i.e., a Miocene sandstone encountered at a

depth of 6,400 feet would typically be called the "6,400-Foot Sand"). For this reason, various

sandstones within the Fleming Formation may be locally mapped and understood on a local rather

than a regional basis. Figure 2-16 indicates the Miocene Formation outcrops in a strike-oriented

belt that begins in the general Rapides Parish area and continues along strike to the southwest into

Texas; the Miocene also crops out across most of south Mississippi and south Alabama but extends

to depths well below 8,000 feet along the southeastern portion of Louisiana and into the Gulf of

Mexico. The Miocene Formation is not present within the AoR.

2.1.2.15 Pliocene-aged Formations

Pliocene-age formations in Louisiana, although informally separated into upper and lower units,

are mostly undifferentiated and unnamed. Much of the Pliocene and younger sediments were

deposited offshore of the present coastline. Nearer shore, sediments were deposited under

predominantly fluvial-deltaic conditions and exist as a complex of channel sands, splays, and

overbank flood plain marsh deposits. Further south along the coastline in southern Plaquemines

Parish, the Pliocene section is approximately 6,000 feet thick (Everett et al., 1986). At the AoR,

Pliocene-aged formations are not present. See Figure 2-17 for the regional extent of the Pliocene

Formation.

2.1.2.16 Pleistocene and Holocene Formations

Pleistocene sediments were deposited during a period of fluctuating sea level and represent a

fluvial sequence of post-glacial erosion and deposition. The formations were deposited in both

fluvial and deltaic environments, and they thicken in a southeastward dip direction as well as along

strike toward the southwest. Pleistocene sediments thicken along the Texas / Louisiana border and

in a downdip direction where there was significant deposition along the downthrown sides of listric

growth faults during Pleistocene sea level lowstands (Aronow and Wesselman, 1971). The thickest

portions of the Pleistocene Formation are observed in the offshore sector of the Gulf of Mexico,

where the Pleistocene sediments are relatively shallow (~2,000 feet deep) and up to 5,000 feet

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003 Page 40 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

thick. Pleistocene sediments grade conformably into the overlying Holocene depositional units. At

the Area of Review, such Pleistocene-aged formations are not present.

With the retreat of the Pleistocene glaciers, sea level began a final irregular rise to its present-day

level, and Holocene sediments were deposited following the final retreat of glacial ice. The slow

rise of the Holocene sea level heralded the beginning of the recent geologic processes that have

created and shaped the present Texas and Louisiana coastal zone. During recent times, sediment

compaction, slow basin subsidence, and minor glacial fluctuations have resulted in relatively

insignificant changes in sea level. The coastal zone in Louisiana has evolved to its present

condition through the continuing processes of erosion, deposition, compaction, and subsidence.

The Holocene sediments in Caldwell Parish unconformably overlie the Eocene-aged Claiborne

Group, representing an extended period of time of non-deposition and erosion. Within the AoR,

such Holocene deposits are represented as a thin layer of alluvium at the surface, which are

typically 250 to 300 feet thick.

2.1.3 Regional Structural Geology

The interaction between sediment accumulation, gravity, and differential loading and compaction

has played a major part in the contemporaneous and post-depositional deformation of Tertiary

strata. However, the continental margins and deep ocean basin regions of the Gulf of Mexico

represent relatively stable areas (Foote et al., 1984). During the Late Triassic to Early Jurassic,

large volumes of eroded material were deposited in areas of regional subsidence, and isolated salt

basins formed where the Louann Salt thickened into those areas. Considering the regional

influence of the Mississippi Embayment, the sediments deposited within the Gulf Coast Basin

generally dip and thicken southward towards depocenters located in the Gulf of Mexico (Murray,

1957).

Structurally positive regions in the northern Louisiana area include the Sabine Uplift, the Monroe

/ Sharkey Platform (also known as the Monroe Uplift), the Richland-Caldwell Paleohigh (RCP),

and the LaSalle Arch (Figure 2-18). Structurally negative regions in the area include the North

Louisiana Salt Basin and the Interior Salt Basin of Mississippi, which are separated by the

Richland-Caldwell Paleohigh, a southwest-plunging Paleozoic horst block (Walkinshaw, 2020).

**Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels** 

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 41 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The RCP was an emergent structural ridge that formed during Late Paleozoic time; the Louann

Salt that fills the synclinal salt basins on either side of the RCP appears to have not been deposited

– or is very thin – atop the ridge, which appears to have been drowned during upper Cotton Valley

time. Because of the lack of Louann Salt atop the RCP (which trends through the AoR), differential

loading, salt movement and faulting is minimal in the subject area.

The Mississippi Interior Salt Basin is by far the largest interior extensional salt basin underlying

the onshore sector of the Gulf Coastal Plain. This extensional basin is associated with late Triassic

rifting linked with wrench faulting and was an actively subsiding depocenter throughout the

Mesozoic and into the Cenozoic. Figure 2-18 only shows the westernmost portion of the basin,

which is bounded to the west by the RCP. The Mississippi Interior Salt Basin covers most of south

Mississippi and southwest Alabama.

The North Louisiana Salt Basin is a roughly rectangular structural trough some 100 miles long and

30 to 50 miles wide, centered in Webster, Bienville, and Winn Parishes Louisiana. The basin is

situated between the Sabine and Monroe Uplifts and is located to the northwest of the proposed

sequestration site on the other side of the RCP.

The Monroe Uplift is a large domal structure that spans across northeastern Louisiana and extends

into southeastern Arkansas and western Mississippi. The core of the Uplift is inferred to be a large

horst block that began moving upward episodically during the Late Cretaceous and especially at

the end of the Cretaceous (i.e., immediately following the deposition of the Maastrichtian

Cretaceous strata), creating two significant angular unconformities: an exacerbated regional

erosional event that beveled off much of the Lower Cretaceous at the UK/LK boundary (in an off-

flank position), and a younger erosional event that truncated the entire Cretaceous and much of the

underlying Jurassic strata at the KPg boundary (Lower Paleocene time) in the crestal area near the

city of Monroe (Kose, 2013). Therefore, the Paleocene Midway Group is encountered atop the

KPg unconformity directly overlying Jurassic rocks as old as Cotton Valley. The Midway has

demonstrated its efficacy as a regional upper seal for all strata truncated beneath the KPg

unconformity, having trapped over 7 TCF of gas in the Monroe Gas Field atop the Uplift.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003 Page 42 of 204

Page 43 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The LaSalle Arch, a southerly extension of the RCP, also serves to divide the Mississippi and

Lousiana Salt Basins, and its core is also a relict Paleozoic horst block (Lawless and Hart, 1990).

The eastern limb of the Arch formed by regional tilting to the east and the western limb formed

from differential subsidence to the southwest. (Lawless and Hart, 1990). The northernmost extent

of this feature is in northern LaSalle Parish, approximately 15 miles southwest of the AoR. Unlike

the RCP, later movement caused Upper Cretaceous strata to thin over the crest of the LaSalle Arch.

2.1.4 Regional Groundwater Flow in the Injection Zone

Natural aquifer flows are well documented in shallow aquifers, but reliable data for deep, confined

aquifers has generally not been available. Many of the studies for flow rates in deep saline aquifers

were derived from the search for nuclear waste isolation sites. These studies show sluggish

circulation to nearly static conditions in the deep subsurface (Clark, 1987). Studies in other areas,

such as for the Mt. Simon Formation by Nealon (1982) and Clifford (1973), and the Frio Formation

on the Texas Gulf Coast by Kreitler et al. (1988), demonstrate the complexities of the problem and

the limitations of conventional hydrological methods.

Another issue pertains to the difference between strata exposed at a formation outcrop and the

equivalent-aged strata buried at depth in a given downdip area. For example, Late Cretaceous-aged

sediments outcrop in the northwest area of Alabama, along the broad eastern flanks of the

Mississippi Embayment; however, in most instances, the strata that outcrop represent the youngest

(uppermost) or coalesced portion of the exposed strata, not necessarily the stratigraphic unit that

is the subject of interest deeper in the subsurface. With regard to the Tuscaloosa, as described

earlier in this report, as one moves further updip the Lower Tuscaloosa Formation thins and has

coalesced with the Upper Tuscaloosa into one undifferentiated stratigraphic unit that eventually

crops out; accordingly, the Lower Tuscaloosa as a separate and distinct unit is not represented in

the northern extent of the coalesced Tuscaloosa outcrop in northwest Alabama.

A southern (downdip) direction of regional flow established for section is consistent with the

theory of deep basin flows and the physical mechanisms (topographic relief near outcrops and

deep basin compaction) identified as contributing to natural formation drift (Bethke et al, 1988;

Clark, 1988; Kreitler, 1986). Studies indicate the general directional flow of groundwater through

Page 44 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

a reservoir, as indicated by Kreitler et al. (1988), can be locally modified (changed) by the

production of a substantial volume of oil, gas, and formation brine. However, since the AoR is

largely devoid of production from the contemplated sequestration intervals (all of which are much

deeper than the Wilcox), any such local modifications to the general directional flow of

groundwater in the project site are unlikely. Lateral facies changes and sand pinch-outs are known

to have occurred in some of the targeted sequestration reservoirs in the general direction of the

recharge area (updip), therefore, background hydraulic gradients in those injection zones are likely

to be highly restricted.

While conservative estimates of regional background hydraulic gradients for Cretaceous-aged

sequestration reservoirs are not available, extrapolations of test measurements of Miocene-aged

sediments can be derived from previous studies and the results can be reasonably applied to the

Cretaceous-aged sequestration reservoirs underlying the proposed Caldwell Parish site.

Data published by Clifford (1973 and 1975), Slaughter (1981), and Bently (1983) provide

estimated natural hydraulic gradients from three Miocene aquifers that are approximately 3,000

feet deep. The natural horizontal hydraulic gradient in these aquifers ranged from 0.021 feet/yr. to

1.58 feet/yr., averaging 0.70 feet/yr. For deeper Frio (Oligocene) aquifers in the Texas Gulf Coast

area, within the depth range of approximately 6,000 feet below ground, the natural hydraulic

gradient is estimated to be much smaller and, as indicated by Kreitler et al. (1988), to move at only

a geologic time scale.

Clark (1988) found similar sluggish circulation in the Oligocene Frio Formation in the greater

Houston area, with annual groundwater velocities expected to measure in the few inches to a few

feet in scale. The original formation pressure gradient data for Class I wells completed in the Frio

Formation in the east Houston area substantiates the lack of a large hydraulic gradient within those

deeper sandstones. Original formation pressure gradients from injection wells in the Houston Ship

Channel area – the Sasol Plant Well No. 1 (WDW147), from the Lyondell Chemical Company,

Plant Well No. 1 (WDW148), and from the Equistar Plant Well No. 1 (WDW036) – are nearly

identical (+0.001 psi/feet). Therefore, based on this information, estimates for the horizontal

natural background reservoir velocity in the Injection Zones is placed at a few inches to feet per

year, in a downdip direction.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 45 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The actual value for the natural hydraulic gradients in the proposed Injection Zone units of the

Caldwell Parish site are expected to be less than 1.0 foot / year. There are no obvious natural sinks

for the formation fluid except in regions where salt domes are being dissolved, which is not

applicable to this project area. According to Miller (1989), flow due to dissolution of salt domes

is expected to be on the order of a few centimeters per year, or substantially less than 1.0 foot /

year, at distances greater than one mile from the source of dissolution. Therefore, the estimate of

1.0 foot / year in the southeasterly (downdip) direction for the natural hydraulic gradient near the

proposed sequestration site is believed to represent a very conservative estimate.

2.2 LOCAL GEOLOGY OF THE LOUISIANA GREEN FUELS, PORT OF COLUMBIA

**FACILITY** 

The Louisiana Green Fuels, Port of Columbia Facility is located approximately 5.5 miles north of

the town of Columbia (the parish seat of Caldwell Parish). The plant site, part of a larger 171

industrial parcel owned by the Columbia Port Commission, a political subdivision of the State of

Louisiana, is a Louisiana Economic Development Certified Site and is located on the eastern side

of the Ouachita River, adjacent to the Port of Columbia. Topographically, the region is relatively

flat with local relief of less than 15 feet at the project site, with surface elevations ranging from 52

feet above sea level at the Ouachita River to over 67 feet in the vicinity of the Facility (Figure 2-

19). The following sections detail the geology on a locally affected scale, specific to the delineated

AoR encompassing the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels, Port of Columbia Facility.

2.2.1 Data Sets Used for Site Evaluation

Multiple sets of geological and geophysical data were used to evaluate and characterize the

subsurface geology for the Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site. Data sets included existing

(legacy) offset well data, reprocessed 2D seismic data, regional geological literature derived from

multiple sources of academic and technical data, and the extensive site-specific data acquired

during the drilling and testing of the Whitetail Operators LLC, Louisiana Green Fuels No. 1 (La

SN: 975841) Stratigraphic Test Well, which occurred during April to June of 2021.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

# 2.2.1.1 Offset Well Logs

Open-hole well log data has been acquired for those oil and gas test wells located within a 100 square-mile area surrounding the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site. Formation tops were correlated across the area and used to develop subsurface structure and isopach maps, as well as structural and stratigraphic cross sections. The southern half of the map area encompasses several shallow gas fields, including the Riverton Gas Field, an abandoned field that produced methane from two lignite bed which are deposited stratigraphically in the lower Wilcox at an average depth of 2,800 feet subsea. While most of the Wilcox gas wells in Riverton Field bottomed in the upper 100 feet of the underlying Paleocene Midway Shale (the primary Upper Confining Zone, which averages 600 feet in thickness in the AoR), most of the shallow Wilcox test wells drilled outside of the Riverton Gas Field area within the AoR reached total depth in the lower Wilcox and did not penetrate the Midway Shale. Within the AoR, no oil or gas has been produced from formations deeper than the Wilcox Formation, and only a limited number of wells penetrated the entirety of the Upper Confining Zone in the immediate vicinity of the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site.

There are eighteen penetrations extending deeper than the top of the Selma Chalk (base of the Upper Confining Zone) in the 100 square-mile area that surrounds the plant site. This limited deeper well control includes a smaller subset of wells that penetrated the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation, which comprises most of the primary Injection Zone. These legacy wells were used to provide information on the lateral extent and continuity of the proposed Confining and Injection Zones. Well logs for the project were downloaded from the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources (LDNR) SONRIS (state agency database) and/or from commercial log libraries that market similar downloads of digital and raster well log data. Correlations of the formations and intervals of interest and the maps and cross sections were performed and prepared by Steve Walkinshaw (Louisiana Professional Geoscientist No. 65).

Published data for the formations of interest in the AoR are listed alphabetically in Section 14.0 - References. The sources of the published data include the American Association of Petroleum Geologists, the Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies, the United States Geological Survey, and various state agencies.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

#### 2.2.1.2 Seismic Data

In order to map the subsurface structure and investigate the presence or absence of faulting in the area, all of the commercially available (non-exclusive) seismic data available for licensing that crosses the AoR was reviewed (as of the date of this report, there is no three-dimensional (3D) seismic data across the area). Over the past 60 years, many two-dimensional (2D) seismic lines shot in the area have been acquired by various third parties for the purposes of oil and gas exploration; the number of 2D seismic lines that transect the AoR and are available for licensing was determined to be more than sufficient to provide good seismic coverage across the AoR. The 2D seismic lines that have been acquired were licensed from Seismic Exchange, Inc. The licensed 2D seismic data was quality-checked and determined to be of sufficient fold and quality to be utilized in a seismic interpretation.

Due to recent technological advances in processing and the vintage of the licensed 2D seismic data, the seismic lines were reprocessed prior to its interpretation. The principal objective of the reprocessing effort was to derive pre-stack time migration iterations of each of the 2D seismic lines. Time-depth control was initially provided by a composite time-depth table created and previously utilized in a neighboring area, which was subsequently supplanted by the Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP) survey acquired in the recently drilled Whitetail Operators LLC, Louisiana Green Fuels No. 1 (La SN: 975841) Stratigraphic Test Well. These sources of acoustic subsurface time-depth relationships proved to be sufficiently accurate for use within the AoR.

Eleven reprocessed 2D seismic data lines (Figure A.19 in Appendix A) was loaded into an S&P Kingdom workstation project using the NAD27 Louisiana North State Plane 1701 projection, which is the industry-standard State Plane cartesian projection utilized in North Louisiana. The Kingdom geophysical software utilized in the interpretation of the seismic data has been upgraded over time and, as of the date of this report, is Version 2022 HF1. Cultural and digital well data covering the AoR was acquired from a commercial source of such data and cross-checked for accuracy by comparing the digital cultural data with that shown on USGS topographic maps.

Minor two-way time mis-ties between certain 2D lines were corrected with bulk time shifts on an as-needed basis. Because of the relative lack of surface anomalies and only moderate subsurface

Page 48 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

dip rates, the 2D data was determined to tie fairly well at 2D line intersections. Interpretations of

the principal seismic events were made in the time domain; because the seismic data set consisted

entirely of 2D seismic data of several vintages, it was decided that the reprocessing of the data

would not include any attempt to derive pre-stack depth migration (PSDM) iterations. This

decision was further supported by the fact that the primary objective of the seismic mapping effort

was to map the subsurface structure of the principal seismic events in the AoR and to determine

the presence or absence of faulting in the zones of interest. After the interpretations of the seismic

data had been completed, grids of the two most important time horizons mapped within the AoR

(the top of the Upper Cretaceous Selma Chalk and the top of the Lower Cretaceous Ferry Lake

Anhydrite) were created. Gridding is a procedure used to mathematically interpolate a spatial

distribution of data samples to a specified series of grid nodes in the area illuminated by the seismic

data. For a given set of 2D seismic data, the perimeter of such grids closely conforms to the aerial

extent of the 2D data that is encompassed by each grid; as such, most gridded 2D seismic areas

are oval in shape and constrained by the geospatial distribution of the seismic data.

Within the AoR the most reliable time horizons mapped were the positive impedance events (peak

events, given the display of normal polarity, zero phase seismic data) generated at the top of the

Upper Cretaceous Selma Chalk and the top of the Lower Cretaceous Ferry Lake Anhydrite. The

peak event generated by the positive impedance contrast at the top of the Selma Chalk is especially

important because the top of this formation can also be interpreted to represent the base of the

Upper Confining Zone, the Midway Shale. The thin basal Paleocene Clayton Marl is an

argillaceous, calcareous unit typically deposited directly atop the KPg unconformity in

northeastern Louisiana and represents a thin layer of marine Paleocene strata that underlies the

Midway Shale and overlies the Selma Chalk. However, because of the thin nature and slower

velocity of the Clayton Marl, the peak event generated by the positive impedance contrast at the

top of the underlying Selma Chalk is considered to represent the seismic delineation of the base of

the Midway Shale.

The peak event generated by the positive impedance contrast at the top of the Ferry Lake Anhydrite

is another identifiable datum in the seismic data. This peak event is generated at the top of a thick

layer of evaporites that were deposited across the entire North Louisiana area during the Albian

Page 49 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Stage of the Lower Cretaceous. The Ferry Lake Anhydrite represents the ultimate bottom seal

(Lower Confining Zone) for all prospective sequestration zones of Lower Cretaceous age (i.e., of

Washita-Fredericksburg, Paluxy, or Mooringsport age) that might be targeted for sequestration

within the AoR.

Since the peak event generated by the positive impedance contrast at the top of the Upper

Cretaceous Selma Chalk represents the base of the Upper Confining Zone and the peak event

generated by the positive impedance contrast at the top of the Lower Cretaceous Ferry Lake

Anhydrite represents the top of the Lower Confining Zone, seismically mapping these two events

provides an excellent assessment of the structure and continuity (i.e., lack of faulting) of the entire

stratigraphic interval containing the proposed Injection Zones within the greater project area. On

dip-oriented 2D seismic lines, a visual observation of the two south-dipping peak events also

provides a clear understanding of the southward-expanding wedge of Cretaceous sediments that

include the proposed Injection Zone intervals.

With regard to the individual sandstone reservoirs proposed for sequestration within the principal

Upper Tuscaloosa and Paluxy Formations, those reservoirs are generally considered to be too

closely spaced in depth (and thus, 2-way time) within their respective interlaminated sand/shale

sequences to be individually resolved by either 2D or 3D seismic data. For this reason, the

proposed sequestration relies upon the interpretation of the 2D seismic grids derived from mapping

the base of the Upper Confining Zone and the top of the Lower Confining Zone to assess

subsurface structure and continuity, while subsurface geological mapping of the individual

sandstone reservoirs targeted for sequestration has been utilized to provide an in-depth analysis of

those zones of interest.

The optimal seismic interpretations that have been derived from the 2D licensed data across the

AoR are the seismic grids derived from mapping the base of the Upper Confining Zone and the

top of the Lower Confining Zone. These seismic grids have been included as Figures A.21 and

A.22, respectively.

Page 50 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

2.2.1.3 Stratigraphic Test Well

In the late Spring of 2021, the Whitetail Operators LLC, Louisiana Green Fuels No. 1 (La SN:

975841) Stratigraphic Test Well was drilled to acquire site-specific data in the vicinity of the

proposed Louisiana Green Fuels facility. The well is in Section 44, Township 14N, Range 4E

(Figure 2-20), which is approximately five miles north of the city of Columbia and approximately

1.1 miles from the planned location of the facility. Whitetail Operators LLC was the contract

operator that oversaw the drilling and completion of the well. The Stratigraphic Test Well was

drilled to a driller's depth of 6,200 feet and reached total depth in the upper Paluxy Formation.

The Stratigraphic Test Well was designed to be a dual-purpose well with the initial objective of

acquiring investigative geological and petrophysical data of the subsurface formations penetrated

by the well. Once that data had been collected, the second objective was to set casing to bottom

and perforate and test the proposed Cretaceous Injection Zones that were encountered. Later, it is

proposed that this well be converted to an in-zone monitoring well as part of the testing and

monitoring plan for the facility. Industry standard technology was used to characterize the geologic

formations encountered by the wellbore, and consisted of mudlogging (including wet and dry

sample collection); the cutting and retrieval of five whole cores cut across targeted confining and

injection zones; a robust open-hole well logging program, including the running of dipole sonic

(Sonic Scanner), combinable magnetic resonance (CMR), elemental capture spectroscopy (ECS),

and triaxial induction (Rt Scanner) tools; the acquisition of 22 formation pressures using the XPT

(pressure sampling) tool; the acquisition of 25 sidewall rotary cores, with excellent recoveries;

and, following the setting of casing to total depth, the acquisition of a zero-offset vertical seismic

profile (VSP) survey, followed by the injection testing of the Upper Cretaceous Annona Sand and

various sandstones and intervals within the Upper Tuscaloosa and upper Paluxy Formations.

Five 30-foot whole cores were acquired, along with 25 rotary sidewall cores, in the Stratigraphic

Test well. Three of the five whole cores were cut in confining layers, as follows:

Whole Core No. 1: cut in the lower Midway Shale from 3,600 ft to 3,630 ft (driller's depth). The

Midway Shale is the primary Upper Confining Zone.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Whole Core No. 2: cut in the Austin Chalk Equivalent from 4,690 ft to 4,720 ft (driller's depth).

While the targeted interval was cored, only 9 feet of the core was recovered (the only cored interval

with poor recovery; such poor recovery believed to have occurred because of the very argillaceous

lithology of the cored interval). Fortunately, the portion of the cored interval that was recovered

was the primary objective of this coring effort: the retrieval of a silty/sandy calcareous mudstone

that is believed to be representative of the laminated mudstone/marl lithology that typifies the very

argillaceous, alternating ductile/brittle nature of this important (but secondary / ancillary)

confining layer. In northeast Louisiana, proximity to vulcanism occurring during Austin time along

the Monroe / Sharkey Platform (just north of the AoR) led to the introduction of large volumes of

volcaniclastics to the sediments that were deposited, resulting in the deposition of such

argillaceous strata that differ markedly from the much "cleaner" chalks and micrites typical of the

equivalent Austin Chalk interval in south Louisiana.

Whole Core No. 5: cut in the Upper Paluxy Formation "red shale" sequence a short distance

beneath the base of the upper Paluxy "P-3" Sand. The targeted interval was a very hard and dense

red (terrigenous) shale known to exist in the area in the lower portion of the Upper Paluxy. Sample

cuttings transitioned to dense red shale just above 5,900 ft (driller's depth) in the Upper Paluxy, at

which point Core No. 5 was cut. Core recovery was excellent (29.2 feet recovered from 30 feet

cut). In addition to standard core analysis, portions of the core were subsequently analyzed for

vertical permeability. When drilling resumed below Core No. 5, two hard and dense limestones

were drilled, providing excellent evidence of the existence of more confining layers within the

Paluxy Formation.

Unfortunately, during the open-hole logging that followed, Schlumberger's Platform Express

AIT/LDT/CNL tool could not log below the base of Core No. 5 at a depth of 5,930 feet, where a

suspected bridge (obstruction) was encountered in the wellbore (however, several other logging

tools were subsequently able to get past the bridge and reach the total depth of the well). It should

be noted that all the Injection Zones and the Upper Confining Zone encountered in this well were

shallower in depth than the partial obstruction encountered at 5,930 feet in the subject well;

accordingly, a full suite of logs was acquired across the Upper Confining Zone and all the proposed

Injection Zones.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 51 of 204

Page 52 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The open-hole logging program was very comprehensive and established several baseline log

curve responses. In addition, during the injection testing, water samples were acquired from many

of the zones of interest and provides a good baseline for formation fluid salinity. Abundant baseline

reservoir pressure data was acquired in both open hole (via wireline XPT pressure measurements)

and cased hole (static and flowing bottomhole pressure measurements).

Open hole logs run in the Louisiana Green Fuels No. 1 Stratigraphic Test Well included the

AIT/LDT/CNL/GR, ECS, CMR, Rt Scanner, and Sonic Scanner (dipole sonic) logs. Following

the successful acquisition of that suite of logs, the XPT tool was then run in the hole, sampling

reservoir pressures in the primary Injection Zone the Upper Tuscaloosa, as well as the overlying

(reserved) Injection Zone, the Annona Sand.

Twenty-five rotary cores were then cut across various sandstone reservoirs in the Upper

Tuscaloosa and the overlying (reserved) sequestration zone, the Annona Sand, and subsequently

analyzed by Stratum Reservoir, which also analyzed the five whole cores cut in the well and the

sample cuttings collected across the Paluxy Formation. Finally, the zero-offset VSP was run in

cased hole prior to the perforation and testing of the Stratigraphic Test Well.

The injection testing conducted in the Stratigraphic Test Well aided in the determination of the

likely size of the available reservoirs (capacity), the maximum rate of supercritical carbon dioxide

injection (injectivity), and the projected expansion of the sequestered plume over time. With regard

to the projected plume expansion, the extensive whole coring, logging, rotary coring and sample

collection provided a wealth of reservoir data considered optimal for developing and adjusting the

Static and Dynamic Models that have been utilized in such projections.

2.2.2 Local Stratigraphy

The injection and confinement system present beneath the Louisiana Green Fuels site is comprised

of sediments that range in age from Lower Cretaceous (Ferry Lake Anhydrite – Lower Confining

Zone) to Paleocene (Midway Shale – Upper Confining Zone). Above the top of the Midway Shale,

a succession of progressively younger Cenozoic rocks has been deposited within the AoR. Recent

regional down warping and erosion has deposited Holocene-aged alluvium unconformably atop

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 53 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

the upper Eocene strata at an approximate depth of 250 feet subsea. The local stratigraphy is

established on a type log (Figure 2-2) and used as a basis for correlating with the offset well data.

The correlation of the logs run in the Stratigraphic Test Well with those of the legacy (pre-existing)

offset wells that penetrated the proposed Confining and Injection Zones facilitated a good

understanding of the local geology in the vicinity of the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels plant site.

Using these correlations, the following local stratigraphic formations have been evaluated:

• Lower Cretaceous Paluxy Formation

• Lower Cretaceous Washita-Fredericksburg Group

• Upper Cretaceous Tuscaloosa Group

• Upper Cretaceous Austin Chalk (Equivalent) Group

• Upper Cretaceous Selma / Annona Group

• Paleocene Midway Group (Upper Confining Zone)

• Paleocene – Lower Eocene Wilcox Formation

• (Upper) Eocene Claiborne Group

• Holocene Group (consisting of various near-surface undifferentiated alluvial sandstones)

The Upper Confining Zone, the impermeable Paleocene Midway Shale, averages 600 feet in gross

thickness across the area in the vicinity of Louisiana Green Fuels' proposed plant site. Underlying

the Midway Shale beneath the KPg unconformity is approximately 300 feet of impermeable, hard,

Upper Cretaceous Selma Chalk. In aggregate, the total thickness of the confining layers above the

top of the Annona Sand (the uppermost targeted Injection Zone) is approximately 900 feet. The

Annona Sand is proposed to be held in reserve and will not be perforated and added as an Injection

Zone until such time as it may be needed, later in the life of the project. Until such time that the

Annona Sand is perforated and utilized for sequestration (if ever), it should be noted that an

additional 700 feet of impermeable strata extends beneath the base of the Annona Sand to the top

of the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation, which contains most of the proposed Injection Zones. This

means that for much (and possibly all) of the operating life of the project, an aggregate thickness

Page 54 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

of ~1,600 feet of overlying impermeable rock will effectively confine the injected supercritical

CO2 as well as any other minor volumes of waste gases authorized to be simultaneously injected

into the proposed Injection Zones.

Since the Annona Sand is initially being held in reserve, all proposed injection operations are

projected to be confined between the subsurface depths of 4,300 feet (the approximate shallowest

depth of the top of the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation that would be perforated within the AoR) and

7,000 feet, the proposed total depth of the planned injection wells. There has been no production

of oil or gas from any of the Cretaceous intervals beneath the Midway Shale in the AoR.

Additionally, there are very few exploration wells that have been drilled deep enough beneath the

Midway Shale to encounter the Paluxy Formation, which harbors the deepest proposed Injection

Zones. The analyses of the data acquired in the Stratigraphic Test Well have been used as the basis

for defining the parameters used for modeling the sequestration and monitoring strategies for the

facility. The Stratigraphic Test Well, as described above, will be completed as a monitor well as

identified in the "E.1 - Testing and Monitoring Plan" contained in Module E.

The following discussion defines and briefly describes the formations that underlie the surface in

the project area, beginning with the Middle Eocene Sparta Formation and ending with the base of

the Ferry Lake Formation of the Lower Cretaceous. Maps referenced in this discussion are

contained in Appendix A – Local Geologic Maps (see Table 2-1).

Sparta Formation - In the northeastern portion of Caldwell Parish, including the Port of

Columbia area, the Middle Eocene Sparta Formation represents the deepest freshwater aquifer,

and the base of the Sparta has been designated by the LDNR to be the base of the USDW in

the area. However, it should be noted that the sands of the Sparta Formation are not currently

used as a source of drinking water in the AoR. The Sparta Formation is overlain in the AoR by

a thin veneer of upper Eocene (upper Claiborne) Cook Mountain and/or Cockfield sediments,

which in turn are unconformably overlain by the Holocene Mississippi River alluvial aquifer

(locally, the point bars and other fluvial deposits of the Ouachita River floodplain), which

represents the source of drinking water for communities located in the project area (extending

from the surface to an approximate depth of 250 feet). The thickness of the Sparta aquifer

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

locally ranges from 600 to 800 feet, and its confining units (where present) are the overlying

Cook Mountain and underlying Cane River Formations.

Cane River Formation (Base of the lowermost USDW), Figure A.1 - In north-central

Louisiana, the term Cane River is given to the predominantly shale unit underlying the basal

sandstone of the Sparta Formation (the base of which is the USDW). It ranges in thickness

from 100 to 200 feet in the project area. The impermeable middle Eocene/Lower Claiborne

Cane River Formation serves as the adjacent, underlying confining unit (aquitard) for the

Sparta Formation, which only contains fresh or brackish water in the northeastern area of

Caldwell Parish.

**Tallahatta Formation -** The middle Eocene / lower Claiborne Tallahatta Formation directly

overlies the Wilcox Formation and underlies the Cane River Formation (however, it is

stratigraphically considered part of the Cane River Formation in Texas). The Tallahatta

Formation is comprised of an interlaminated series of marls, hard quartzitic lenses, and

calcareous shales that are typically poor in porosity and very poor in permeability. The

Tallahatta ranges in thickness from 50 to 60 feet in the project area.

Wilcox Formation - The lower Eocene / upper Paleocene Wilcox Formation is comprised of

a thick series of sandstones, lignites, and shales that ranges in thickness from 1,300 feet in the

most northern part of the project area to over 1,900 feet in the southeasternmost part of the

project area. This significant change in isopachous thickness is attributable to both the

influence of the Monroe Uplift, to the north, and a general coastward (southern) downwarping

of the area during the Paleogene period. The depositional setting for the formation is

continental, with lignite beds generally increasing in number in the Lower Wilcox. Methane

generated from these lignites is believed to have been the source of gas that has been produced

from Wilcox sands as well as from the lignites themselves in adjacent coal bed methane fields

(e.g., Riverton Gas Field). Because of its thick sand/shale sequences, the Wilcox Formation is

considered to represent an interlaminated saline aquifer / shale baffle interval; the highly

porous nature of the numerous stacked Wilcox sandstones means that there are multiple

vertical brine-filled reservoirs separated by shale baffles that can absorb any extraneous

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

injectate that finds its way uphole, and effectively dissipate the pressure associated with any

such upward movement of fluids or gases.

Midway Group, Figures A.2 and A.3 - The Paleocene Midway Group separates the overlying

Wilcox Formation from the underlying Selma Chalk. Accordingly, it represents a significant

and highly effective regional confining unit. The Midway Group is approximately 600 feet

thick across the project area. The lower 50-100 feet of the unit is generally known as the

Clayton Marl in eastern Louisiana and southern Mississippi and consists of generally

impermeable calcareous shales and marls. The basal Paleocene Clayton Marl was deposited

unconformably atop the Upper Cretaceous Selma Chalk at the KPg boundary. The Porters

Creek Shale directly overlies the Clayton Marl and, as the principal member of the Midway

Group, typically consists of a thick, dense, gray-black terrigenous shale. This impermeable

terrigenous shale transitions in the uppermost portion of the Porters Creek / Midway Shale to

a slightly calcareous gray shale (Naheola equivalent). The 600 foot-thick Midway Shale serves

as a very effective Upper Confining Zone for the proposed sequestration project.

<u>Upper Cretaceous (Selma) Chalk Formation, Figure A.4</u> - The Maastrichtian-aged Upper

Cretaceous Chalk interval is the youngest Cretaceous formation, being located just beneath the

KPg boundary (unconformity). Within the AoR, the interval is comprised of a slightly sandy,

impermeable upper chalk member (the Selma Chalk), followed by a glauconitic sandstone, an

argillaceous middle chalk member, and a basal, more calcareous, argillaceous unit that is the

local equivalent of the Austin Chalk. The entire Top Selma - Base Austin Chalk Equivalent

interval ranges in thickness from 600 feet in the most northern part of the project area to over

1,200 feet in the southeastern most part of the AoR, a consequence of the regional influence of

the Monroe Uplift, centered approximately 20 miles north of the subject area, as well as the

general basinward expansion of the Cretaceous in the surrounding area.

Approximately 300 feet from the top of the Upper Cretaceous Chalk (i.e., the top of the Selma

Chalk member), a glauconitic, shallow marine sandstone locally named the Annona Sand" was

deposited. This generally porous and permeable sandstone, considered to be of Taylor age,

ranges in thickness from approximately 90 feet in the southeasternmost part of the AoR to less

than 20 feet in its northernmost area, pinching out entirely within the basal Selma Chalk a short

**Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels** 

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 57 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

distance north of the AoR. Aside from some thin lenses of discontinuous clastic porosity

erratically developed within the overlying Selma Chalk, the Annona Sand represents the only

porous reservoir developed within the Upper Cretaceous Chalk that exhibits substantive aerial

extent and reservoir volume. The Annona Sand represents an ancillary (reserved) proposed

Injection Zone for the sequestration protect. (Figures A.5 and A.6).

The argillaceous middle chalk (lower Taylor) member and the basal, more calcareous,

argillaceous unit that is the local equivalent of the Austin Chalk are noteworthy in that their

ductility has the appropriate characteristics (i.e., mechanical stratigraphy) to limit the upward

propagation of vertical fractures that might form beneath the base of the Austin Chalk

Equivalent interval. There are only a few thin, dense limestone developed within the Austin

Chalk interval in the AoR (one of which was cored in the Stratigraphic Test Well), and the

entire interval is very poor in porosity, interlaminated with argillaceous sediments, and

essentially impermeable. This means the entire argillaceous, ductile, interlaminated interval

beneath the base of the Annona Sand represents a substantive lower containment interval of

approximately 700 feet in thickness, consisting of multiple layers of impermeable strata

deposited directly atop the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation, the primary Injection Zone.

Upper Tuscaloosa Formation, Figures A.7, A.8. A.9)- The Upper Tuscaloosa Formation, the

primary Injection Zone for the proposed sequestration project, represents the regressive phase

of the greater Tuscaloosa depositional cycle. Most of the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation is

considered to be the stratigraphic (lateral facies) equivalent of the Eagleford Formation of

Texas. It averages ~640 feet in gross thickness across the project area. Generally speaking, the

Upper Tuscaloosa consists of gray-colored shales and some multicolored (red, green, and

purple) mudstones interlaminated with fine to coarse-grained sandstones and siltstones. In

north-central Louisiana, the Upper Tuscaloosa thins and transitions to a marine shale sequence

as one moves in a southeasterly direction; conversely, the older underlying Middle Tuscaloosa

Shale interval thickens in that same direction. Upper Tuscaloosa sandstones typically average

20% to 25% porosity in the north-central Louisiana area, with the best reservoir rock

approaching 30% porosity.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

For the purposes of this narrative, minor regional disagreements between geologists regarding

the correlation of the uppermost beds of the Upper Tuscaloosa to either those of the Eutaw

Formation (in southeast Louisiana and Mississippi) or the equivalent of the basal Austin (West

Louisiana / East Texas) Formation have been set aside in favor of the use of a simple correlative

electric log marker identified at the top of the first well-developed sandstone encountered

beneath the base of the Austin Chalk Equivalent in the AoR, which is a readily-correlated log

marker across the greater project area.

The sandstones and their interlaminated gray shales of the Upper Tuscaloosa become more

calcareous (marine) as one approaches the top of the unit. The uppermost sandstones of the

Upper Tuscaloosa are typically bioturbated, indicating a shallow marine / littoral depositional

environment. The base of the Upper Tuscaloosa (Figure A.7) is not as distinct but generally

conforms to the base of a correlative sandstone at the top of the Middle Tuscaloosa Formation,

which is generally represented by a thin shale in the AoR.

One of the reasons the correlative base of the Upper Tuscaloosa is not as distinct is the lateral

stratigraphic change that occurs in that interval as one progressively moves south of the project

area. The sandstones of the basal Upper Tuscaloosa interval are the first to transition laterally

into what are correlated to be Middle Tuscaloosa shales in south-central Louisiana. The Middle

Tuscaloosa shale interval grades from essentially nil thickness in northern Caldwell Parish and

southern Richland Parish area to over 500 feet in thickness in the Florida Parishes area of

southeast Louisiana.

Most of the apparent southward thickening of the Middle Tuscaloosa shale interval thus

represents the lateral facies change of the Upper Tuscaloosa as it grades from sandstones and

siltstones in northern Louisiana to Middle Tuscaloosa shales as one moves south across central

Louisiana. As these lateral facies changes occur, principally from the bottom up, the top of the

Middle Tuscaloosa shale appears to rise stratigraphically within the greater Tuscaloosa

interval. Because of its relatively uniform thickness (~640 feet; Figure A.9) and appreciable

content of coarse grained, highly porous and permeable clastics, the Upper Tuscaloosa

Formation represents an optimal Injection Zone for the sequestration project.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 58 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Dip-oriented stratigraphic cross sections indicate the Upper Tuscaloosa can be generally

viewed as three approximately 200-foot-thick intervals, each separated by a predominantly

shale interval that is typically 30 to 50 feet thick. The sandstones of the lowest (basal) third of

the unit exhibit the most lateral discontinuity, for the reasons stated above. The sandstones of

the middle third of the unit are more correlative, but still exhibit considerable lateral

discontinuity. As one approaches the upper 200 feet of the Upper Tuscaloosa (the upper third

of the interval), greater lateral continuity of the sandstone reservoirs is generally observed.

This culminates with the virtual sheet-sand geometry of the bi-lobed, reworked, shallow

marine sandstone encountered at the very top of the Upper Tuscaloosa in the project area; the

gross thickness of this sandstone can range as high as 60 feet.

Of the eleven legacy oil and gas test wells that were drilled deep enough to encounter all or a

portion of the Upper Tuscaloosa within the 100-square mile project area (prior to the drilling

of the Stratigraphic Test Well), only one density-neutron porosity log was run across the Upper

Tuscaloosa in the Bass Enterprises No. 1 Jack L. Keahy well (La SN:165305, located in Section

8-T14N-R4E).

Many of the legacy wells were drilled 60-80 years ago, prior to the advent of modern porosity

logging tools. For those wells drilled later, the open-hole log filing requirements of the State

of Louisiana required only the filing of an induction-electrical correlation log by the operator.

Some well files maintained by the State are missing even the required correlation log; in those

instances, every effort has been made to obtain at least a copy of the correlation log from

another data source (commercial data broker sites such as IHS Markit, TGS, etc.). That having

been noted, there are a few instances where the logs run across the Upper Tuscaloosa within

the project area well are simply not available. This rare absence of well log data for a particular

well is noted on the maps that are provided with this narrative; it should be noted that a

correlation log is available for the vast majority of the subject wells.

The updip limit of the Upper Tuscaloosa is characterized by the truncation of the interval to

the north of Caldwell Parish, in the Ouachita and Richland Parishes area. This truncation is

attributable to erosional events that represent significant unconformities associated locally with

episodic upward movement of the regional Monroe Uplift, centered approximately 20 miles

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 59 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

north of the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels plant site area. The older unconformity that impacts the Upper Tuscaloosa is that of the transgressing Selma Chalk Sea, which represents the youngest (Maastrichtian) unit of the Upper Cretaceous. Representing a period wherein global sea levels rose an estimated 400-500 feet in the North Louisiana area, the shallow Selma Chalk Sea drowned the area following a period of significant erosion that began in Maastrichtian time. The thin limestones and chalks of Selma age deposited atop this unconformity were later subjected to subaerial exposure and intensive leaching following the end of the Cretaceous. This leaching formed the porous "Gas Rock" facies of the Monroe Uplift, which hosts a large gas reservoir in the Monroe, Louisiana area. The porous Gas Rock facies of the Monroe Uplift does not extend southward to even the northernmost perimeter of the AoR.

The end of the Cretaceous is distinguished by a second, much more significant unconformity at the KPg boundary that resulted in the deposition of the Paleocene Clayton Marl and the overlying, thick Porters Creek member of the Midway Shale (the latter across almost all of the entire onshore U.S. Gulf Coast area). These vertically impermeable units of the Midway Group effectively served as an efficient vertical barrier to fluid and gas migration at the Cenozoic / Mesozoic unconformity. Twenty miles north of the AoR, at the broad crest of the Monroe Uplift (where even the Selma Chalk facies was removed by erosion), the deposition and compaction of the thick Paleocene Midway Shale interval effectively sealed all Mesozoic strata that had been uplifted and subjected to erosion at either (or both) unconformity surfaces.

The top seal for the Upper Tuscaloosa is best described as follows: in those areas south of the older Selma unconformity surface, the top seal consists of the impermeable shales, marls and calcareous beds (chalks and limestones) of the overlying Upper Cretaceous, especially that thick interval that extends from the base of the (basal Selma) Annona Sand to the base of the Austin Chalk equivalent, directly atop the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation. In those areas north (updip) of the older Selma unconformity surface, the impermeable marls and shales of the 600-foot-thick Paleocene Midway Shale (the base of which is atop the KPg Boundary) effectively form a very effective regional top seal for the entire Tuscaloosa interval, as well as all other Mesozoic strata truncated by the KPg Unconformity (or any other local angular unconformity).

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The effectiveness of this thick and effective top seal is demonstrated, for example, by the giant

accumulations of oil and gas trapped beneath the Midway Shale at Delhi and Monroe Fields in

the Morehouse, Ouachita, and Richland Parish areas, well north of the AoR in Caldwell Parish.

The adjacent parish that borders Caldwell Parish on its eastern side is Franklin Parish. Steve

Walkinshaw, the Louisiana Licensed Professional Geoscientist who contributed to this report,

was responsible for the drilling and evaluation of several Lower Tuscaloosa oil and gas test

wells drilled in the adjoining Franklin Parish over the past 15 years and has personally

supervised the drilling and logging of the Tuscaloosa interval in each of those wells. This

experience has provided insights into the petrophysical characteristics of the Upper Tuscaloosa

sandstone reservoirs targeted for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration within the AoR.

Notwithstanding the relative lack of porosity log data in the project area, a careful review of

the logs from the legacy Upper Tuscaloosa penetrations indicates the following general

empirical observations about reservoir porosity can be made:

1. On the electrical correlation logs for the oldest wells, typically good spontaneous potential

curve deflection appears associated with the optimally porous Upper Tuscaloosa sandstone

reservoirs encountered in those wells.

2. The limited range of investigation of older resistivity tools means that virtually all of the

older logged wells exhibit only the resistivity of the near-wellbore flushed/invaded zone,

which (given the fresh water native muds used to drill said wells) is of only limited utility

in petrophysical analysis. An improvement in the range of investigation of the resistivity

tools over time, as well as the use of generally better drilling fluids, reveals the existence

of certain zones within the Upper Tuscaloosa sandstones that harbor excellent porosity and

exhibit extremely low (less than 0.6 ohm-meter) resistivity. This correlation between high

porosity and very low resistivity, in a brine-filled environment, is logical and

straightforward and confirmed by the petrophysical analysis of the Bass No. 1 Keahy log

(La SN: 165305), where a density/neutron porosity log (run on limestone matrix) across

the Upper Tuscaloosa is available.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page **61** of **204** 

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Since the Bass No. 1 Keahy is the only legacy well penetration that has a good quality

density/neutron porosity log run across the Upper Tuscaloosa, it was employed for the

empirical quantification of the zones that exhibit optimal porosity in the other legacy wells

(that lacked such porosity log data). For the other legacy wellbores that penetrated the proposed

Injection Zones, an empirical derivation of each well's optimal zones of porosity has been made

and annotated on the cross-section exhibits included in this report see Figures A.13, A.14, and

A.15). Those empirically derived zones of optimal porosity are annotated with blue-colored

rectangular areas positioned either immediately left or right of the depth track for each legacy

well shown on its respective cross section. The drilling and subsequent logging of the

Stratigraphic Test Well later served to corroborate this empirical methodology and added

another valuable source of petrophysical data across the interval.

<u>Middle Tuscaloosa Formation</u> - Within the AoR, the Middle Tuscaloosa Formation is a thin

(60 to 100 feet thick) gray shale that thickens to the south because of the coastward expansion.

Additionally, the increase in formation thickness is also due to the lateral facies transition of

the overlying basal Upper Tuscaloosa sandstones and siltstones to their stratigraphic

equivalents that are shales virtually indistinguishable from their underlying Middle Tuscaloosa

counterparts. The shale of the Middle Tuscaloosa Formation is the first (ancillary) confining

layer beneath the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation.

Lower Tuscaloosa Formation - The thickness of the Lower Tuscaloosa Formation in the

project area is similar (60 to 100 feet). Two fluvial-deltaic sandstone systems - the Basal Sand

and the overlying Stringer Sand - were deposited within the Lower Tuscaloosa Formation in

the north-central Louisiana area. Because of their limited, lenticular, fluvial-deltaic

geomorphology, a well drilled in the area might encounter either sand, both sands, or no sand.

The Basal Sand is more prevalent in the project area and is typically deposited directly atop

the significant UK/LK Unconformity. Stringer and Basal sandstones of the Lower Tuscaloosa

contain appreciable volumes of chlorite and kaolinite and are very sensitive to drilling and

completion practices and fluids. Within the AoR, the percentage of chlorite deposited in the

Basal Sand can total over 9% of the total reservoir matrix; at those concentrations, the effective

permeability of the Basal Sand is severely impacted, with the chlorite clay occluding the pore

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 62 of 204

Page 63 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

throats. For this reason, the Lower Tuscaloosa Basal Sand is not considered to represent a

viable sequestration reservoir objective within the AoR.

Washita-Fredericksburg Formation - Throughout most of the AoR, the Washita member of

the Washita-Fredericksburg Formation is the first Lower Cretaceous formation encountered

directly beneath the UK/LK Unconformity. Prior to the deposition of the Upper Cretaceous,

the Lower Cretaceous strata in the area were exposed along a low angle angular unconformity

(at the UK/LK boundary) and subjected to substantial erosion. Consequently, the thickness of

the Washita-Fredericksburg interval is progressively reduced from over 1,200 feet in southwest

Mississippi and the Florida Parishes of the East Louisiana to a "zero" line (complete

truncation) demarcation that includes, among other areas, portions of the northern Caldwell

Parish area.

The Washita-Fredericksburg Formation is readily recognized on mudlogs and electrical logs

as a series of dark gray calcareous fossiliferous shales interbedded with typically thin gray or

brown dense crystalline limestones. Some thin fine-grained calcareous sandstones are also

present but are very limited in aerial extent, appearing to represent marine bars. Because of

their distinctive (highly resistive) log character, the limestones of the Washita-Fredericksburg

interval can often be correlated across a large geographic area, south of their truncation at the

UK/LK Unconformity. Where it is present – across an area that includes almost all of the AoR

- the Washita-Fredericksburg represents an excellent containment interval for both the Upper

Tuscaloosa and the underlying sandstones of the Paluxy Formation.

**Paluxy Formation, Figure A.10** - Like the overlying Washita-Fredericksburg Formation, the

Paluxy Formation was also exposed along a low-angle angular unconformity (at the UK/LK

boundary) and subjected to substantial erosion in the northeast Louisiana area. However, in

north-central Louisiana, the Paluxy Formation is considerably thicker than the Washita-

Fredericksburg Formation and is not fully truncated by the Selma and/or Midway

unconformities until it approaches the crest of the Monroe Uplift, approximately 20 miles north

of the project area. The top of the Paluxy Formation is generally noted on electrical logs and

mud logs as that sand and shale sequence that directly underlies the last (basal) limestone of

the Washita-Fredericksburg. Most of the sandstones encountered within the Paluxy Formation

Page 64 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

in the project area are lenticular channel sands with limited aerial extent. The Paluxy Formation

is typically 700 to 1,000 feet thick in the greater Caldwell Parish area.

**Mooringsport Formation** - The Mooringsport Formation underlies the Paluxy Formation and

typically consists of dense, calcareous, impermeable shales and limestones containing

lenticular sandstones that are similar in size and thickness to the channel sands encountered in

the overlying Paluxy Formation. In the project area, the Mooringsport Formation is

approximately 500 feet thick and represents another excellent (lower) containment interval

beneath the Paluxy. The top of the Mooringsport is generally encountered approximately 1,000

feet below the base of the Injection Zones in the AoR.

**Ferry Lake Formation** - The Ferry Lake Anhydrite Formation is well developed in the project

area and comprised of regionally extensive evaporites and tight carbonate facies. The

evaporitic beds of the Massive Ferry Lake Anhydrite are approximately 200 feet thick in the

Caldwell Parish area. Another evaporite layer, the 60 foot-thick Lower Ferry Lake Anhydrite

Stringer, is typically encountered approximately 80 feet beneath the base of the Massive

Anhydrite interval. It consists of approximately 30 feet of anhydrite and an equivalent

thickness of dense anhydrite limestone. The overall interval from the top of the Massive

Anhydrite to the base of the Lower Anhydrite Stringer is approximately 350 feet thick. The

Massive Anhydrite represents (on an impermeability basis) the most effective confining unit

in the north Louisiana area. The top of the formation is generally encountered approximately

1,600 feet below the base of the Upper Tuscaloosa Injection Zone in the AoR.

2.2.3 Local Structure

The Port of Columbia site is located on the southwestern flank of the Monroe Uplift, a regionally

large anticlinal structure that, as described previously, moved episodically upward, especially at

the end of the Cretaceous (Figure 2-18). The Monroe Uplift is centered in extreme northeastern

Louisiana, approximately 20 miles north of the project site, and is principally defined on the basis

of subsurface log data. It is bounded by the North Louisiana Salt Basin and the Mississippi Salt

Basin on the southwest and southeast sides, respectively (Ewing, 1991). The RCP, a Paleozoic

ridge that separates the two salt basins, was first described by Steve Walkinshaw in 2018 and its

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

presence was cited in a paper published in 2020 (Walkinshaw). The RCP underlies most of the

AoR and accounts for the thinning or non-deposition of the Permo-Triassic Louann Salt across

most of the greater Caldwell Parish area.

The upward movement of the Monroe Uplift is also associated with a period of Late Cretaceous

(Campanian) igneous activity. Igneous rocks have been encountered in nearly 100 boreholes

drilled across the Monroe Uplift, including granite, syenite, pyroxenite, dolerite, basalt, nepheline

syenite, phonolite, lamprophyres and pyroclastics<sup>1</sup>. The Monroe Uplift developed as a discrete

structural feature in Late Cretaceous time when regional upwarping resulted in the erosion of as

much as 3 kilometers of Mesozoic strata from the crest of the anticline. The upwarping associated

with the Monroe Uplift ceased at the end of the Cretaceous and the feature was subsequently buried

by the deposition of thick Paleogene sediments. In the AoR, normal sequential deposition of Upper

Cretaceous and Paleocene strata, albeit thinning to the north in the direction of the crest of the

Monroe Uplift, appears to have occurred.

Depending on the area of investigation, some of the uppermost Cretaceous strata in that area may

be absent (either not deposited or subsequently eroded by either the Selma-aged or KPg

unconformities). Taken in a regional context, the Monroe Uplift thus had an impact upon the

subsurface Mesozoic structure of the AoR, resulting generally in an increase in the angle of dip of

the uniformly dipping Mesozoic strata to the south-southwest.

Local structure maps prepared for the project and are contained in Appendix A include:

Top of Eocene Cane River/Base of Sparta (lowermost USDW) – Figure A.1

• Top of the Paleocene Midway Shale – Figure A.2

Top of the Upper Cretaceous Selma Chalk – Figure A.4

Top of the Upper Cretaceous Annona Sand – Figure A.5

<sup>1</sup> https://alkcarb.myrocks.info/node/1194

Page 66 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

• Top of the (Upper Cretaceous) Upper Tuscaloosa Formation – Figure A.7

• Top of the (Lower Cretaceous) Paluxy Formation – Figure A.10

Additionally, reprocessed 2D seismic data in the project area was licensed and evaluated for both

structure and the presence or absence of any faulting in the Cretaceous intervals shallower than the

Ferry Lake Anhydrite.

Gridded reprocessed 2D seismic time structure maps were prepared for the project and include the

following horizons:

• Top of the Ferry Lake Anhydrite Peak Event (2D Time Seismic Grid) – Figure A.11

• Top of the Upper Cretaceous Chalk Peak Event (2D Time Seismic Grid) – Figure A.12

The gridded 2D seismic time structure maps (Figure A.19) exhibit good conformance with the

subsurface structure maps. These interpretations indicate consistent south-southeast dip with

minor structural undulations that are typical for this area. It should be also noted that some of the

minor undulations are probably the result of minor inconsequential artifacts created by the

Kingdom 2D seismic gridding algorithms.

Most importantly, a detailed analysis of the reprocessed 2D seismic data indicates there is no

faulting within the AoR that impacts Cretaceous strata and could possibly create a potential CO<sub>2</sub>

injectate leak path or disrupt reservoir continuity and compartmentalize the proposed Injection

Zones (either the Annona Sand or the multiple sandstones of the Upper Tuscaloosa and upper

Paluxy Formations).

Additional structure maps are contained in Appendix A for

• Top of the Washita-Fredericksburg Formation – Figure A.16

• Base of the Paluxy "P-3" Sand (lowermost Injection Zone) – Figure A.17

The overall thickness of the composite Injection Interval is presented in Figure A.18.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

## 2.2.4 Absence of Faulting Within the Area of Review

Within the AoR, no faults, fracture systems, or anticlinal structures have been identified, as evidenced by the provision of two perpendicular (dip and strike – oriented) cross sections that transect the AoR. Cross section A-A' is displayed using both structural and stratigraphic datums (Figures A.13 and A.14 contained in Appendix A), while the cross section B-B' exhibit (Figure A.15) is a dip-oriented cross section that also includes a detailed wellbore schematic and annotated one-inch and five-inch Platform Express log presentations of the Stratigraphic Test Well, which is one of the wells featured on cross section B-B'. The cross sections also integrate, as sidebar exhibits, images of arbitrary 2D seismic lines created from the 2D seismic database that are designed to run as close to parallel as possible to the lines of cross section. These arbitrary 2D seismic lines confirm the absence of faulting along the correlative lines of cross section. The cross sections also serve to illustrate the thickness and continuity of the various confining zones, containment intervals, and sequestration zones that are the subject of this application.

Additionally, as evidenced by the structure and isopach maps prepared for the proposed sequestration site [40 CFR 146.82(a)(3)(ii)], there is no evidence of faults or fracture systems that extend upward into and impact the Cretaceous strata above the Ferry Lake Anhydrite within the 100 square-mile project area.

Based upon all the mapping performed, a diligent published literature search, and the interpretation of the site-specific seismic data, there is no faulting indicated in the project area that could potentially create a CO<sub>2</sub> injectate leak path or cut and compartmentalize the proposed Annona Sand Injection Zone No. 1 or the underlying Tuscaloosa/Paluxy Injection Zone No. 2. The Confining and Injection Zones within the AoR are all laterally continuous and free of transecting, transmissive faults or fractures that could possibly facilitate the upward movement of injectate fluids or gases from the Injection Zone into the USDW or freshwater aquifer.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

### 2.3 DESCRIPTION OF THE CONFINING AND INJECTION ZONES

This section contains the information on the confining and injection zones for the proposed sequestration site per the 40 CFR 146.82(a)(3)(iii) standard. Details pertaining to the formation characteristics, lateral and vertical extent, and mineralogy are identified for each zone of interest. Demonstration of security for injection includes a geologic containment demonstration and the absence of vertically transmissive faults that could form breaches of the containment system.

The Confining Zone is defined as "a geologic formation, group of formations, or part of a formation stratigraphically overlying the injection zone(s) that acts as barrier to fluid movement". For the Louisiana Green Fuels, Port of Columbia Facility AoR, the regionally extensive Paleocene Midway Shale has been identified as the primary Upper Confining Zone, located in the interval between 3,128 feet and 3,810 feet (measured log depths) based upon the Type Log (Figure 2-2) for the Stratigraphic Test Well. It should be noted that additional thick internal confining layers, consisting primarily of the Selma Chalk and Austin Chalk, occupy much of the stratigraphic interval separating the base of the Midway Shale from the primary Injection Zones. These containment intervals will act as additional barriers to vertical flow, providing an added measure of confinement. Mudstones and limestones of the Washita-Fredericksburg Group, as well as those of the underlying Paluxy Formation, will provide several excellent lower containment intervals. Further beneath the Paluxy Formation, the thick impermeable limestones of the Mooringsport represent yet another containment interval and the thick evaporites (anhydrites) of the Ferry Lake Anhydrite Formations represent an optimal and regionally extensive bottom seal (the Lower Confining Zone).

The Injection Zone is defined as "the geologic formation, group of formations, or part of a formation that is of sufficient areal extent, thickness, porosity, and permeability to receive carbon dioxide through a well or wells associated with a geologic sequestration project". Injection targets are identified as formations below a depth of 3,000 feet, which defines the top of the supercritical window for carbon dioxide sequestration. Three sequestration reservoir intervals, grouped within two Injection Zones, have been identified. From the shallowest to the deepest formation, they are as follows: (1) the Upper Cretaceous Annona Sand, a regionally extensive, bi-lobed glauconitic sandstone completely encased within impermeable Upper Cretaceous (UK) chalk strata between

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

4,144 feet and 4,182 feet; (2) the multiple sandstones of the UK Upper Tuscaloosa Formation

between 4,911 feet and 5,630 feet; and (3) the multiple sandstones of the Lower Cretaceous (LK)

Paluxy Formation, between 5,771 feet and 5,846 feet (all measured log depths based upon the

Type Log (Figure 2-2) for the Stratigraphic Test Well). Each of the proposed Injection Zones

contain the necessary characteristics to be effective sequestration reservoirs at the Louisiana Green

Fuels, Port of Columbia Facility and are located more than 3,000 feet below the lowermost aquifer

that meets the criteria for being a USDW (less than 10,000 mg/l total dissolves solids content),

which has been identified as the basal sandstones of the Sparta Formation.

With regard to the Paluxy Formation, additional potential sequestration reservoirs (comprised of

stratigraphically deeper, porous and permeable siliciclastic sandstones) have been identified in

adjacent deeper oil and gas test wells drilled within the AoR; these reservoirs will also be targeted

by the proposed injection wells, which are to be drilled to a total depth of 7,000 feet.

2.3.1 Confining and Containment Zones

Demonstration of security for injection includes a geologic containment with impermeable,

laterally extensive strata and the absence of vertically transmissive faults that could form breaches

of the containment system. In accordance with the EPA 40 CFR §148.21(b), the Confining Zone

is a laterally extensive layer that restricts the vertical flow of injectate due to sufficiently low

porosity and permeability. Within the Louisiana Green Fuels proposed site, the identified primary

Upper Confining Zone is the thick (600 foot) interval of terrigenous (Porters Creek) and calcareous

shales of the regionally extensive Midway Group.

In addition to the primary Upper Confining Zone, the upper 300 feet of the Selma Chalk and the

local stratigraphic equivalent of the Austin Chalk have been identified as additional upper

containment intervals that will also serve as effective barriers to potential vertical migration,

providing a further measure of safety. The Lower Cretaceous strata encountered beneath the

UK/LK unconformity also contain numerous containment intervals; the impermeable mudstones

and limestones of the Washita-Fredericksburg Group are encountered just below the unconformity

within the AoR, while interspersed and beneath the multiple sandstones (potential sequestration

reservoirs) of the Paluxy Formation, thick mudstones and limestones of the Lower Paluxy and

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 69 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Mooringsport Formations represent additional containment intervals, and beneath the

Mooringsport, the thick evaporites of the Ferry Lake Formation comprise a very effective Lower

Confining Zone.

Finally, in addition to the overlying Upper Confining Zone, the targeted sandstones of the Upper

Tuscaloosa and Paluxy Formations are interlayered with impermeable mudstones that are expected

to provide additional intraformational confinement for the individual sandstone layers.

Abundant site-specific core data was collected during the drilling and logging of the Stratigraphic

Test Well (La SN975841) and analyzed by Stratum Reservoir in Houston, Texas. Measurements

were performed to characterize the mineral composition and petrophysical characteristics of the

Midway Shale confining zone and the Austin Chalk Equivalent and Upper Paluxy Shale

containment intervals. Along with published literature data, this test data has been used to develop

model parameters and inputs for the sequestration site. The Upper and Lower Confining Zone

properties are presented in Table 2-2.

2.3.1.1 Upper Confining Zone – Midway Shale

Mudstones of the Midway Group provide the primary Upper Confining Zone for the Louisiana

Green Fuels, Port of Columbia project site. The Midway Group is a thick and predominantly

terrigenous (non-calcareous) clay unit, which on a regional basis might contain minor amounts of

sand (Barnes, 1965). However, in the project area, the matrix of the Midway Group is almost

completely shale. The top of the Midway Group is at approximately 3,128 feet (measured log

depths based upon the Type Log (Figure 2-2) for the Stratigraphic Test Well) and is approximately

600 to 650 feet thick (Figure A.3 in Appendix A). The Midway Group underlies the Paleocene-

Eocene Wilcox Formation, creating a regionally extensive seal that extends across all north Louisiana.

In the greater Caldwell Parish area, the thick shales of the Midway Group are neither faulted nor

fractured. Accordingly, the Midway Group has sufficient areal extent, thickness, and integrity to

confine the proposed supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> or other injectates.

A conventional whole core was obtained from the Midway Group over the depth range of 3,600

feet to 3,628.75 feet during the drilling of the Stratigraphic Test Well. Although 28 feet of core

was successfully recovered, the suitability of the core for sampling (i.e., the cutting of suitable core

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 70 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

plugs) for laboratory measurements was compromised by the presence of the swelling clays

(mostly smectites) that comprise the predominant clay type. This lithology caused the Midway

Shale core to degrade somewhat during the retrieval operations despite significant precautions

made to decrease potential swelling (i.e., low water loss drilling fluid, slow retrieval, etc.). Three

plug samples were obtained from the whole core to determine near-site specific parameters for the

primary Upper Confining Zone and are included in Table 2-2.

The analysis of whole core samples acquired from the lower Midway Group (in Core No. 1) was

augmented by the supplemental petrophysical data provided by the acquisition of the open hole

logs run across the Midway Shale in the Stratigraphic Test Well and older offset wells within the

surrounding area, which serve to characterize the well-established sealing and confinement

properties of the Midway Group. Data from whole cores to be acquired during the drilling of the

proposed injection wells will provide additional physical data to further characterize the sealing

and confinement properties of the Midway Group.

2.3.1.1.1 Formation Characteristics

The core acquired from the Porters Creek member of the Midway Group consists almost entirely

of dark gray mudstone that is silty in part. This lower Midway mudstone lithofacies is dominantly

massive, but vague, faint planar to wavy laminations are also present. Several thin, very fine-

grained sandstone planar to wavy laminations occur in the core. Other features observed from the

whole core include soft sediment deformation structures, flame structures, phosphatic nodules, and

*Planolites* and *Skolithos* burrow traces. These observations support the interpretation of the lower

Midway stratigraphic equivalent as having been deposited in a marginal marine environment

dominated by the accumulation of fine-grained, terrigenous sediment. As one progresses upward

through the Midway Shale, the mudstone facies grades into a more terrigenous (non-marine)

facies.

Permeability - especially vertical permeability - is a crucially important consideration for

assessing the suitability of a geologic formation to prevent vertical fluid migration. The matrix

permeability of the lower Midway Group mudstones was determined using crushed rock analysis.

As expected, the matrix permeabilities measured for the lower Midway Group samples were

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 71 of 204

Page 72 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

extremely low, ranging from 0.0000262 to 0.0000977 mD (Table 2-2). These measurements are

consistent with the clay-rich, very fine-grained character of the samples (Figure 2-21) and further

demonstrate why the thick and regionally extensive Midway Group has historically been

recognized as a very efficient upper seal and confinement layer for oil and gas accumulations

trapped beneath the Midway in north Louisiana.

2.3.1.1.2 Mineralogy and Petrophysics

X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) measurements were acquired from three samples taken from the cored

mudstones of the lower Midway Group. These samples confirm that clays overwhelmingly dominate

the mineralogy of this interval (Table 2-2). The total clay content for these samples ranges from 63.8

weight percent to 65.4 weight percent. The clay component is comprised of mixed layer illite/smectite

clays, ranging from 30.0 weight percent to 32.6 weight percent in the three samples, and illite/mica,

ranging from 17.3 weight percent to 22.4 weight percent. Modest amounts of chlorite and kaolinite

clays were also present in each sample.

While the Midway Group mineralogy is dominated by clays, tectosilicates are noticeably elevated in

the three measurements (Table 2-3). Quartz is the dominant tectosilicate present, ranging from 26.3

weight percent to 27.1 weight percent. Minor amounts of potassium feldspar and plagioclase are also

present. The examination of the thin sections taken from the cores acquired from the lower Midway

Group confirms the extremely fine grained, somewhat silty character of these lower Paleocene

mudstones (Figure 2-22). It is noteworthy that no carbonate minerals were detectable using XRD for

any of the Midway Group samples.

In addition to XRD measurements, elemental data was acquired using X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) to

further investigate the composition of the lower Midway Group mudstones (Table 2-4). Silica is the

dominant element present, with SiO<sub>2</sub> abundance ranging from 56.1% to 63.8%. The preponderance

of silica is consistent with the observations from the XRD measurements and is an indication of the

influx of a substantial volume of terrigenous siliciclastic sediment (as both quartz and clay) during

Midway deposition. These samples also include modest amounts of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ranging from 15.4% to

17.8%, and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ranging from 5.3% to 5.8%. When considered together, this elemental data

confirms the elevated clay content observed in the XRD measurements. The CaO abundance obtained

Page 73 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

from XRF is negligible, ranging from 0.3% to 0.4%. These data confirm that the silty mudstones

sampled from the core cut in the lower Midway Group consist predominantly of terrigenous

sediments.

2.3.1.2 Lower Confining Zone – Ferry Lake Formation; Other Lower Containment Intervals

Silty mudstones and limestones of the Washita-Fredericksburg Formation comprise a containment

interval encountered a short distance below the Upper Tuscaloosa Injection Zone. The Washita-

Fredericksburg is the first Lower Cretaceous unit encountered beneath the angular unconformity

at the UK/LK Boundary. The unit has a thickness of 92 feet, extending from 5,628 feet to 5,744

feet (Figure A.16 in Appendix A) as presented in the Stratigraphic Test Well (Figure 2-2). Majority

of the interval consists of hard and impermeable buff-white limestones. While core samples were

not obtained over this interval, an examination of the open hole logs indicates a lack of porous and

permeable layers throughout this unit. While the same logs indicate the presence of three porous

and permeable sandstones in the underlying Paluxy Formation, those Paluxy sandstones are in turn

interbedded with shales with extremely low to negligible permeability. This was confirmed by

laboratory analysis of the Core No. 5, which was cut in the shale interval below the lowest of the

three Paluxy sandstones encountered in the Stratigraphic Test Well.

The Washita-Fredericksburg Formation is fully truncated by the UK/LK angular unconformity just

north of the northern perimeter of the AoR. Where it is present, the generally impermeable nature

of the Washita-Fredericksburg Formation, combined with the limited porosity data from the

available open hole logs, supports the viability of this unit as an additional containment interval

that segregates the injection zones of the (UK) Upper Tuscaloosa Formation from the injection

zones of the (LK) Paluxy Formation. Additional core and log measurements may be acquired in

the future to further characterize the Washita-Fredericksburg containment interval.

The Paluxy Formation underlies the Washita-Fredericksburg Formation and, depending on where

it is penetrated, is approximately 700 to 900 feet thick, as indicated on the open hole logs for the

Bass No.1 Keahey (La SN: 165305), located in the upper portion of the AoR. The upper half of

the interval is characterized by fluvial and fluvial-deltaic sandstones that can have porosities as

high as 22%. The interbedded shales, as noted earlier, are very hard and contribute to the local

Page 74 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

confinement of any injectate sequestered in the adjacent sandstones. Beneath the deepest Paluxy

sandstone reservoir encountered in the Stratigraphic Test Well (informally named the Paluxy "P-

3" Sand), the facies transitions from a continental environment to a marginal marine environment.

The deepest whole core cut in the Stratigraphic Test Well was recovered from the base of the

continental environment facies.

Beneath the Paluxy Formation lies the Mooringsport Formation, which consists of a series of

interbedded calcareous mudstones, argillaceous limestones, and in rare instances, calcareous

sandstones that can range up to 50 feet in thickness. The Mooringsport Formation typically

averages 400 feet in thickness, as indicated on the open hole logs for the Bass No.1 Keahey (LA

SN: 165305). Directly underlying the Mooringsport are the evaporites (predominantly anhydrites)

of the Ferry Lake Formation. These evaporites constitute the densest, most optimal bottom seal

beneath the proposed injection zones, and average 200 to 300 feet in thickness throughout the

AoR. As such, the Ferry Lake Anhydrite Formation will act as a highly effective Lower Confining

Zone for the AoR.

2.3.1.2.1 Formation Characteristics – Paluxy (Interbedded Containment Intervals)

A whole core (Core No. 5) was acquired from the Paluxy Formation in the Stratigraphic Test Well

over the depth interval of 5,900 feet to 5,929 feet. This interval consists primarily of fine to very

fine, argillaceous sandstones interlayered with silty mudstones. The main sedimentary structures

observed in the argillaceous sandstones include ripples and wavy laminations, and burrow traces

are abundant. The sandstones exhibit a brownish red color, most likely due to elevated

concentrations of hematite and iron oxide resulting from prolonged subaerial exposure. The silty

mudstones are planar laminated but also include abundant, thin very fine sandstone laminations.

Fluid escape structures, herringbone cross bedding, and abundant trace burrows are also observed

in the silty mudstones. These observations are consistent with deposition in a continental

environment, with the sand deposited as fluvial bedload while silt and mud accumulated in an

interfluvial setting.

The porosity and permeability of four samples acquired from the whole core were determined

using the crushed rock technique and provide confirmation of the containment efficacy of such

interbedded shales within the Paluxy Formation (Table 2-2). Dry helium porosity ranges from 9.0% to 10.5%, while permeability is extremely low for all measured samples, ranging from 0.0000048 mD to 0.0000390 mD (average 0.0000203 mD). These measurements are consistent with the fine grained, clay-rich character of these samples as observed in the SEM (Figure 2-23) and thin section (Figure 2-24).

### 2.3.1.2.2 Mineralogy and Petrophysics (Interbedded Containment Intervals).

The mineralogy of the argillaceous Paluxy interval cored in the Stratigraphic Test Well is dominated by quartz and clay (Table 2-2). Quartz content ranges from 39.3 weight percent to 46.9 weight percent, and clay content ranges from 35.4 weight percent to 36.9 weight percent. Relatively minor amounts of potassium and plagioclase feldspar are also present. The clay component is dominated by illite/mica, which ranges from 22.7 weight percent to 24.5 weight percent. Carbonates represent a relatively insignificant component of the overall mineral assemblage and are present mainly in the form of cements (ranging from 1.9 weight percent to 11.0 weight percent). The XRD data confirms that hematite percentages are elevated in the argillaceous sandstone facies, ranging from 4.1 weight percent to 8.6 weight percent. Elemental data acquired using XRF confirm that SiO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dominate these samples (Table 2-4). The occurrence of CaO is insignificant, ranging from 1.5% to 5.9%. These data confirm that the facies consist predominantly of terrigenous sediment that was subjected to prolonged subaerial exposure.

A single sample was acquired from the whole core from the silty mudstone lithofacies. Clay (67.1 weight percent) is the most abundant mineral type for these facies (Table 2-2). The most abundant clay type is illite/mica, but the percentage of mixed illite/smectite is also elevated in this sample. The quartz content is relatively low (23.1 weight percent). The elemental data acquired from XRF indicates SiO<sub>2</sub> at 53.5% and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 14.4%, again confirming the terrigenous character of these sediments (Table 2-4).

# 2.3.1.3 Additional Impermeable / Containment Intervals

Additional impermeable fluid flow barriers exist between the primary Upper and Lower Confining Zones. These additional containment intervals include the Upper (Selma) Chalk, the Middle and

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Lower Chalk, the Middle Tuscaloosa Shale, the Washita-Fredericksburg Formation (where present), and the thick shales separating the channel sandstones within the Paluxy Formation.

The Upper (Selma) Chalk interval above the Annona Sand extends from 3,810 feet to 4,144 feet in the Stratigraphic Test Well (Figure 2-2). Beneath the base of the Annona Sand, the argillaceous Middle Chalk (Taylor equivalent) and Lower Austin Chalk (Austin equivalent) have been identified as additional impermeable containing intervals that in aggregate extend from 4,182 feet to 4,911 feet in the Stratigraphic Test Well (Figure 2-2). Limited core data (consisting of one whole cored interval in the Austin Chalk equivalent) was acquired for these units, but an examination of the open hole logs from the Stratigraphic Test Well indicates a distinct lack of permeable intervals (other than that associated with the bi-lobed Annona Sand injection zone).

An analyzed sample from the whole core cut and retrieved from the Austin Chalk in the Stratigraphic Test Well exhibited a matrix permeability measurement of 0.0000403 mD using the crushed rock technique (Table 2-2). This exceedingly low permeability measurement is consistent with fine grained, poorly sorted, clay-rich matrix of the Austin Chalk as observed in thin section (Figure 2-25). The Selma Chalk, the Middle Chalk (Taylor equivalent) and the Lower Austin Chalk (Austin equivalent) (with a combined thickness of 1,055 feet in the Stratigraphic Test Well) are thick and laterally extensive across the AoR. It is important to note that the proposed Annona Sand injection zone is entirely encased within these impermeable layers, a factor that significantly reduces the potential migration of injectate from this zone, at such point in time that it may be used (Figure 2-2); in the interim, the containment intervals within the Cretaceous Chalk – plus the overlying ~600 feet of the primary Upper Confining Zone combine to represent an approximately 1,700 foot thick aggregate containment / confining zone interval.

Interbedded shales of the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation also have the potential to serve as additional intraformational containment layers. An examination of the open hole logs acquired from the Stratigraphic Test Well indicate the Upper Tuscaloosa sandstones proposed as injection zones for this project are interlayered with numerous mudstone layers. While additional core data will be required to fully evaluate their confinement potential, an analysis of the open hole logs indicates these interbedded shales are impermeable. Many of these individual mudstone layers exceed 20 feet in thickness, and these interbedded containment intervals will impede or prevent

Page 77 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

any upward migration of injectate from individual sequestration intervals within the Upper

Tuscaloosa Formation.

2.3.2 Injection Zones

The Injection Zone is defined as "the geologic formation, group of formations, or part of a

formation that is of sufficient areal extent, thickness, porosity, and permeability to receive carbon

dioxide through a well or wells associated with a geologic sequestration project". Upper

Cretaceous – aged sandstones of the Annona and Tuscaloosa Formations and Lower Cretaceous –

aged sandstones of the Paluxy Formation have the necessary reservoir characteristics to be

effective Injection Zones at the Louisiana Green Fuels, Port of Columbia Facility. The Injection

Zones have been designated as follows:

Injection Zone 1 – Annona Sand (held in reserve)

• Injection Zone 2 – Upper Tuscaloosa Sands (Primary), Paluxy Sands (Secondary)

As discussed in the previous section, in addition to the primary upper and lower confining layers,

the Annona Sand is completely encased in impermeable chalk, and the Upper Tuscaloosa

sandstones and deeper Paluxy sandstones are interlayered with thick, impermeable mudstones. All

characteristics for the proposed Injection Zones are discussed in the following sections. A ternary

diagram for the injection zones based upon the results of the Stratigraphic Test Well is contained

in Figure 2-26.

2.3.2.1 Injection Zone 1 – Annona Formation

The Upper Cretaceous Annona Sand is a light green (glauconitic), quartz rich, moderately well

sorted, marine sandstone that is laterally extensive across project area. The top of the Annona Sand

represents the top of the proposed Injection Zone within the AoR. However, the Annona Sand will

be a sequestration zone reserved by Strategic Biofuels and will not be initially perforated and used

for sequestration upon commencement of the CO<sub>2</sub> injection operations. Instead, this sequestration

zone will be reserved for future utility on an as needed basis.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The Annona Sand is completely encased within the Upper Cretaceous Chalk and is

stratigraphically positioned at or near the base of the Selma Chalk unit in the interval from 4,144

feet to 4,182 feet in the Stratigraphic Test Well (Figure 2-2). The overlying Selma Chalk unit is

approximately 300 feet thick in the project area and consists of compacted, relatively pure

coccolithic chalk that exhibits poor porosity and very low to nil vertical permeability. The thick

argillaceous chalks, thin limestones, and calcareous mudstones of the underlying (undifferentiated)

Middle Chalk (Taylor equivalent) and the Lower Austin Chalk (Austin equivalent) containment

intervals are, except for the presence of the thin limestones, ductile clay-rich strata that also exhibit

poor porosity and very low to zero vertical permeability.

2.3.2.1.1 Formation Characteristics

The entire Upper Cretaceous Chalk Formation thins to the north (updip) and thickens to the south

(downdip) across the AoR; this same depositional pattern is observed for the encased Annona

Sand, which pinches out within the Upper Cretaceous Chalk a short distance north of the AoR.

The Annona Sand exhibits a distinctive, bi-lobed character on the spontaneous potential and

gamma ray log curves, and significantly higher porosity and permeability than the overlying

(compacted, coccolithic) upper chalk layer and underlying (argillaceous, ductile) middle chalk

layer are indicated by the sonic and density/neutron log curve responses observed on the available

open hole logs run across the Cretaceous Chalk interval.

Three rotary sidewall core samples were acquired across the bi-lobed Annona Sand in the

Stratigraphic Test Well; two were cut in the upper lobe, and one was cut in the lower lobe. A thin,

five foot-thick shaley layer separates the two lobes of cleaner sandstone and the lower lobe exhibits

a distinct coarsening upward grain size profile on the spontaneous potential and gamma ray log

curves. Conventional rotary core analysis was used to measure the porosity and permeability of

the Annona Sand and evaluate its potential as an injection zone (Table 2-5). The porosity for three

rotary sidewall core samples ranged from 26.8% to 28.8%. Air permeability ranged from 26.8 mD

to 27.9 mD. While limited in quantity, this rotary sidewall core data confirms that the Annona

Sand has sufficient porosity and permeability to meet the definition of an Injection Zone.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 78 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

## 2.3.2.1.2 Mineralogy and Petrophysics

The three rotary sidewall cores were further analyzed to determine the mineralogical composition and petrophysical characteristics. The mineralogy for these samples was analyzed using XRD, which indicates the Annona Sand reservoir is composed predominantly of quartz, with quartz contents of 80.3 and 85.0 weight percent reported for the two analyzed samples (Table 2-6). Potassium and plagioclase feldspar contribute a minor (<5 weight percent) component of the tectosilicate fraction. Total clay content is also low for these samples, ranging from 6.4 weight percent to 10.5 weight percent. Thin section analysis confirms that the bi-lobed Annona Sand is a clean, quartz rich, moderately well sorted glauconitic sandstone with abundant visible porosity (Figure 2-27 and 2-28).

### 2.3.2.1.3 Expected Zone Capacity

Based upon the current understanding of its porosity, permeability, thickness, and lateral extent, the Annona Sand is projected to have the capacity to sequester an injected volume of 3.94 MMT over a 20-year injection period. The injectate pressure front and plume boundary projected using the current modeling iterations have been reported and illustrated in the relevant figures included within Appendix A. The Annona Sans Injection Interval is projected on Figure A.20 in Appendix A.

The injection rates and storage capacity are estimated based upon the current understanding of porosity, permeability, thickness, and lateral extent and will be calibrated to the Injection Wells after site-specific data collection. Specific modeling parameters related to the relative permeability, saturation curves, and compressibility of the formation and injectate characteristics are contained in the "Area of Review and Corrective Action Report [40 CFR 146.84(b)]" submitted with this permit application in Module B.

### 2.3.2.2 Injection Zone 2 – Upper Tuscaloosa Sands; Paluxy Sands

The multiple sandstones of the Upper Cretaceous (UK) Upper Tuscaloosa Formation are proposed as the primary carbon dioxide injection zone for this project. The Upper Tuscaloosa Formation, as indicated by the open hole logs run in the Stratigraphic Test Well, comprises the interval from

Page 80 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

4,911 feet to 5,514 feet consisting of numerous sandstone layers ranging between 5 to 60 feet in

thickness alternating with impermeable mudstone layers (shales) that range between 5 to 50 feet

in thickness (Figure 2-2).

2.3.2.2.1 Formation Characteristics

Two 30-foot whole cores were attempted within the Upper Tuscaloosa interval in the Stratigraphic

Test Well. One core was cut in the upper half of the interval from 4,911 feet to 4,937.6 feet, while

the second core was cut in the lower half of the interval from 5,250 feet to 5,280 feet. Core recovery

was good with most of the cored intervals being successfully retrieved. The analyses and

descriptions of these two whole cores and 20 high-quality sidewall rotary cores subsequently

sampled from the interval following open-hole logging were used to contribute to the definition of

the petrophysical characteristics and general depositional setting for the Upper Tuscaloosa

Formation.

The numerous sandstone layers encountered within the Upper Tuscaloosa are comprised of

alternating fluvial-deltaic and intertidal (reworked shallow marine / littoral) deposits. The fluvial-

deltaic deposits include distributary channels, crevasse splays, levee and overbank deposits, and

the channel facies often exhibit sharp basal contacts with evidence of erosion, including

incorporation of mud clasts into the sandstone matrix. These fluvial-deltaic deposits exhibit little

or no intertidal reworking.

This contrasts with the alternating deposition of sediments that were clearly subjected to intertidal

deposition and/or reworking, in a very low energy littoral environment. The coarser-grained

sandstones encountered within the facies are interpreted to consist of reworked estuarine deposits

and shallow marine bar sands. The marine bar sand facies consist of amalgamated packages of fine

to coarse grained, argillaceous and calcareous sandstones that typically exhibit a coarsening

upward grain size trend. Several of these marine bar sands exhibit intensive bioturbation. Wavy

and ripple laminations, trace burrows, and soft sedimentation deformation are commonly observed

sedimentary structures. The interlayered silty mudstones are planar to wavy laminated and

burrowed and contain phosphatic nodules. The presence of glauconite confirms the shallow marine

deposition of the littoral bar sands. However, the occurrence of faint iron oxidation on some detrital

Page 81 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

grains observed from adjacent, less glauconitic sandstone layers indicates deposition in an

oxidizing environment with periodic subaerial exposure. These observations are consistent with

the accumulation of terrigenous sediment in a tide-dominated, shallow marine environment,

including tidal flats, estuaries, thin beaches, and marine bars.

The older (Lower Cretaceous) sandstones of the Paluxy Formation are considered to represent

important secondary injection layers and will be perforated for injection at the same time the

younger (Upper Cretaceous) sandstones of the Upper Tuscaloosa are perforated for injection. The

lenticular (channel) sandstones frequently encountered in the deeper portion of the Paluxy

Formation were not penetrated by the Stratigraphic Test Well but comprehensive petrophysical

data was acquired from the three upper Paluxy sandstones that were encountered. Cuttings

recovered from those sandstones were sampled every ten feet and are of high quality. The two

thickest upper Paluxy Sands (the "P-2" and "P-3" Sands) were perforated and tested for injection

capacity.

Sample cuttings and petrophysical analysis indicate the Paluxy sandstones encountered to be

porous and permeable fluvial and fluvial-deltaic (inferred channel) sandstones, relatively devoid

of clay, with abrupt upper and lower gamma ray curve boundaries and porosities as high as 22%.

While the sandstones that were penetrated and tested in the upper portion of the Paluxy interval

were relatively thin (up to 14 feet in gross thickness), the logs from other legacy Paluxy

penetrations drilled within the AoR that penetrated the entire Paluxy interval indicate such channel

sandstones can attain a gross thickness in excess of 50 feet.

2.3.2.2.2 Mineralogy and Petrophysics

The mineralogy of the Upper Tuscaloosa sandstones is dominated by quartz, with quartz

abundance determined from XRD ranging from 62.6 weight percent to 95.0 weight percent

(average 80.5 weight percent) (Figure 2-29 and Table 2-6). Minor (<5 weight percent) amounts of

potassium and plagioclase feldspar are also present. Clay content ranges from 2.2 weight percent

to 32.8 weight percent (averaging 11.5 weight percent). Dickite, a form of kaolin clay, is the most

common clay species present in the Upper Tuscaloosa sandstones (average 5.8 weight percent),

followed by illite/mica (3.7 weight percent). Siderite, an iron carbonate, is the most common

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

carbonate mineral, ranging as high as 11.3 weight percent in some samples (average 2.8 weight

percent).

Porosity and permeability data were acquired using conventional analysis of 71 samples taken

from the two 30-foot whole cores and from the 20 sidewall rotary core samples cut in the Upper

Tuscaloosa (Tables 2-7 and 2-8). A net confining stress of 1,900 psi was applied to 28 of those

samples over the depth range 4,911.5 feet to 5,158.0 feet. The net confining stress was increased

to 2,000 psi for the remaining 43 samples over the depth range 5,175.0 feet to 5,608.0 feet (it

should be noted that the deepest two sidewall rotary cores cut and analyzed were taken from the

underlying Lower Tuscaloosa Basal Sand, which is a separate clastic reservoir that is not included

in the proposed Upper Tuscaloosa sequestration interval). Setting aside the mudstone facies cored

in the two whole cores, the measured porosity of the whole-cored Upper Tuscaloosa sandstones

ranges from 15% to 22.7%, with an average of 18%. Measured air permeability ranged from 0.476

mD to 1,080 mD (average 111.5 mD).

For the twenty high-quality Upper Tuscaloosa sidewall rotary core samples - which were

specifically targeted to sample intervals of optimal reservoir quality following the open-hole

logging of the well – the measured porosity of the rotary-cored Upper Tuscaloosa sandstones

ranges from 14.4% to 26.6%, with an average of 21.6%, and the measured air permeability ranged

from 0.398 mD to 718 mD (average 326.9 mD). This data indicates that while Upper Tuscaloosa

sandstones span a wide spectrum of injection zone quality, they include layers with excellent

reservoir characteristics. Thin section analyses for these layers indicate the samples with elevated

permeability are associated with abundant, large intergranular and intragranular porosity occurring

in clean sandstones (Figure 2-29 and 2-30).

Sandstones encountered within the deeper portion of the older (Lower Cretaceous) Paluxy

Formation can attain thicknesses more than 50 feet with porosities as high as 22% and, although

generally lenticular, appear to extend laterally over considerable distances. By drilling the

proposed injection wells 800 feet deeper than the Stratigraphic Test Well (7,000 feet PBTD vs.

6,200 feet PBTD), it is projected that several additional porous and permeable sandstones will be

encountered, contributing significantly to the sequestration capacity of Injection Zone No. 2. In

that event, each additional potential sequestration target will be thoroughly evaluated with open

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 82 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

hole logs and sidewall rotary cores in preparation for including those additional deeper reservoirs

in the updated modeling that will be performed. Those additional reservoirs will then be

incorporated into the proposed authorized zones for injection.

2.3.2.2.3 Expected Zone Capacity

Based upon the current understanding of its porosity, permeability, thickness, and lateral extent,

the sands of the Upper Tuscaloosa and Paluxy Formation, are projected to have the capacity to

sequester an injected volume of 38.44 MMT over a 20-year injection period (or 1.922 MMT per a

year). The injectate pressure front and plume boundary projected using the current modeling

iterations have been reported and illustrated in the relevant figures included within Appendix A.

The Upper Tuscaloosa /Paluxy Sand Injection Interval is projected on Figure A.21 in Appendix

A.

The injection rates and storage capacity are estimated based upon the current understanding of

porosity, permeability, thickness, and lateral extent and will be calibrated to the actual Injection

Wells after site-specific data collection. Specific modeling parameters related to the relative

permeability, saturation curves, and compressibility of the formation and injectate characteristics

are contained in the "Area of Review and Corrective Action Report [40 CFR 146.84(b)]"

submitted with this permit application in Module B.

2.4 GEOMECHANICS AND PETROPHYSICS

This section details the mechanical rock properties and in-situ fluid pressures per the 40 CFR

146.82(a)(3)(iv) standard and includes information on ductility, stress, pore pressures, and fracture

gradients of the sequestration complex. Mechanical rock properties describe the behavior of the

framework rock matrix and pore space under applied stresses. Mechanical rock properties are

described by elastic properties (Young, Shear, and Bulk Modulus as well as Poisson's ratio) and

inelastic properties (Fracture Pressure and Formation Strength).

Changes in *in-situ* stresses and strains, ground surface deformation, and potential risks, such as

new caprock fracture initiation and propagation of pre-existing fault openings and slippage are

crucial geomechanical aspects of large-scale and long-term CO<sub>2</sub> storage (Rutqvist, 2012).

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Page 83 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Therefore, it is necessary to assess the geomechanical stability risks before commencing the

operations associated with CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration. Although many of the processes involved are not

always fully understood, the integration of all available data, such as ground surveys, geological

conditions, micro-seismicity, and ground level deformation has led to many insights into the rock

mechanical response to CO<sub>2</sub> injection (Pan et al., 2016).

Site-specific data will be collected during the drilling and testing of the project Injection Wells.

Geomechanical data across the Injection Zone and the Confining Zone will be collected, along

with laboratory analyses of recovered core samples. Details for the data acquisition program are

contained in the "Pre-operational Testing and Logging Plan" contained in Module D.

2.4.1 Available Data Used for Analysis

Whole core data acquired from the Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN: 975841) has been utilized to

determine the rock mechanical properties of the Upper Tuscaloosa sands within the Injection

Zones. The integrity of the Upper Confining Zone (Midway Group) and the impermeable

containment interval (Austin Chalk equivalent) immediately overlying the Upper Tuscaloosa was

also evaluated with well logs and whole coring. A combination of mineralogical analysis and

dynamic elastic properties estimates was used for seal characterization in this initial application.

Five different sets of rock samples are commonly used for laboratory rock mechanical testing to

characterize the range of geological facies expected in the injection units. The following suites of

tests were conducted on whole core samples (laboratory report is contained in Appendix B).

• Azimuthal or Circumferential Acoustic Velocity measurements (Radial Velocity

Anisotropy) at ambient temperature and unstressed: The stress memory property of rock,

observed from studying and quantifying the strain recovery of the rock recovered in the

whole cores, allows for an in-situ estimation of stress (and sample alignment) using the

measurement of Radial Velocity Anisotropy (i.e., the difference in compressional (P) and

shear (S) velocities as measured at radial increments along a circumferential plane). The

acquisition of azimuthal velocity is performed by mounting the sample (either a portion of

the whole core, or a competent plug cut from the whole core) on a rotary table, then

transmitting P and/or S waves across the sample to a receiver on the other side. The rock

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 84 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

sample is rotated every ten degrees (10°) and P and S wave velocities are recorded each

time the rock has been rotated. The minimum and maximum velocities are then determined

and compared to that recorded in other samples, facilitating the correlative azimuthal

orientation of the rock samples for consistency during subsequent rock mechanical testing.

• Multistage Triaxial (MST) Compressive Strength test: The compressional testing of

vertical and horizontal samples yields an estimation of compressive strength, static

Young's Modulus, static Poisson's ratio, residual strength, and other mechanical properties

from measured stress-strain data. Acoustic velocity is measured simultaneously to provide

dynamic elastic properties for dynamic to static model calibration. The acoustic

measurements are performed throughout the duration of the test. The MST test is used to

obtain the parameters necessary for a Mohr-Coulomb analysis using a single sample. This

test is similar to a single stage triaxial test; however, the sample is only compressed to the

point of failure during the very last step of testing.

To conduct the MST test, the sample is placed under confinement within a suitable pressure

vessel. A pore pressure is applied, targeting a specific deviatoric stress (axial - pore

pressure) depending on the test design. The axial deviatoric stress is increased to an

automated observed yield point or until the positive point of dilation (PPD) or manually

unloading just before failure. The PPD is reached when the derivative of the volumetric

strain reaches zero. Once the unload point is reached, the deviatoric stress is unloaded and

the confining pressure is ramped to the next desired value. The same loading/unloading

procedure is repeated for a minimum of four cycles. During the last cycle, the sample is

subjected to the maximum confining until failure occurs to obtain a maximum compressive

strength value.

Uniaxial Pore Volume Compressibility: The volumetric deformation of rock is largely a

result of uniaxial stress; this is attributed to the lateral confinement by the adjacent

bounding strata. A uniaxial pore volume compressibility (UPVC) experiment is performed

in the lab to estimate the matrix and pore volume compressibility under uniaxial

deformation, by maintaining zero lateral strain conditions. The drained uniaxial pore

volume compressibility (UPVC) is measured under zero radial strain condition ( $\Delta \varepsilon_{-}t=0$ )

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 85 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

and constant overburden stress conditions. The Biot Coefficient is determined from UPVC

measurements and is used in the estimation of the fracture pressure.

Laboratory rock mechanical testing was conducted on selected whole core samples (Table 2-9)

from the Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN: 975841) Stratigraphic Test Well. These tests results have

been used to determine the fracture gradient for the Upper Tuscaloosa Injection Zone within the

AoR.

2.4.2 Ductility

Ductility refers to the capacity of a rock to deform in response to large strains without macroscopic

fracturing. Ductile deformation is typically characterized by diffuse deformation (i.e., lacking a

discrete fault plane) and is accompanied on a stress-strain plot by a steady-state sliding at failure,

compared to the sharp stress drop observed during brittle failure. In other words, when a material

behaves in a ductile manner, it exhibits a linear stress vs. strain relationship past the elastic limit.

Unconsolidated sediments are mechanically weaker than lithified rocks, but their ductility provides

certain advantages for carbon storage. The ductility of a shale top seal is a function of compaction.

Lower-density shales are extremely ductile and can thus accommodate significant strain without

undergoing brittle failure and loss of top seal integrity. Conversely, highly compacted, deeply-

buried, dense shales are extremely brittle and may undergo sudden brittle failure and loss of top

seal integrity with very small amounts of strain. Figure 2-31 shows the relationship between

ductility and density observed for 68 shales as presented in Hoshino et al. (1972).

The smectite-rich shales of the Midway Group, especially the thick terrigenous shales of the

Porters Creek Formation (which comprises most of the unit), are buried to a relatively shallow

depth in the AoR, from 2,300 feet to 3,600 feet subsea. Core No. 1 cut in the lower Midway interval

in the Stratigraphic Test Well exhibits soft-sediment deformation (a manifestation of ductility) and

a complete lack of vertical fracturing. Depth of burial and abundant smectite content indicates the

shales of the Midway Group are ductile shales averaging 600 feet in thickness that are not brittle

and do not exhibit any fracturing within the AoR. This ductile nature is further evidenced by the

effective sealing and confinement of over seven trillion cubic feet of methane below the Midway

Shale in the giant Monroe Gas Field, located a short distance north of the AoR. In the Monroe

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 86 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Field area, the Midway Shale is draped over the crest of the Monroe Uplift and has been subjected

to much higher tectonic stress than that associated with the gentle, monoclinal south dip of Midway

strata within the AoR; despite the higher tectonic stress, no fracturing has been observed in the

Midway strata across the Monroe Field area (or in the AoR).

The analysis of Core No. 1 also indicates the calcite / carbonate content of the cored Midway

interval is very low; calcite / carbonate content is one lithological factor that is directly associated

with brittleness in shales. The higher the calcite / carbonate content, the more brittle most

compacted (and deeply buried) shales become; conversely, the lower the calcite / carbonate

content, the less brittle. The predominantly terrigenous smectite-rich shales of the Midway Group

(especially the thick Porters Creek member) would thus be characterized as lacking the calcite /

carbonate content to be brittle while being sufficiently ductile to impede the initiation or

propagation of fracturing.

Other parameters are expected to influence ductility, such as confining pressure and time. The

mechanical behavior of rock formations is not constant but changes with various conditions, such

as progressive burial as the top seal is converted from a mud to a more competent material, thus

developing higher strength. Compaction decreases ductility while confining pressure increases

ductility. Compaction is typically related to depth. Figure 2-32 from Hoshino et al (1972) shows

density and ductility vs. brittleness against depth. Ductile samples are displayed as gray circles

and brittle samples are displayed as black circles. Ductile shales did not fracture whereas brittle

shales did fracture during the experiment. According to the figure, a low-density shale at a depth

of 500 m is more ductile than a highly compacted shale at a depth of 5,000 m. Finally, ductility

varies not only with depth of burial but also with time.

Holt et al (2020) emphasizes the importance of characterizing the extent to which shales may fail

in a brittle or ductile manner, and in the case of ductile shales, the creation of permanent sealing

barriers. Triaxial tests, creep tests, and other tests tailored to follow the failure envelope under

simulated borehole conditions were performed on two soft shales. The more ductile shale was

proved to form barriers both in the laboratory and in the field. By comparing their behavior, the

authors noticed that the ductile shale exhibits normally compacted behavior while the more brittle

shale is over-compacted. This points to the stress history and possibly the grain cementation as

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 87 of 204

Page 88 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

keys in determining the failure mode. Porosity, clay content, ultrasonic velocities, unconfined

compressive strength, and friction angle may be used as other indicators of brittle or ductile failure

behavior.

Shale ductility has proven to be useful in assessing the quality of sealing barriers. Successful

natural shale barriers have been reported, where the annulus between casing and formation has

closed after drilling, forming an efficient seal (Williams et al., 2009; Kristiansen et al., 2018).

Additionally, ductile formations have a higher propensity to creep than brittle ones under the same

loading conditions. Creep is the tendency of solid material to deform permanently under a certain

load, depending on time and temperature. Typically, creep is divided into three distinct stages,

which are: (1) primary creep (transient elastic deformation with decreasing strain rate), (2)

secondary creep (plastic deformation with constant strain rate), and (3) tertiary creep (plastic

deformation with accelerating strain rate), as summarized in Figure 2-33 from Brendsdal (2017)

(see also Fjaer et al., 2008; Hosford, 2005). Unless stresses are reduced, tertiary creep will

eventually lead to brittle failure.

The following factors have the potential to increase or enhance creep (Kristiansen et al., 2018):

- High clay content, especially smectite,

- High shear stresses,

- Thermal deformation from heating,

- Shale/brine interaction effects.

According to Chang and Zoback (2009), the amount of creep strain in shales is significantly larger

than that in sands with less clay, which corroborates previous observations that creep strain

increases with clay content. Microscopic inspections show that creep in shales appears to generate

a packing of clay minerals and a progressive collapse of pore spaces. Chang and Zoback (2009)

observed a loss of porosity and an increase of dynamic moduli in shales during creep.

Strain in unconsolidated and un-compacted sediments is typically accommodated by creep

behavior which may be enhanced by a high clay content that induces self-sealing properties

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

(Meckel and Trevino, 2017; Zoback, 2010; Ostermeier, 2001; Hart et al., 1995). This has major

implications on the suitability of confining zones because ductile deformation of mudstone seals

potential leakage pathways to the surface. Such leakage pathways would include natural pathways

such as faults and man-made pathways such as boreholes (Clark, 1987).

Loizzo et al. (2017) discusses how certain important parameters, such as the in-situ stress and

creep properties, can be measured or estimated from geophysical logs, geological and

geomechanical information, and active well tests. Any sedimentary formation with a clay matrix

predominantly composed of smectite is a good candidate for the formation of a natural barrier.

Sloughing shales encountered during drilling are excellent real-time indicators of this

phenomenon, but the acquisition of petrophysical data across such formations, such as that

provided by logging while drilling or wireline logging, is recommended at the initial

characterization stage. Multi-arm wireline-conveyed caliper tools can directly detect creep as well

as stress anisotropy. The acquisition of density, neutron porosity, and spectral gamma ray data can

aid in clarifying the mineralogical composition; such petrophysical data is routinely acquired as

part of a "triple combo" (multi-tool) log run in the wellbore, while dipole sonic tools can record

both P and S wave velocities. These logs will be included in the formation evaluation program for

all of the Injection Wells for the Louisiana Green Fuels site (subject to open hole wellbore

conditions at time of logging). Further processing of such log data to aid in the identification of

facies, various petrophysical and mineralogical properties, and estimated rock strength will also

be performed.

Defining the maximum operating pressure of the natural barrier requires the knowledge of its

mechanical properties and far-field stresses. The characterization of rock mechanical properties

(elastic properties, anisotropy, and non-linearity) has been well documented for measurements,

protocols, and practices. Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio can be estimated from the

compressional and shear wave velocities and density values obtained from the offset sonic logs,

using standard rock physics equations.

Finally, cement evaluation logs are also very effective in identifying creeping shales. In fact, they

precisely measure the ultimate effect of creep, i.e., the annulus bridging by a natural barrier. A

cement evaluation log run immediately after cementing and another cement evaluation log run

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 89 of 204

Page 90 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

approximately a week later can help distinguish between cement and the encroachment of creeping

shale in the annulus.

2.4.2.1 Ductility of Clay/Shales in Gulf Coast Examples

The ductility of clay/shales both in the Injection Zones and in the Confining Zone is a function of

compaction state. Low-density shales are extremely ductile and can thus accommodate large

amounts of strain without undergoing brittle failure and loss of integrity. However, highly

compacted, dense, deep shales may be extremely brittle and undergo brittle failure and loss of

integrity with very small amounts of strain. As noted earlier, Figure 2-31 shows the relationship

between ductility and density for 68 shales from the literature. All samples were deformed in

compression.

Gulf Coast shales are known to exhibit viscoelastic deformational behavior that causes natural

fractures to close rapidly under the action of *in-situ* compressive stresses (Aumman, 1966; Neuzil,

1986; Bowden and Curran, 1984; Collins, 1986). Evidence of this includes rapid borehole closure

often encountered while drilling and running casing in oil and gas wells along the Gulf Coast

(Johnston and Knape, 1986; Clark et al., 1987). Furthermore, older abandoned boreholes have

been observed to heal (close) across such shale sections to the extent that the re-entry of such

boreholes for the purposes of testing deeper intervals requires the drilling of a new borehole

through such viscoelastic shales (Clark et al., 1987).

This property of viscoelastic deformation behavior will cause any fractures and/or faults to close

rapidly in response to *in-situ* compressive stresses, even leading to the extrusion of highly

viscoelastic shale into the fault plane from both sides. This well-known ductile (or plastic) behavior

of the geologically young Cenozoic Gulf Coast shales is amply demonstrated by the presence of

shale diapir structures and the natural closure of uncased boreholes with time (Johnston and

Greene, 1979; Gray et al., 1980; Davis, 1986; Clark et al., 1987; Warner and Syed, 1986; and

Warner, 1988). Jones and Haimson (1986) have found that due to the very plastic nature of Gulf

Coast shales, faults will seal across shale-to-shale contacts, allowing no vertical fluid movement

along the fault plane.

Page 91 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

In 1991, the DuPont Borehole Closure Test Well was drilled and tested as an integral part of a

demonstration project in support of DuPont's EPA No-Migration Petition for the DuPont Sabine

River Works (now known the INVISTA Orange chemical plant), located near the city of Orange

in southeast Texas. The objective was to test the natural healing of boreholes through clay/shale

sections due to clay swelling and creep and to quantify natural borehole closure (Clark et al., 2005).

A test well was drilled to provide additional information on the sealing effectiveness of viscoelastic

Cenozoic shales in a simulated abandoned borehole located on the flanks of Orange Dome (a salt

diapir), which is also located near the INVISTA Orange chemical plant.

For the testing, a worst-case strategy was evaluated, where the mechanism of swelling and plastic

creep of the clay/shales was simulated by allowing the clay/shale to heal over a week's duration

and then injecting fluids into the lower test sand while monitoring pressure in the next sand

vertically in the section (upper monitor sand), similar to a vertical interference test. The upper

gauge in the shallow monitor sand showed no change during the testing, indicating that there was

no out of zone movement across the 90-foot thick, healed clay/shale bed. The lack of out of zone

movement was confirmed via the Schlumberger Water Flow Log® that showed no migration of

fluids vertically along the walls of the borehole in the healed clay/shale section.

2.4.2.2 Site Specific Ductility of the Confining Zone

To date, the site-specific brittleness or ductility/creep measurements available for the confining

units of the Paleocene Midway Group or the argillaceous containment intervals of the Upper

Cretaceous Middle (Taylor-aged) and Austin Chalk – equivalent strata within the project area are

limited to those measurements and analyses obtained from the analyses of Cores #1 (lower

Midway) and #2 (Austin) in the Stratigraphic Test Well. Assumptions regarding brittleness or

ductility have also been made by referencing the drilling and completion history of the legacy

Cretaceous penetrations (and their available density/neutron or sonic logs) located within the AoR

as well as the published literature discussed above. Ductility is assessed by measuring sample

strains under applied stresses at representative reservoir conditions (e.g., injection or depletion).

Elastic moduli are often used as an indicator of rock creep compliance and strength, which can be

related to mineral rock composition (Lund Snee and Zoback, 2013).

Site-specific geomechanical data will be acquired from tests of cores collected during the drilling of the Injection Wells (see Module D for the "*Pre-Operational Testing and Logging Plan*"). Ductility of the confining layers will be assessed using potential calculations as follows:

#### 1. Brittleness Index (BRI):

$$BRI = \frac{UCS}{UCS_{NC}}$$

Where:

UCS is the unconfined compressive strength of the confining unit as measured from intact samples, and

 $UCS_{NC}$  is the compressive strength if the confining layer was normally consolidated, as measured from remolded samples that are normally consolidated

### 2. Pressure Velocity (Vp):

$$\log(UCS) = -6.36 + \log(0.86V_p - 1172)$$

This calculation would be performed through intact samples or measured in-situ within the wellbore.

### 3. Effective Vertical Stress ( $\sigma'$ ):

$$UCS_{NC} = 0.5\sigma'$$

If BRI < 2, the confining layer will be sufficiently ductile to anneal any discontinuities.

#### 2.4.3 Stresses and Rock Mechanics

*In-situ* stress and strain are basic concepts in the geomechanics discipline. A stress is defined as a force over an area. If a force is perpendicular to a planar surface, the resulting stress is called a normal stress. If a force is applied parallel to a planar surface, it is called a shear stress. A normal stress is called either a tensile stress if the stress is pulling the material apart or a compressive stress if the stress is compressing the material. In geomechanics, compressive stresses are conventionally shown as positive. Strain is the deformation of the rock material in response to a

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

change in the corresponding effective stress. A normal strain is defined as the change in length

(caused by the change in normal effective stress) divided by its original length. A shear strain is

the ratio of the change in length to its original length perpendicular to the principal stress axes of

the element due to shear stress. A volume (or volumetric) strain is the ratio of the change in volume

to its original volume, also called a bulk strain, when all-around change in effective confining

stress is applied. These stress and strain concepts are illustrated in Figure 2-34 (Han, 2021).

The Gulf Coast Basin is generally considered as a passive margin with an extensional (normal

faulting) stress regime. In a normal faulting stress regime, the vertical stress is the greatest stress

(maximum principal stress) and is typically referred to as the rock overburden. Regional literature

from Eaton, 1969, indicates that the overburden stress gradient for normally compacted Gulf Coast

Sediments ranges from about 0.85 psi/ft near the surface to about 1.00 psi/ft at depths of about

20,000 feet. Sedimentary rocks along the central portion of the Gulf Coastal Plain experience

predominantly normal faulting, with a maximum horizontal stress oriented sub-parallel to the

coastline (Lund Snee and Zoback, 2020) and a minimum horizontal stress (i.e., the least principal

stress) oriented orthogonal to the coastline.

Published data has been used to set the orientation of the principal horizontal stresses (Meckel et

al., 2017; Nicholson, 2012; Zoback and Zoback, 1980) using regional fault-strike statistics (Figure

2-35). Geomechanical assumptions for the rock properties estimated at the Louisiana Green Fuels

site are contained in Table 2-10. The geomechanical properties of the Primary Confining Zone,

the Midway Group, will be further measured during the drilling and completion of the project's

Injection Wells.

**Vertical Stress: Sv** 

The overburden stress, S<sub>v</sub>, for normal-faulting stress regimes is assumed to have an average

gradient of 1.0 psi/ft (Nicholson, 2012). This is equivalent to the lithostatic pressure exerted by

rock with an average density of 2.3 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (Hovorka, 2018). Meckel, 2017, assumed a value of

1.00 psi/ft for the Lower Miocene in the Texas Gulf of Mexico.

For the Louisiana Green Fuels site, the S<sub>v</sub> is estimated using the bulk density measurements from

the lab. The S<sub>v</sub> gradient is approximately 0.95 psi/ft.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 93 of 204

## Minimum Horizontal Stress (Shmin):

Minimum horizontal stress values are estimated using Eaton's method (Eaton 1969) and analogue Biot coefficients. The Biot coefficient is the ratio of the volume of fluid change, divided by the change in bulk volume (assumption that port pressure remains constant).

$$Sh_{min} = K * (\sigma_v - \alpha P_P) + \alpha P_P$$

Where:

 $Sh_{min}$  = the minimum horizontal stress,

K = the stress ratio (for Eaton's method, K is estimated using Poisson's Ratio),

 $\sigma_V$  = the vertical stress

 $\alpha$  = the Biot coefficient (assumed to be 1)

 $P_p$  = the pore pressure.

The range of  $Sh_{min}$  in the geomechanically tested sands resulted in calculated values that ranged from 0.55 to 0.63 psi/ft. These values fall well within the same range assumed in the region of 0.74 x 0.9 psi/ft = 0.66 psi/ft, per Ramos (1994).

### **Maximum Horizontal Stress (Shmax):**

Maximum horizontal stress values were estimated by averaging the gradients of the total vertical and minimum horizontal stresses (0.80 psi/ft).

#### **Young's Modulus (E):**

The Young's Modulus is an inelastic mechanical property that describes the relation of tensile stress to tensile strain (i.e., the ability of a material to deform).

$$E = \frac{\sigma}{\in}$$

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Where:

E =Young's Modulus (pressure units)

 $\sigma$  = Uniaxial stress – or force per unit surface (pressure units)

 $\in$  = Strain, or proportional deformation (dimensionless)

The Young's Modulus was calculated in the laboratory using the available core data. Results ranges from  $1.75 \times 10^6$  psi to  $3.75 \times 10^6$  psi and are considered representative of the tested Cretaceous strata. It should be noted that the derivation of the Young's Modulus subsequently impacts the calculation of the fracture gradient (as discussed in further sections).

**Shear Modulus (G):** 

The Shear Modulus is a mechanical property that describes the response of a material to shear deformation and provides insight into how resistant a material is to shearing deformation (such resistance also being known as the material's rigidity). The Shear Modulus will be smaller than the Young's Modulus and is derived from the following basic equation:

$$G = \frac{\tau_{xy}}{\gamma_{x\gamma}}$$

Where:

G =Shear Modulus (pressure units)

 $\tau_{xy}$  = Shear stress in xy direction

 $\gamma_{xy}$  = Shear strain

The Shear Modulus was calculated in the laboratory using the available core data. Results ranges from  $1.10 \times 10^6$  psi to  $1.56 \times 10^6$  and are considered representative of the tested Cretaceous strata. It should be noted that the Shear Modulus is related to the viscosity of the material; however, it is insensitive to temperature and composition of the material (Rajput et al., 2016).

## **Bulk Modulus (***k***):**

The Bulk Modulus is a mechanical property that describes the ability of a material to withstand a change in volume due to compression from all directions. The Bulk Modulus can be defined by the following equation:

$$K = -V \frac{dP}{dV}'$$

Where:

K = Bulk Modulus (pressure units)

P = Pressure

V = initial volume of a substance

The Bulk Modulus was calculated in the laboratory using the available core data. Results ranges from  $1.30 \times 10^6$  psi to  $2.68 \times 10^6$  and are considered representative of the tested Cretaceous strata. It should be noted that the Bulk Modulus can also be derived if the Young's Modulus and the Poisson's Ratio are known.

#### Poisson's Ratio (v):

Poisson's Ratio is a constant that is used to determine the stress and deflection property of a material. It is a measure of the deformation of a material perpendicular to the load direction. Poisson's Ratio is also calculated from density, P-wave and S-wave velocities using standard Rock Physics equations.

$$v = \frac{d \in_{trans}}{d \in_{arial}}$$

Where:

v =Poisson Ratio (dimensionless)

 $\in_{trans}$  = transverse strain

 $\in_{axial}$  = axial strain

Page 97 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Poisson's Ratio was calculated in the laboratory using the available core data. It should be noted

that the Poisson's Ratio of most materials will fall within a range between 0.0 and 0.5. Lower

Poisson's Ratio values indicate less deformation of the material when exposed to strain, and higher

values indicate greater deformation when exposed to strain. A higher Poisson's Ratio would also

indicate that the subject material would be harder to fracture. Results for the tested samples ranged

from 0.20 - 0.27 and are considered representative for the Cretaceous strata for the project site.

The preliminary dynamic elastic properties are estimated from sonic and density logs obtained

from the Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN: 975841). This log-derived data was later calibrated with

laboratory measurements of core samples from the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation. The difference

between the dynamic (log-derived) elastic properties and the static (core-derived) elastic property

measurements is correlative to the difference in the magnitude of strain measured during the data

acquisition process; log-derived elastic property measurements rely upon micro-strains exerted on

the formation during the logging process, while core-derived elastic property measurements

physically test the material strength limit in the laboratory, including the limit of material strength

at its physical point of structural failure.

Sonic logs provide dynamic elastic properties in the small strain region ( $<10^{-6}$ ), while laboratory

measurements use unloading and reloading tri-axial stress paths until the rock is failed, achieving

large strains (> 10<sup>-3</sup>). The range of uncertainty is captured for the elastic properties, subject to the

range of facies that were available for testing. Additional rock mechanical facies identified from

logs were accounted for in the model by testing additional uncertainty in the input parameters.

2.4.4 Pore Pressures

Additional pore pressure profiles for the Louisiana Green Fuels, Port of Columbia Facility will be

evaluated after the installation and testing of the Injection Wells (and its associated monitor wells).

The pore pressures in the targeted injection intervals will be collected for each well to establish

the baseline formation pressure for the Injection Zones. A testing procedure for obtaining

formation pressures is detailed in "*D–Pre-Operational Testing Plan*" submitted in Module D.

Formation pressure measurements are available from several legacy wells that have been drilled

within or near the project AoR. These legacy wells include:

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 98 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

 Bass Enterprises, J. L. Keahey No. 1 (La SN: 165305) – located approximately 2.4 miles northeast of the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels Facility (in Section 8 – Township 14N,

Range 4 East, Caldwell Parish, Louisiana)

• Samson Contour Energy EP, Blackstone Minerals No. 2 (La SN: 232452) – located

approximately 7.7 miles to the northwest of the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels Facility

(in Section 31 – Township 15N, Range 3 East, Caldwell Parish, Louisiana)

• G. Lea & Franks Petroleum Co. Olinkraft No. 1 (La SN: 122331) – located approximately

9.3 miles to the northwest of the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels Facility (in Section 24 –

Township 15N, Range 2 East, Caldwell Parish, Louisiana)

Formation pressure measurements taken in the Samson Contour Energy EP, Blackstone Minerals

2 (La SN: 232452), include 14 pressure measurements from strata in the Lower Cretaceous

Hosston Formation, exhibits an average pressure gradient close to 0.46 psi/feet in that deeper

formation (the Hosston being substantially deeper than either the proposed Injection Zones or the

Lower Confining Zone). Formation pressure measurements taken in the Bass Enterprises, J. L.

Keahey No. 1 (La SN: 165305) pressures are from strata in the Mooringsport and Hosston

Formations (the latter being deeper than the Lower Confining Zone). The average calculated

pressure gradient measurement in the strata sampled in the Keahey well is about 0.468 psi/ft.

Formation pressure measurements taken in the G. Lea & Franks Petroleum Co. Olinkraft 1 (La

SN: 122331) pressures are from the uppermost Rodessa and Hosston Formations (again, both

deeper than the Lower Confining Zone). The average calculated pressure gradient measurement in

the strata sampled in the Olinkraft well is approximately 0.466 psi/ft. In summary, all the above-

noted pressure gradient data was derived from formations substantially deeper than the Lower

Confining Zone and the Injection Zones.

During the open hole logging of the Stratigraphic Test Well, 22 formation pressure measurements

were obtained between 4,154 feet and 5,608 feet (measured log depths) using Schlumberger's

"PressureXpress" (XPT) tool. This wireline formation pressure data was acquired across porous

intervals in the Upper Cretaceous Annona Sand and various sandstones within the Upper

Cretaceous Upper Tuscaloosa Formation, as well as the Basal Sand of the Lower Tuscaloosa

Page 99 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Formation, the latter of which will not be an injection zone. The resulting XPT-derived pressure

measurements were plotted with the regional pressure data cited above in Figure 2-36. These site-

specific formation pressures from the sampled intervals in the Stratigraphic Test Well have been

used to estimate the formation pressure gradient of 0.45 psi/feet, which is displayed as the trendline

on the graph.

Following the completion of the Stratigraphic Test Well, a series of step-rate and constant-rate

injection tests were conducted via perforations across the targeted Injection Zone reservoirs in

cased hole. These pressure transient tests and analyses (contained in Appendix C) are fully

described in the Injection Test Report prepared by Geostock Sandia, LLC in July 2021.

The static pre-injection bottomhole pressure recorded prior to each step-rate and injection test and

the final pressures recorded at the end of each falloff period is plotted for the pressure gauge

measured depths of 4,149 feet (Annona Sand), 4,913 feet (in a sandstone near the stratigraphic top

of the upper test interval within the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation), 5,052 feet (in a sandstone

informally named the Upper Tuscaloosa "5,050 Foot Sand"), and 5,250 feet (in a sandstone near

the stratigraphic top of the lower test interval within the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation). It should

be noted that the measured pre-injection bottomhole pressure data was consistently lower than the

final formation pressures recorded at the completion of the fall-off testing indicating that the

pressures from the fall-off test have not completed a full relaxation stage, even 24 hours following

cessation of injection, confirming the containment integrity of the injection zone. This data is also

presented in Figure 2-36.

With normal compaction typifying both the Confining Zone and the Injection Zone in the project

site, the pore pressures across the Midway Group are expected to be gradational between the

overlying Wilcox and the underlying Selma Chalk. Additional pore pressure data will be acquired

both above and below the Primary Confining Zone (the Midway Group) during the drilling and

completion of the proposed injection and monitor wells.

#### 2.4.5 Calculated Fracture Gradient

The injection pressure envelope (safe operating injection limit) is determined from the fracture gradient. The fracture gradient for the Louisiana Green Fuels Port of Columbia site was estimated using standard published equations as show below (Jaeger et al. 2007):

$$Pr = \frac{1}{2} \frac{{\binom{V_P}{V_S}}^2 - 2}{{\binom{V_P}{V_S}}^2 - 1}$$

$$E = 2\rho V_S^2 (1 + Pr)$$

$$\sigma_v = g \int_0^z \rho(z) dz$$

$$\sigma_h = \frac{Pr}{1 - Pr}(\sigma_v - \alpha PP) + \alpha P_0$$

Where:

Pr = Poisson's ratio (provided in its dynamic expression in the above-noted equation)

 $V_P$  = Compressional wave velocity (inverse of log-derived compressional wave slowness)

 $V_S$  = Shear wave velocity (inverse of log-derived shear wave slowness)

E =Young's Modulus (provided in its dynamic expression in the above-noted equation)

 $\rho = Rock density$ 

 $\sigma_v$  = Overburden stress

g = Acceleration due to gravity

z = Depth

 $\sigma_h$  = Minimum horizontal stress

 $\alpha$  = Poroelastic coefficient (or Biot's constant)

 $P_0$  = Pore pressure (or formation pressure)

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The fracture gradient is then estimated using the Kirsch Solution for the formation breakdown pressure, as defined in Zhang and Roegiers (2010):

$$P_{FPmax} = 3\sigma_{min} - \sigma_H - p - \sigma_T - T_O$$

Where:

 $P_{FPmax is}$  the upper bound of the fracture pressure,

 $\sigma_H$  is the maximum horizontal stress,

 $\sigma_{min}$  is the minimum horizontal stress,

p is the pore pressure,

 $\sigma_T$  is the thermal stress and

 $T_O$  is the tensile strength of the rock.

The minimum stress is the minimum principal in-situ stress and typically equal to the fracture closure pressure (Zhang and Roegiers, 2010). Therefore, the following approximation for the estimate of fracture pressure is:

$$FG = K * (\sigma_v - \alpha P_P) + \alpha P_P$$

Where:

FG is the fracture gradient,

K is the stress ratio (for Eaton it is estimated using Poisson's ratio),

 $\sigma_V$  is the vertical stress,

α is the biot coefficient, and

Revision Number: 0 Revision Date: February 2023 Module A – Project Information Tracking

*Pp* is the pore pressure.

The vertical stress  $(\sigma_V)$  for the above calculation is determined from the following equation:

$$\sigma_V = \int_0^z \rho(z). g. dz$$

The Biot coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) calculation is determined from the following equation:

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{C_g}{C_h}$$

Where:

 $\alpha$  is the Biot coefficient,

 $C_g$  is the grain compressibility; and

 $C_b$  is the bulk compressibility.

No indications of pre-existing fractures were in the Stratigraphic Test Well borehole. Data supporting the lack of such fractures was provided by the analysis of the recovered whole core samples and from the behavior of the pressure transient falloff test data observed during the post-completion water injection well tests. The analysis of the injection pressure versus the injection rate data as plotted from the step-rate testing during the active injection testing period, and the observed behavior / slope of the fall-off pressure data, clearly indicates injection confined to the matrix of each perforated sandstone reservoir, with no indication of formation breakdown.

Following the methodology presented by Zhang and Roegiers (2010), the lack of known preexisting fractures validates the use of the Kirsch Solution equation for calculating the fracture breakdown pressure. This calculation of fracture breakdown pressure was then utilized as the assumed base case for the reservoir model at the Louisiana Green Fuels Port of Columbia site. Specifically, the fracture breakdown pressure derived from the Kirsch Solution equation was calculated using the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation whole core data presented in Table 2-11.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

2.5 SEISMICITY

An earthquake is a sudden shaking of the ground caused by the passage of seismic waves through

the Earth after two blocks of rock material suddenly slip past one another beneath the Earth's

surface. The plane where they slip is called the fault. The location below the Earth's surface where

the earthquake starts is called the hypocenter, and the location directly above it at the surface of

the Earth is called the epicenter. Seismic waves are elastic and travel at the speed of sound. These

waves may be felt by humans and can produce significant damage far away from the epicenter.

The size of an earthquake is typically expressed by either its intensity or magnitude. The magnitude

of an earthquake is an instrumental recording related to the energy released by the earthquake,

while the intensity of the earthquake describes the severity of its effects.

<u>Intensity</u> - Number describing the severity of an earthquake evaluated from the effects

observed at the Earth's surface on humans, structures, and natural features. Several scales

exist, but the Rossi-Forel scale (before 1931) and the Modified Mercalli scale (after 1931)

are the most used in the US. Intensity observations are employed to construct isoseismal

maps wherein areas of equal shaking effects are contoured.

Magnitude - Instrumental measure of an earthquake by a seismograph which records the

vibrations and movements of the Earth at seismic stations which are expected to all provide

the same determination of the same event since adjustments are made for distance and

instrumental constants. The magnitude scale was devised by Dr. Charles F. Richter in 1935.

Several iterations are available, depending on the type of seismic waves, distance to the

epicenter, and other factors.

Instrumental seismology is equally as important as historic records. Instrumentation allows

determination of seismic events much smaller than those which can be felt at the Earth's surface.

Thus, a catalog of seismic events may contain a wide range of events that are instrumentally

recorded but not felt by humans. Also, since seismic waves attenuate with distance and because

all regions cannot be adequately covered by seismographs, many small events are felt, but not

always detected.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 103 of 204

Page 104 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The Richter magnitude scale was developed in 1935 by Charles F. Richter of the California

Institute of Technology as a mathematical device to compare the size of earthquakes. The

magnitude of an earthquake is determined from the logarithm of the amplitude of waves recorded

by seismographs. Adjustments are included for the variation in the distance between the various

seismographs and the epicenter of the earthquakes. On the Richter Scale, magnitude is expressed

in whole numbers and decimal fractions. For example, a magnitude 5.3 might be computed for a

moderate earthquake, and a strong earthquake might be rated as magnitude 6.3. Because of the

logarithmic basis of the scale, each whole number increase in magnitude represents an approximate

tenfold increase in measured amplitude; as an estimate of energy, each whole number step in the

magnitude scale corresponds to the release of about 31 times more energy than the amount

associated with the preceding whole number value.

At first, the Richter Scale could be applied only to the records from instruments of identical

manufacture. Now, instruments are carefully calibrated with respect to each other. Thus,

magnitude can be computed from the record of any calibrated seismograph.

Earthquakes with magnitude of about 2.0 or less are usually referred to as micro-earthquakes; they

are not commonly felt by people and are generally recorded only on local seismographs. Events

with magnitudes of about 4.5 or greater (there are several thousand such shocks annually) are

strong enough to be recorded by sensitive seismographs all over the world. Great earthquakes,

such as the 1964 Good Friday earthquake in Alaska, have magnitudes of 8.0 or higher. On the

average, one earthquake of such size occurs somewhere in the world each year. The Richter Scale

has no upper limit.

Recently, another scale called the moment magnitude scale has been devised for more precise

study of great earthquakes. The moment magnitude scale is the measure of an earthquake's

magnitude (strength) based on its seismic moment. It was defined by Thomas C. Hanks and Hiroo

Kanamori in 1979. The moment magnitude scale is similar to the local magnitude scale defined by

Richter in 1935 in that it also uses a logarithmic scale; for this reason, small earthquakes have

approximately the same magnitudes on both scales.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The effect of an earthquake on the Earth's surface is called the intensity. The intensity scale consists

of a series of certain key responses such as people awakening, movement of furniture, damage to

chimneys, and finally, total destruction. Although numerous intensity scales have been developed

over the last several hundred years to evaluate the effects of earthquakes, the one currently used in

the United States is the Modified Mercalli Intensity (MMI) Scale. It was developed in 1931 by the

American seismologists Harry Wood and Frank Neumann. This scale, composed of 12 increasing

levels of intensity that range from imperceptible shaking to catastrophic destruction, is designated

by Roman numerals. It does not have a mathematical basis; instead, it is an arbitrary ranking based

on observed effects.

The MMI Scale (Figure 2-37) value assigned to a specific site after an earthquake has a more

meaningful measure of severity to the nonscientist than the magnitude because intensity refers to

the effects experienced at that place. After the occurrence of widely felt earthquakes, the

Geological Survey mails questionnaires to postmasters in the disturbed area requesting the

information so that intensity values can be assigned. The results of this postal canvass and

information furnished by other sources are used to assign an intensity within the felt area. The

maximum observed intensity generally occurs near the epicenter.

The lower numbers of the intensity scale generally deal with the manner in which the earthquake

is felt by people. The higher numbers of the scale are based on observed structural damage.

Structural engineers usually contribute information for assigning intensity values of VIII or above.

The following section detail the regional and local seismicity impacts for the Louisiana Green

Fuels Caldwell Parish site per the 40 CFR 146.82(a)(3)(v) standard.

2.5.1 Regional Seismic Activity

Seismically, the Gulf Coastal Plain is one of the least active seismic regions of North America

(Figure 2-38) as defined by seismic hazard. Caldwell Parish and the greater region of adjacent

Parishes has an extremely low rating for seismicity as determined via the USGS. Natural seismicity

in the Gulf Coastal Plain is attributed primarily to flexure of sediments along hinge-lines that

parallel the coast. This flexure is due to compression and down warping of the immature Gulf of

Mexico basin sediments in response to extreme sediment loading. Structural features such as salt

**Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels** 

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 105 of 204

Page 106 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

domes and growth faults, although capable of storing and releasing some seismic energy, are weak

and ineffective in generating even modest ground motion.

Salt domes are created by buoyant masses of autochthonous or allochthonous halite that cause

doming in the overlying sedimentary layers. Most such overlying sediments exhibit low density,

poor cementation, and low shear strength; such physical properties typically are associated with a

low shear modulus. For this reason, it is unlikely that tectonic stress attributable solely to

compaction or movement over a salt dome would cause earthquake events with a magnitude

greater than 3.0 on the Richter Scale. Such small earthquakes may be felt locally but are unlikely

to propagate damaging ground motions. As indicated in Section 2.2.3, the sequestration site is not

located near any known salt diapirs. In fact, the Louann Salt, the primary source of autochthonous

and/or allochthonous halite in Louisiana, is understood to be very thin or even non-existent in the

sequestration site area because of the presence of the underlying Paleozoic horst block, the

Richland-Caldwell Paleohigh (RCP). Accordingly, local tectonic stress associated with the

presence or movement of salt is considered highly unlikely.

The largest earthquake occurrence in Louisiana is the 1983 event recorded in the Lake Charles

area in extreme southwest Louisiana, over 150 miles from the Louisiana Green Fuels site, which

originated at a depth of more than 14+ kilometers (>8.4 miles) with a rated Mercalli magnitude of

approximately IV (light shaking and dishes rattling). This depth of origination is located much

deeper in the subsurface than the proposed injection depths beneath the Louisiana Green Fuels

sequestration site.

A database search of the National Earthquake Information Center for earthquakes in Louisiana and

the bordering states was conducted in September 2022. Table 2-12 presents a summary of these

results and is keyed to Figure 2-39. The search shows that since 1900, 42 reported earthquakes

with magnitudes greater than 2.5 on the Richter Scale were recorded within the State of Louisiana.

The blue circle represents a 100-kilometer (62 mile) radius from the Louisiana Green Fuels

sequestration site; within this blue circle, no seismic events have been recorded. The closest

recorded earthquakes are located more than 100 kilometers northwest of the proposed

sequestration site, in southern Arkansas. The largest ground motion experienced in Louisiana was

from the Lake Charles earthquake of October 16, 1983 (No. 40 on Table 2-12) as discussed above.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

2.5.2 Seismic Risk of the Site

A preliminary seismic risk evaluation has been conducted for the project area AoR. The proposed

sequestration area is located within Caldwell Parish, in an area indicated to have no substantive

faulting or salt dome movement. Overall seismic risk is rated *very low* based on:

• Low frequency of earthquakes in the region;

• The lack of reported natural earthquake events with a 100-kilometer radius of the

sequestration site;

The very low population density in the area, which limits the exposures and potential

impacts;

• The lack of recorded or suspected injection-induced seismicity in Class I or Class II wells

operating in the area;

• The lack of large-scale oil and/or gas production in the area; and

• No substantive faults identified from the reprocessed seismic data or the subsurface well

log information within the delineated AoR or the extended reviewed area.

Typical geologic structures characterizing this province are gently southerly dipping and

thickening sedimentary strata. Significant structural features such as salt domes and growth faults

are not present near the sequestration site. Therefore, seismic events typically attributable to such

structural features is not anticipated.

The frequency and magnitude of seismic activity in this part of the Gulf Coastal Plain is among

the lowest in the United States (Figure 2-38). Structural features such as salt domes and growth

faults, although capable of storing and releasing some seismic energy, are weak and ineffective in

generating even modest ground motion. None of these features are located near the sequestration

site. It should also be noted that none of the modest earthquake events that have been reported in

Louisiana have been attributed to movement along any specific fault.

Evaluations have been performed to determine the possible effects of natural events on (1) the

integrity of well construction materials; and (2) the integrity of both the Injection and Confining

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 107 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Zones beneath the Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site. A review of the USGS,

National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC)

(<a href="http://earthquake.usgs.gov/contactus/golden/neic.php">http://earthquake.usgs.gov/contactus/golden/neic.php</a>) indicates that Caldwell Parish area has a very low potential for seismic activity. In 1989, David J. Leeds, a certified geophysicist and engineering geologist, conducted a regional evaluation on seismicity. Leeds (1989) identified

seismogenic sources, modeled a design earthquake and discussed the effects of the design

earthquake on potential Injection and Confining Zones. The natural seismicity by the Leads' study

indicates that seismicity is not expected to be significant issue at the project site.

At the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site, the likelihood of an earthquake caused by natural forces or fluid injection is considered very remote. The planned injection into the highly porous reservoirs within the Injection Zones will occur at relatively low pressures (the highest being within the injection wellbore, at less than 80% of the fracture pressure for each such reservoir) and the injected plume(s) of supercritical carbon dioxide will expand unimpeded over time at decreasing pressure (with increasing distance from the wellbore) within reservoirs that are typically extensive over a broad, unfaulted area. Accordingly, the probability of the occurrence of a natural or induced earthquake event of sufficient intensity to damage the injection system, the injection wells, or the confining layers is considered to be very low.

2.5.3 Induced Seismicity and Regional Case Studies

Induced seismicity related to fluid injection normally results from salt or wastewater disposal activity involving the injection of large volumes of water at high pressures near known faults. This injection activity increases the pore pressure within the reservoirs receiving the injected fluids, which reduces frictional resistance and reactivates slippage along the existing fault planes. As noted earlier, there is no record of any injection-induced seismicity linked to any Class I or Class II injection well located in Caldwell Parish or any adjoining parish.

Conversely, significant fluid withdrawal has caused land subsidence and earthquakes as the affected sediments are dewatered and subjected to uneven differential compaction. Earthquakes of magnitude 3.4 to 4.3 on the Richter scale appear to have been caused by fluid withdrawal near some oil fields in east Texas (Davis et al., 1987), such as Sour Lake, Mexia, and Wortham Fields.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Factors that may lead to the creation of an induced earthquake event include the distance of an

injection well from a fault, the pre-existing stress state of that fault, and along with the injection

of a sufficiently large volume of fluids at a sufficiently high pressure and for a sufficient period of

time to initiate movement (slippage) along the fault (Ohio Department of Natural Resources,

2012).

Since 2010, the occurrence of earthquakes with a magnitude greater than 3.0 has increased from

20 events per a year (1967-2000) to over 100 events per a year (2010-2013) in certain areas of the

central and eastern United States (Ellsworth, 2013). This increased rate of occurrence in previously

inactive seismic areas has been, in many cases, correlated with the increased use of salt or

wastewater disposal injection wells located near faults. This mechanism has been used to explain

the best-known cases of injection-induced seismicity which was first studied in the Rocky Mountain Arsenal near Denver in the 1960's. Newer case studies have increased awareness of the

consequences of the use of injection wells to dispose of the large volumes of carry agents (fracture

fluids) associated with hydraulic fracturing methods. At many sites, smaller seismic occurrences

have been demonstrated to be the precursors of larger events. Since the Rocky Mountain study,

the abundance of more recent research has led to a better understanding of the factors and processes

associated with induced seismicity.

A hydraulic conduit from the injection zone to a fault may also induce earthquakes (Ellsworth,

2013). The largest injection-induced events are associated with faulting that is deeper than the

injection interval, suggesting that the increased pressure transferred deeper into the basement via

such conduits increases the potential for inducing earthquakes (Ellsworth, 2013). In all known

cases, fault slippage has been reactivated at or in close proximity to Class II injection sites. In some

cases, previously unknown faults have been discovered. No induced earthquakes have been known

or are postulated to have been caused by Class I injection operations (Davis et al., 1987).

One of the most notable regional cases of induced seismicity associated with injection wells was

recently recorded in Youngstown, Ohio. In 2011, twelve low-magnitude seismic events occurred

in the Youngstown area along a previously unknown fault line (Ohio Department of Natural

Resources, 2012). These events occurred less than a mile from the disposal of large volumes of

wastewater in a Class II injection well, the Northstar I well. Prior to the injection of large volumes

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 109 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

of wastewater in the subject well, the area had been seismically inactive; the reported earthquake events began to occur a few months afterward. A review of its well history indicated the injection pressure in the Northstar I disposal well had been increased twice over a six-month time period (Ohio Department of Natural Resources, 2012); this increase in injection pressure may have contributed to a reduction in the effective stress on the fault, reactivating slippage along the fault plane. After the Northstar I disposal well was shut down by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, the seismic activity in the area declined. As a result of this case, pre-injection and post-injection seismic monitoring has become commonly required in such Class II injection sites.

Another case study in the Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW) area tied small seismic events to a Class II injection well. Eleven hypocenters have been observed at a focal depth of 4.4 km and 0.5 km in the vicinity of the deep saltwater disposal (SWD) well (Frohlich et al., 2010). Injection at this well began 8 weeks prior to the first recorded seismic event. A northeast trending normal fault was identified as transecting the same approximate location (Frohlich et al., 2010). It appears that due to the injection of large volumes of wastewater into the disposal well, the stress upon the nearby fault had been reduced, reactivating slippage along the fault plane (Frohlich et al., 2010). All the reported seismic events associated with the DFW focus area were events of small magnitude (less than 3.3) that occurred shortly after the initial injection of large volumes of water.

In north-central Arkansas, multiple earthquakes may have been triggered by the injection of large volumes of wastewater into one or more Class II injection wells. Since the operation of the disposal well in 2009, the site has experienced an increase from 2 seismic events in 2008 to 157 such events in 2011 (Horton, 2012). These events were also believed to be linked to the discovery of a new, nearly vertical fault in the vicinity of the disposal well. Most of the earthquake events in this area have occurred within 6 kilometers (~2.5 miles) of one of three wastewater disposal wells (Horton, 2012). The depth of the earthquake foci occurred between 6.7 to 7.6 kilometers (4 to 4.6 miles) beneath the surface. The injection of wastewater had occurred at a depth of 2.6 kilometers (1.6 miles). At this disposal site, an east-west trending normal fault (the "Enders Fault") was known to have cut the reservoir into which the wastewater was being injected, thus acting as a probable conduit to the deeper, recently detected, near-vertical fault at the depth of 6.7 to 7.6 kilometers (Horton, 2012). Following the shutdown of the subject disposal wells in 2011 by the Arkansas Oil

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

and Gas Commission, the occurrence and magnitude of the earthquakes in the area steadily

decreased over time (Horton, 2012).

2.5.3.1 Induced Seismicity Analysis at the Sequestration Site

Real world examples that are more comparable for evaluation of this sequestration project are

available from Class I injection well sites located along the Texas-Louisiana-Mississippi Gulf

Coast, roughly extending from Corpus Christi in South Texas to Pascagoula, Mississippi. These

sites include both hazardous and nonhazardous fluid effluent disposal wells that typically operate

in the +/- 300 to 500 gallons per minute (gpm) injection range, with maximum injection

approaching 1,000 gpm. Many of these sites have been operating since the 1970s and a few as far

back as the 1950s. There is no known evidence of injection-induced seismicity or suspected

injection-induced seismicity at or near any of these Class I injection facilities, many of which are

near high-population areas.

Assessment of the potential for induced seismicity at these locations follows the methodology

outlined below, using the very conservative zero-cohesion Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion

recommended by the USGS (Wesson and Nicholson, 1987). These analyses indicate very low

potential for induced seismicity caused by pressures resulting from injection activities. Examples

are available, such as long-term Class I injection operations at sites like Chemours Delisle, Denka

Pontchartrain, INV-Orange, Lyondell Channelview, Rubicon Geismar, etc., among others, which

are all regulated by the EPA.

Additionally, this sequestration project proposes the injection of supercritical carbon dioxide into

individual sandstones interbedded with impermeable shales within the Upper Tuscaloosa and

Paluxy Formations, which are located many thousands of feet above the crystalline basement

complex (as is the reserved, shallower Annona Sand sequestration interval). Injection into strata

near or at the basement (an operation that will not occur within this project's AoR), with activation

of pre-existing faults, has been identified as contributing to induced seismicity in those parts of the

country where deep injection occurs.

Despite the long history of Class I and Class II disposal along the Texas-Louisiana Gulf Coast,

there has been no regional-scale or operational trends associated with induced seismicity attributed

**Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels** 

Page 111 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

to those wells or in similar hydro-mechanical areas such as those documented in Skoumal et al.

(2018, 2021) and Weingarten et al., (2015).

Finally, as mentioned in Section 2.5.1, characteristics of the typical regional geologic structures of

the Gulf Coast include gently coastward dipping and thickening sedimentary strata of Tertiary to

Cretaceous age that are disrupted by radial faults originating from salt or shale piercement domes,

syndepositional growth faults, regional fault systems, and post-depositional faults. However,

within the AoR of the proposed sequestration site, there are no known faults or salt structures that

would impact the integrity of the Injection Zones or have the potential for fault reactivation due to

injection operations.

2.5.4 Seismic Risk Models

The purpose of an earthquake model is used to evaluate any potential effects of natural earthquakes

on subsurface geological structures associated with the sequestration project. In general, a source

mechanism is required when designing a model earthquake. In these cases, it is usual to have a

known active fault system with a measured strain or stress field. In more active regions of the

earth, faults with strain (movement across the fault without a rupture) develop at a rate of up to 5

centimeters per year, or more (Leeds, 1989). As a meter or more of strain develops, stress

accumulates and eventually the system releases this stored strain energy in the form of elastic

waves (e.g., an earthquake). Although the Texas/Louisiana Gulf Coast contains several geological

features capable of storing and releasing stored energy, all are weak or ineffective in terms of

generating even modest ground motion (Leeds, 1989).

Growth faults have also developed along the Texas/Louisiana Gulf Coast which may be

responsible for seismic activity. Considering the Gulf Coast as a whole, a level of Mb=4.2 is

considered an upper level for this kind of source in this area (Leeds, 1989). The several low

magnitude events within about 50 miles of the coastline are probably attributable to this

mechanism.

The possibility that growth faults may be triggered by faults in the basement is suggested by

Stevenson and Agnew (1985) in their discussion of the Lake Charles Earthquake. Details of the

event were developed from recordings of Department of Energy (DOE) supported microseismic

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 112 of 204

Page 113 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

networks deployed for monitoring geothermal experiments (withdrawal and injection) in southern

Louisiana. The interpreted depths of 14+ km for these events are even deeper than have previously

been reported and well beneath anticipated injection depths for the sequestration project.

Additionally, none of the events were attributable to the geothermal extraction/reinjection

operations (Stevenson (personal communication), in Leeds, 1989).

2.5.4.1 Design Earthquake Model

For the evaluation of the potential impact of seismicity on a Class VI injection well facility, like

the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels Port of Columbia Facility, a modeled seismic event with a

body-wave magnitude Mb of  $4.2 \pm 0.2$  can be used as a conservative working model for the design

earthquake. It is presumed that the seismic source area would be along one of the east-west

trending growth faults located far south of the proposed sequestration site area, in south-central

Louisiana (Leeds and Associates, 1989). Another assumption is that the maximum ground motion

at the surface generated by the design earthquake would be within the range of MMI = V, which

equates to a horizontal surface acceleration of 0.05g (Leeds and Associates, 1989). The empirical

correlation between intensity and acceleration has a wide spread of data range, with recordings

varying from horizontal accelerations of 0.025g to 0.150g for an MMI = V event. This is the same

value used for an Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE) for certain Gulf Coast nuclear power plant

electric generating stations. For example, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's estimate for the

risk each year of an earthquake intense enough to cause core damage to the reactor at River Bend

(north of Baton Rouge) was 1 in 40,000, according to an NRC study published in August 2010

(Hiland, 2010).

The OBE is defined by US Federal Regulations 10 CFR 100, Appendix A, as follows:

"The Operating Basis Earthquake is that earthquake which, considering the regional and local

geology and seismology and specific characteristics of local subsurface material, could

reasonably be expected to affect the plant site during the operating life of the plant; it is that

earthquake which produces the vibratory ground motion for which those features of the nuclear

power plant necessary for continued operation without undue risk to the health and safety of the

public are designed to remain functional."

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The design earthquake in this study is based on the empirical data of normal shallow focus (<20

km) earthquakes on soft sites (Leeds, 1989). It is also assumed that in the Gulf Coastal seismic

environment, the release of energy from less competent materials than usual would result in longer

surface rise times; therefore, the ground motion would be biased to longer periods (lower

frequencies) than usual, and result in low accelerations, large displacements, and long durations.

Over the years, studies of the effect of depth on seismic ground motion have all noted a clear

attenuation. Observations in deep mines and boreholes have confirmed this phenomenon. Data

strongly indicates the dampening of amplitude with depth to an average of one-half, or less, of the

ground motion. The motion may become as low as one-fifth of ground motion while for small

motions, where the materials remain completely elastic, the amplitude may be diminished to as

small as one-tenth of the original amplitude (Leeds, 1989).

The primary kinetic impact of ground motion on saturated granular soils is a sudden increase in

pore water pressure. If the water table is located near the surface (within about 15 to 20 feet), if

the sands are reasonably well sorted and clean (free of clay), and if accelerations exceed about

0.25g, soil liquefaction can occur (Leeds, 1989). Liquefaction causes a loss of shear strength of

the soil and may result in the ejection of sand and water to the surface (sand boils) and the collapse

of the foundations of structures supported by such soil. In extreme cases, multistory buildings have

toppled (Niigata, Japan Earthquake in 1964) and buried tanks have floated to the surface (Leeds,

1989). Settlement and densification of the soil follow liquefaction. Because of the lack of

seismicity in the Caldwell Parish area, and its significant distance from any known seismic source

area, the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site area does not meet the conditions

expected to trigger liquefaction since the predicted acceleration levels (0.05g) would only be about

one-fifth of that required to cause liquefaction (Leeds and Associates, 1989).

Ground motion attenuates with depth. While pore pressures may increase, the soil matrix is not

required to support the lithostatic sediment column. Additionally, within the short duration of

shaking, there is neither sufficient pore space nor time for the fluids within the deeper strata to

move. Consequently, fluids trapped within deeper, more consolidated strata are considered to be

incompressible. Leeds (1989) conclude that possible interactions between sedimentary horizons

due to casing penetration and cement are minimal since there are only minor differential

**Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels** 

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 114 of 204

Page 115 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

movements as the propagated seismic waves pass through the matrix. They conclude that there

might be only several centimeters of displacement over the wavelength of the seismic waves and

that the normal elasticity of the well casing and tubing is sufficient to accommodate the strain

(Leeds, 1989).

It is only in the most extreme cases, such as that recorded in 1952 in Kern County, California,

where surface accelerations can reach 0.50g and many miles of surface rupture can occur, that

existing wells may be impacted. During the 1952 event in California, approximately 2% of the

wells in the area exhibited some form of surface damage due to the settlement of surficial soils

(Leeds, 1989). This event caused some subsurface damage including collapsed tubing near the

surface due to the sharp rise in casing pressure that accompanied the shock. However, all affected

wells were capable of being returned to operational status within 2 or 3 weeks of the event (Leeds,

1989).

After reviewing data from the largest historic events of the province and modeling a design

earthquake, the hypothetical modeling results show an event with little damage to engineered

structures or facilities. Ground motion due to seismic activity is attenuated with depth. The low-

magnitude limited events in the Gulf Coast region have resulted in only minimal ground

movement, further attenuated with depth. Therefore, in the highly unlikely scenario of a seismic

event occurring in the Caldwell Parish area, damage to the well systems within the AoR would not

be anticipated.

2.5.4.2 Induced Seismicity Model

In the construction of its induced seismicity model, Strategic Biofuels has employed conservative

assumptions to the causative mechanisms of induced seismicity and the geomechanical conditions

associated with the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site. The potential for induced

seismicity at the proposed injection site can be evaluated using the very conservative zero-cohesion

Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion, recommended by the USGS (Wesson and Nicholson, 1987). This

method is based on the following equation:

Revision Number: 0 Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

$$P_{crit} = \frac{S_{\nu}(3\alpha - 1)}{2} \tag{1}$$

Where:

 $P_{crit}$  = the critical injection zone fluid pressure required to initiate slippage along faults and fractures

 $S_{\nu}$  = the total overburden stress (which is the maximum principal stress in the Gulf Coast region)

 $\alpha$  = the ratio of the minimum principal stress (horizontal in the Gulf Coast region) to the maximum principal stress (overburden stress)

Inherent in Equation (1) are several conservative assumptions that appear guaranteed to produce a "worst-case" lower bound to the critical fluid pressure for inducing seismicity. These are:

- 1) It neglects the cohesive strength of the sediments.
- 2) It assumes that a fault or fracture is oriented at the worst possible angle.
- 3) It assumes a worst-case value of 0.6 for the coefficient of friction of the rock (see Figure 4 of Wesson and Nicholson, 1987).

For present purposes, Equation (1) can be expressed in a more convenient form by introducing the so-called matrix stress ratio ( $K_i$ ) (Matthews and Kelly, 1967; Eaton, 1969), which is defined as the ratio of the minimum to the maximum effective principal stresses. Eaton (1969) provides a plot of the effective overburden stress ( $S_v$ ) as a function of depth for locations along the Gulf Coast. This plot indicates  $S_v$  values exceed 0.90 psi/ft for the injection interval reservoirs. Matthews and Kelly (1967) provide a plot of the matrix stress ratio ( $K_i$ ) for tectonically relaxed reservoir sediments along the Louisiana and Texas Gulf Coast.

Effective principal stress is equal to actual principal stress minus fluid pore pressure (p<sub>o</sub>). Thus:

Revision Number: 0 Revision Date: February 2023 Module A – Project Information Tracking

$$K_i = \frac{\alpha S_v - p_o}{S_v - p_o} \tag{2}$$

Substituting the Equation (2) into Equation (1) yields:

$$\Delta P_{crit} = \left(\frac{3K_i - I}{2}\right) \left(S_v - p_o\right) \tag{3}$$

where  $\Delta P_{\text{crit}}$  is the critical injection zone pressure build-up required to induce seismicity, such as:

$$P_{crit} = p_o + \Delta P_{crit} \tag{4}$$

Equation (3) was used to evaluate the induced seismicity risk at the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site.

The analysis of the pore pressure data in Section 2.4.4 determined a regional formation pressure gradient (of brine-filled reservoirs) of 0.450 psi/ft for the targeted Injection Zones. Eaton (1969) provides a plot of the effective overburden stress ( $S_v$ ) as a function of depth for locations along the Gulf Coast. This plot indicates  $S_v$  values exceed 0.90 psi/ft for the Injection Zone reservoirs. Matthews and Kelly (1967) provide a plot of the matrix stress ratio ( $K_i$ ) for tectonically relaxed reservoir sediments along the Louisiana and Texas Gulf Coast.

The conservatively calculated critical pressure increases required to induce seismicity on a preexisting fault for the Injection Zones at the Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site are contained in Table 2-13. This value is significantly higher than any of expected and modeled pressures at the injection site. Since there are no known faults or fractures that impact the Cretaceous rocks within the AoR for this project, induced seismicity is considered highly unlikely to ever occur at the proposed sequestration site.

Page 118 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

2.6 HYDROGEOLOGY

The primary regulatory focus of the US EPA injection well program is the protection of human

health and the environment, including the protection of known or potential Underground Source

of Drinking Water (USDW). A USDW is defined by the EPA as an aquifer which supplies any

public water system and contains fewer than 10,000 milligrams per liter (mg/L) total dissolved

solids (TDS). The following sections describe in detail the regional and local hydrogeology and

hydrostratigraphy [40 CFR 146.82(a)(3)(vi), 146.82(a)(5)].

2.6.1 Regional Hydrogeology

The predominant aquifers of Louisiana by location, presented in Figure 2-40, occur within Eocene

and younger formations that contain usable quality water (<3,000 mg/L TDS) and potentially

usable quality water (<10,000 mg/L TDS), which is defined as the base of the lowermost USDW.

These aquifer systems regionally crop out in bands parallel to the perimeter of the Mississippi

Embayment and dip and thicken towards the south/southeast.

There are two major regional aquifer systems of importance in north-central Louisiana (Figure 2-

40):

the Eocene-aged Cockfield and Sparta Aquifer System; and

• the Quaternary-aged Mississippi River Valley Alluvial (MRVA) Aquifer System.

Figure 2-41 contains a hydrostratigraphic column for the State of Louisiana. This column denotes

the focused aquifer units for the project site (as highlighted by the red box outline). Not all of these

aquifer units are present throughout all of Louisiana. The deeper Eocene-aged aquifers of the

Cockfield and Sparta Formations only contain fresh water (above the USDW) in the northernmost

portion of the state, generally that area that encompasses the proposed sequestration site and those

lands located further north. In the central area of Louisiana, these same aquifers transition with

depth to saline reservoirs as the rate of formation dip increases towards the Gulf of Mexico. Once

the salinity of the water in these reservoirs increases with depth beyond the USDW standards they

no longer represent potential sources of groundwater supply.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Groundwater moves through aquifer systems from areas of high hydraulic head to areas of lower

hydraulic head. Local groundwater withdrawal by industrial and municipal water systems has been

documented to have impacted (locally changed) the direction of groundwater flow through the

impacted aquifer(s). Where available, published potentiometric maps for the aquifers of the subject

region have been provided and are discussed in the hydrostratigraphic section. The direction of

groundwater flow, unimpacted by local water usage, is typically downgradient at 90 degrees to the

potentiometric contours, at right angles. The most heavily used aquifer system in the northern

region of the state is the MRVA system, the use of which accounts for 22 percent of all

groundwater withdrawal in the state.

The following subsections focus on the regional hydrostratigraphy for north-central Louisiana.

2.6.1.1 Sparta Aquifer System

The Sparta Aquifer (also known as the "Kosciusko Aquifer" in western Mississippi) extends from

northeast Texas to central Mississippi and is comprised of highly porous and permeable Eocene-

aged sandstone reservoirs. It is a major source of freshwater in the north-central part of Louisiana

and Arkansas and its depositional geomorphology parallels the structural strike of the ancestral

Mississippi Embayment (Figure 2-42). The Sparta Aquifer is recharged at its outcrop through the

direct infiltration of rainfall, the movement of water through overlying terrace and alluvial

deposits, and in certain limited areas, vertical leakage from the Cockfield and Wilcox aquifers.

The Sparta Aquifer consists of a series of fine- to coarse-grained, loosely consolidated, quartzitic

sands that range in thickness from 100 to 900 feet in the updip areas of the Mississippi Embayment

where it contains freshwater (Rollo, 1960). The Sparta Aquifer locally thins over the significant

structural arches of the region, most notably the LaSalle Arch and the Monroe Uplift.

The Sparta Aquifer predominantly consists of sandstones of continental origin in the updip areas

of the Mississippi Embayment, where it contains freshwater. However, in certain paleocoastal

areas, brief transgressions of the Gulf of Mexico sea during Eocene time episodically inundated

the littoral areas of the Sparta coastline (Payne, 1968). Such minor marine transgressions are

evidenced by the occasional appearance of marine fossils and glauconite in locally reworked sands

deposited within the Sparta stratigraphic interval. The lateral equivalents of the Sparta sandstones

**Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels** 

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 119 of 204

Page 120 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

are hydraulically connected in certain areas but do not necessarily represent regionally extensive

(sheet-like) reservoirs.

In northeast Louisiana, the regional flow direction for the Sparta Aquifer is generally eastward,

towards the axis of the Mississippi Embayment. The Sparta Aquifer is an artesian aquifer system

that is confined by the overlying impermeable clays of the lower Cook Mountain Formation and

the underlying clays of the Cane River Formation, which serves as an aquitard for the USDW.

The Sparta Aquifer is an important source of usable groundwater for fifteen parishes in north-

central Louisiana, primarily for public supply and industrial purposes (McGee and Brantly, 2015).

For the Sparta Aquifer, the hydraulic conductivity generally ranges from 10 to 200 feet per day

(feet/d) with an average of about 70 feet/d over the extent of the Mississippi Embayment (Hosman

and others, 1968).

2.6.1.2 Mississippi River Valley Aquifer

This MRVA is comprised of Pleistocene and Holocene-aged sediments. The Pleistocene deposits

consist of a wedge of fluvial-deltaic sediments that thicken into the Mississippi Embayment (and

in a general coastward direction). A relatively thin veneer of Holocene-aged deposits form stream

terraces and alluvial valley fills (Rollo, 1960). These near-surface deposits are surficial and

recharge to the system is predominately by the abundant rainfall associated with the temperate

climate of the Gulf Coastal Plain. The MRVA system typically contains a series of gravel to

coarse-grained sandstones at its base, transitioning upward into finer-grained sandstones and

siltstones that in turn continue to diminish in grain size (fining upwards) until the lithology

transitions to clay. In some localized areas, the surface is covered by such impermeable clays.

The MRVA is a major source of freshwater in the northern-northeastern part area of Louisiana and

into the adjacent western area of Mississippi. The recharge is derived primarily from precipitation

rainfall and, to a lesser degree, by leakage from underlying sediments such as those of the

underlying Cockfield aquifer and Sparta Formations, where the MRVA unconformably subcrops

those older Eocene aquifers of the region (Prakken and White, 2014). The alluvial aquifer

discharge and recharge patterns of the MRVA are also controlled by surface water features that

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 121 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

may cross through the seasonal influx and movement of surface waters through the rivers and

streams that are in hydraulic communication with the porous strata of the unit.

The MRVA can thus be generally separated into two hydrogeologic units: an upper confining unit

of poorly permeable or impermeable silt, clay, and fine sand that impedes the downward

movement of water and a lower aquifer unit comprised of a series of exceptionally porous and

permeable, recently deposited, coarse-grained sands and gravels (Martin and Whiteman, 1985).

The important lower aquifer unit of the aquifer MRVA ranges in depth thickness from 60 to 260

feet in the northeast Louisiana areas and contains fresh water.

The MRVA is used as a primary drinking water aquifer in twenty-seven parishes in central

Louisiana and represents the youngest depositional unit of the Mississippi Embayment. The

geomorphology of the MRVA is oriented along the axis of the Embayment in a north to south

orientation, parallel to and associated with the floodplain of the Mississippi River. The MRVA is

hydraulically connected to the Mississippi River and its tributaries and groundwater within the

Mississippi River floodplain; accordingly, groundwater within the MRVA flows generally flows

in a southerly direction, from high to low hydraulic head. For the MRVA aquifer, hydraulic

conductivity generally varies between 10 to 530 feet per day (feet/d). In 2015, withdrawals from

the MRVA aquifer totaled 384.60 Mgal/d (Collier and Sargent, 2015) with the majority of the

usage for agricultural irrigation (especially rice cultivation) and industry. A potentiometric map

published from the USGS in 2016 is presented in Figure 2-43.

2.6.2 Local Hydrogeology

The Louisiana Green Fuels facility is situated in Caldwell Parish in northeastern Louisiana, along

the eastern bank of the Ouachita River in the Port of Columbia industrial site. Excluding the

MRVA, the aquifers of the Sparta and Cockfield Formations that underlie the Louisiana Green

Fuels project site comprise the major sources of groundwater in southern Arkansas, northern and

north-central Louisiana, western and central Mississippi, and portions of northeast Texas. The

principal aquifers of Louisiana are presented in Figure 2-44 and a localized hydrogeologic

stratigraphic column, developed for the AoR, is illustrated in Figure 2-45.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The hydrostratigraphic units of local importance at the Louisiana Green Fuels proposed sequestration site are of the Eocene-aged Claiborne Group strata and the Quaternary-aged surficial aquifer (MRVA). These are presented in descending order, from oldest to youngest:

• Cane River Formation – the lower containment interval, below the Sparta Formation;

• Sparta Aquifer (series of massive porous and permeable sandstones);

• Cook Mountain Formation – the containment interval deposited above the Sparta

Aquifer;

• Cockfield Aquifer (series of thin, porous and permeable sandstones); and

• Mississippi River Valley Alluvial Aquifer (MRVA).

Within this stratigraphic interval there are two principal drinking water – quality aquifers of local importance for the northern Caldwell Parish area: the Cockfield and MRVA aquifers (the Sparta Aquifer is also present). For the project site, the base of the lowermost USDW (as defined by the LDNR) is the base of the lowest (basal) sandstone of the Sparta Formation, which directly overlies the shales of the regionally extensive and generally impermeable Cane River Formation. Because of the considerably higher quality and lower salinity of the groundwater in the overlying Cockfield and MRVA aquifers, the deeper Sparta Aquifer is not currently used as a primary source of drinking water in Caldwell Parish.

The following sections provide details on the local expanse and parameters pertaining to the hydrostratigraphy of the defined systems, from deepest to shallowest intervals. There are no known springs within the local area and there are no upper bedrock or lower bedrock aquifers. The surficial aquifer system within the area is the Holocene-aged MRVA. A regional north-south cross section through the parish from Prakken and White (2014) is presented in Figure 2-46. It should be noted that this line of cross section is located west of the sequestration site, out of the Ouachita River floodplain, and consequently does not show the MVRA. Being located just within the Ouachita River floodplain, Louisiana Green Fuels Port of Columbia site occupies a geographic position that straddles the freshwater surficial extent of the Cockfield and MRVA. Within the Ouachita River floodplain (i.e., east of the Ouachita River), the MRVA is the primary aquifer, while outside of the Ouachita River floodplain (west of the Ouachita River), the Cockfield Aquifer

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

is the primary aquifer. Because of its location, both aquifers are of importance at the proposed

Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site.

2.6.2.1 Cane River Formation – Aquitard

The Cane River Formation is a regionally extensive poorly permeable to impermeable layer

(aquitard) comprised primarily of marine clay that directly underlies the Sparta Aquifer. This

fossiliferous clay contains glauconite and some very thin, poorly permeable siltstones. The Cane

River Formation hydraulically separates the Sparta Aquifer from the deeper Wilcox Formation,

which is comprised of a thick interval of interlaminated brine-filled sandstones and shales. The

brine-filled sandstones of the Wilcox Formation have been utilized for saltwater disposal from

oilfield operations in the northern Louisiana area. The top of the Cane River Formation (i.e., the

first shale or clay encountered beneath the base of the basal sandstone of the overlying Sparta

Aquifer) has been defined by the LDNR as the base of the lowermost USDW within the greater

Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site AoR.

2.6.2.2 Sparta Aquifer

The gross thickness of the Sparta Aquifer ranges from 600 to 800 feet throughout Caldwell Parish,

except in the southeastern corner where the gross thickness ranges from 800 to 1,000 feet (Ryals,

1984). In the northern Caldwell Parish area, the Sparta Aquifer is a confined aquifer that contains

usable ground water that is of lesser quality (<3,000 mg/L) than that pumped from the shallower

Cockfield and MRVA Aquifers. The formation was deposited in what has been interpreted to be a

fluvial-deltaic braided stream environment. The lower half of the Sparta Aquifer generally consists

of fine to medium grained sand; the beds in the upper half of the Sparta interval are typically

comprised of layers of sand and clay, with occasional thin lignite deposits (McGee and Brantly,

2015). In Caldwell Parish, the direction of groundwater flow in the Sparta aquifer (as measured in

2012) is northward toward a localized pressure sink caused by the pumping of large volumes of

groundwater from the Sparta Aquifer in that area, especially in the vicinity of the city of Monroe

in the adjoining Ouachita Parish (Figure 2-47).

Page 124 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

2.6.2.3 Cook Mountain Formation

The Cook Mountain Formation extends from north-central Louisiana eastwards into west-central

Mississippi and northward into southern Arkansas. The formation ranges in thickness from about

100 feet in northeastern Louisiana, to about 300 feet in central Louisiana, where it dips to the

southeast towards the axis of the Mississippi Embayment (Rollo, 1960). In the project area, the

Cook Mountain Formation is comprised primarily of impermeable clays and some thin,

discontinuous, fine-grained sandstones and siltstones that do not represent commercially important

groundwater reservoirs.

2.6.2.4 Cockfield Aquifer

The Cockfield Aguifer is considered an aguifer of minor importance within much of Louisiana.

However, it is a primary aquifer for northern Caldwell Parish west of the Ouachita River

floodplain. Its thickness ranges from less than 200 feet along the northwestern parish line to 600

to 800 feet in the southeastern corner of the parish (Ryals, 1984). Beneath the Ouachita River,

much of the Cockfield Aquifer has been eroded and replaced by the alluvial sands and gravels of

the MRVA (Collier and Sargant, 2015).

2.6.2.5 MRVA

The MRVA is an important source of groundwater east of the Ouachita River. The aquifer is

relatively shallow in Caldwell Parish from surface to depths around 250 feet. Recharge to the

MRVA is primarily from precipitation and, to a lesser degree, by leakage from underlying

sediments such as the Cockfield aquifer (Prakken and White, 2014). Natural discharge occurs by

seepage into streams (Whitfield, 1975). Details on MRVA groundwater usage in the Parish are

discussed in following sections.

2.6.3 Determination of the Lowermost USDW

The most accurate method for determining formation fluid properties is through the analysis of

formation fluid samples. In the absence of formation fluid sample analyses, spontaneous potential

and formation resistivity data obtained from the analysis of open-hole geophysical well logs can

be used to calculate formation fluid salinity by determining the resistivity of the formation fluid

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

 $(R_w)$  and converting that resistivity value to a salinity value. The two primary methods used to derive formation fluid salinity from geophysical logs are the "Spontaneous Potential Method" and the "Resistivity Method". The Spontaneous Potential Method derives the formation fluid salinity from the measured resistivity of the mud filtrate and the magnitude of the deflection of the spontaneous potential response, which is the electrical potential produced by the interaction of the typically fresh-water based (low salinity) drilling fluid, the varying saline content of the formation water, and the shale content of the formation surveyed. The Resistivity Method determines formation fluid resistivity from the logged resistivity of the formation  $(R_t)$  and the calculation of a formation resistivity factor (F), which is related to formation porosity and a cementation factor

## 2.6.3.1 Spontaneous Potential Method

(Schlumberger, 1987).

The spontaneous potential (SP) curve on an open-hole geophysical well log records the electrical potential (voltage) produced by the interaction of the connate formation water, conductive drilling fluid, and certain ion-selective rocks (clays and shales). Opposite shale beds, the spontaneous potential curve usually trends as a straight line (called the shale baseline), while opposite permeable formations, the spontaneous potential curve exhibits excursions (deflections) away from the shale baseline. The deflection may be to the left (negative) or to the right (positive), depending primarily on the relative salinities of the formation water and the drilling mud filtrate. When formation salinities are greater than the drilling mud filtrate salinity, the deflection is to the left (negative), which is usually the case for porous formations logged below the USDW. For the reverse salinity contrast (i.e., usually for shallower porous reservoirs that are freshwater-bearing), the deflection, to the extent there is sufficient contrast with the salinity of the drilling fluid, is to the right (positive). When the salinities of the formation fluid and the drilling mud filtrate are similar, no spontaneous potential deflection opposite a permeable bed will occur, and the response will be roughly equivalent to that of the shale baseline.

The deflection of the spontaneous potential curve away from the shale baseline in a clean sand is related to the equivalent resistivities of the formation water  $(r_{we})$  and the drilling mud filtrate  $(r_{mf})$  by the following formula:

Revision Number: 0 Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

$$SP = -K Log \left(\frac{r_{mf}}{r_{we}}\right)$$
 Equation (1)

For NaCl solutions, K = 71 at  $77^{\circ}F$  and varies in direct proportion to temperature by the following relationship:

$$K = 61 + 0.133 \, T^{\circ}$$
 Equation (2)

From the above equations, by knowing the formation temperature, the resistivity of the mud filtrate, and the spontaneous potential deflection away from the shale baseline, the resistivity of the formation water can be calculated (Figure 2-48). From the formation water resistivity and the formation temperature, the salinity of the formation water can then be calculated (Figure 2-49).

## 2.6.3.2 Resistivity Method

As noted above, the Resistivity Method determines formation fluid resistivity from the true resistivity of the formation ( $R_t$ ) and the calculation of a formation resistivity factor (F), which is related to formation porosity and a cementation factor (Schlumberger, 1987). The resistivity of a formation ( $R_t$  in ohm-meters) is a function of: 1) the resistivity of the formation water, 2) the amount and type of fluid present, and 3) the pore structure geometry. The rock matrix generally has zero conductivity (infinitely high resistivity) with the exception of some clay minerals, and therefore is not generally a factor in the resistivity log response.

Induction geophysical logging techniques determine resistivity or true resistivity (R<sub>t</sub>) by inducing electrical current into the formation and measuring its conductivity (the reciprocal of resistivity). The induction logging device investigates deeply into a formation and is focused to minimize the influences of borehole effects, surrounding formations, and the invaded zone (Schlumberger, 1987). Therefore, the induction log can measure the true resistivity of the formation (Schlumberger, 1987). The inverse of the conductivity measured on the induction log is the most accurate resistivity measurement for resistivity under 2 ohm-meters. Electrical conduction in sedimentary rocks almost always results from the transport of ions in the pore-filled formation water and is affected by the amount and type of fluid present and pore structure geometry (Schlumberger, 1988).

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

In general, brine-filled highly porous sediments with open, well-connected pores exhibit lower resistivity, and low-porosity sediments with sinuous and constricted pore systems exhibit higher resistivity. It has been established experimentally that the resistivity of a clean, saltwater-bearing formation (i.e., one containing no appreciable clay or hydrocarbons) is proportional to the resistivity of the saline formation water (Schlumberger, 1988). The constant of proportionality for this relationship is called the formation resistivity factor (F), where:

$$F = \frac{R_t}{R_w}$$
 Equation (3)

For a given porosity, the formation resistivity factor (F) remains nearly constant for all values of  $R_w$  below 1.0 ohm-meter. For fresher, lower salinity, more resistive waters, the value of F may decrease as  $R_w$  increases (Schlumberger, 1987). It has been found that for a given (saline) formation water, the greater the porosity of the formation, the lower the resistivity of the formation ( $R_t$ ) and the lower the formation factor. Therefore, the formation factor is inversely related to the formation porosity. In 1942, G. E. Archie proposed the following relationship (commonly known as Archie's Law) between the formation factor and porosity based on experimental data:

$$F = \frac{a}{\phi^m}$$
 Equation (4)

Where:

 $\phi = porosity$ 

a =an empirical constant

m = a cementation factor or exponent.

In sandstones, the cementation factor m is assumed to be 2, but can vary from 1.2 to 2.2 (Stolper, 1994). In the shallower sandstones, as sorting, cementation, and compaction decrease, the cementation factor m can also decrease (Stolper, 1994). Experience over the years has shown that the following modified form of Archie's Law generally holds for sands in the Gulf Coast and is known as the Humble Relationship (Schlumberger, 1987):

Revision Number: 0 Revision Date: February 2023 Module A – Project Information Tracking

$$F = \frac{0.81}{\phi^m}$$
 Equation (5)

By combining the equations for the Humble relationship and the determination of the formation factor, the resistivity of the formation water ( $R_{we}$ ) can be related to the formation resistivity ( $R_t$ ) by the following formula:

$$R_t = \frac{R_{we} \times 0.81}{\phi^m}$$
 Equation (6)

## 2.6.3.3 Methodology Used in the Site Evaluation

To determine the formation water resistivity in a particular zone, the resistivity of the drilling mud filtrate (obtained from the log header) at the depth of the zone must first be determined. Resistivities of saline solutions vary as a function of NaCl concentration and temperature. The relationship between temperature, NaCl concentration, and resistivity are typically shown in the form of a nomograph for computational ease (Figure 2-48). From this Figure, the resistivity of the drilling mud filtrate can be corrected to the temperature of the zone of interest. A shale baseline is next established on the spontaneous potential curve and the deflection away from the shale baseline is measured. A chart containing the graphic solution of the spontaneous potential Equation (1) (Figure 2-49) gives the solution for the ratio between the resistivity of the mud filtrate and the formation water (R<sub>mf</sub>/R<sub>we</sub>) based on the measured spontaneous potential curve deflection. The resistivity of the formation water at formation temperature can be determined from the R<sub>mf</sub>/R<sub>we</sub> ratio and converted to the equivalent NaCl concentration from Figure 2-48. Once the base of the lowermost USDW is established, a formation resistivity (R<sub>t</sub>) cut-off on the deep induction log can be established using Equation (6). This formation resistivity cut-off, used by LDNR for the state, has been used to establish the base of the lowermost USDW, which corresponds with the base of the Sparta Aquifer in the Louisiana Green Fuels proposed sequestration site area.

By using Figures 2-48 and 2-49, a formation water resistivity of 0.35 ohm-m corresponds to a salinity of 10,000 mg/l TDS. However, using a temperature of approximately 90 °F, a formation water resistivity value of 0.45 ohm-m corresponds to a salinity of 10,000 mg/l TDS. Therefore, deeper intervals with higher temperatures are expected to have a higher resistivity cut off for analysis.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Using a water resistivity value and an estimate of formation porosity, a formation resistivity  $\left(R_{t}\right)$ 

cut-off can be calculated. For the Louisiana Green Fuels site, the USDW is relatively shallow

(compared to injection depths), and thus a formation water resistivity of 0.35 ohm-m is used. From

Equation (6), using an assumed formation porosity of 34 percent (shallow unconsolidated sands),

a formation resistivity (R<sub>t</sub>) cut-off can be calculated as follows:

$$R_t = \frac{0.35 \ ohm - m \ x \ 0.81}{0.34^2} = 2.45 \ ohm - m$$

Therefore, it is conservatively calculated that porous sands with a formation resistivity greater than 2.0 ohm-m were considered to be above the USDW. This methodology is in agreement with LDNR guidance: (<a href="http://www.dnr.louisiana.gov/assets/OC/im\_div/uic\_workshop/2\_USDW.pdf">http://www.dnr.louisiana.gov/assets/OC/im\_div/uic\_workshop/2\_USDW.pdf</a>), which indicates that the USDW should fall between:

• Ground surface to 1,000 feet: 3 ohm-meters or greater

• 1,000 feet to 2,000 feet: 2 ½ ohm-meters or greater

stratigraphic equivalent of the base of the Sparta Aquifer (Figure 2-50).

• 2,000 feet and deeper: 2 ohm-meters or greater

USDW values and depths have been reported through LDNR's Strategic Online Natural Resources Information System (SONRIS), and these were compared against the depths measured using shallow well logs in the proposed sequestration site area. To be conservative in the current analysis, the base of the lowest USDW as observed on all of the logs from wells drilled within the AoR was correlated from well to well and placed at the base of the deepest sand (or its stratigraphic equivalent) exhibiting a deep resistivity of 2 ohm-meters, which consistently represents the

2.6.3.4 Base of Lowermost USDW

The lowermost USDW is defined by the marked decrease of resistivity (to the Cane River Formation shale baseline) that occurs at the base of the deepest (basal) sand deposited within the Sparta Formation. The lowermost USDW is separated from the top of the Wilcox Formation by approximately 200 feet to 250 feet of Cane River Formation, which is a regional aquitard

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

consisting predominantly of shale between the shallowest freshwater sands of the Sparta

Formation and the deeper saline sands of the upper Wilcox Formation.

For the Louisiana Green Fuels site, the USDW is found to occur at a depth range of approximately

850 feet to 1,100 feet (measured log depth), based upon the methodology presented above and as

verified by the correlative USDW depth values reported by SONRIS. The base of the lowermost

USDW is presented in **Figure A.1** in Appendix A. The map shows the depth of the USDW ranges

from ~500 feet below ground level (at the northeast corner of the map) to over 1,200 feet (in the

south / southwest sector of the map).

The USDW is approximately 1,070 to 1,100 feet below ground level, which has been established

by the historical drilling and open hole logging records within the shallow Riverton Field as well

as the recently drilled Stratigraphic Test Well. This depth correlates to the stratigraphic equivalent

of the base of the Cockfield/Sparta Aquifer system. However, as noted, the Sparta Aquifer is not

currently used as a source of drinking water within the proposed sequestration site area.

2.6.4 Local Water Usage

In Caldwell Parish, which has a current population of approximately 9,700 people, the main source

of drinking water comes from the Cockfield and MRVA Aquifers. Currently, there are 11 public

water systems in the Parish that rely upon pumped shallow groundwater as a source of fresh water.

The USGS, in cooperation with the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development

(DOTD), produced a "Water Resources of Caldwell Parish" fact sheet with data up until 2010.

The supply of water for public use was split between surface water (61%) and groundwater (39%).

The majority of the Parish's surface water is supplied from the Ouachita and Bouef Rivers and

Bayou Lafourche, with a very minor additional volume supplied by Caster Creek and Beaucoup

Creek. With regard to groundwater supply, the 2010 USGS statistics showed that 1.73 Mgal/d of

groundwater was supplied from the three local aquifers, in the following proportions: (1) the

MVRA provided 0.61 Mgal/d, (2) the Cockfield Aquifer provided 1.09 Mgal/d, and (3) the Sparta

Aquifer provided 0.02 Mgal/d.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 130 of 204

Page 131 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The nearest drinking water producer to the proposed sequestration site is the East Columbia Water

District (ECWD). The ECWD is one of two systems providing service to Caldwell Parish east of

the Ouachita River; the other nine systems are located west of the river. The ECWD supplies

groundwater from two wells completed within the MRVA aquifer system for the eastern portion

of the Parish nearest the river along its entire course through the Parish, including all surface

operational areas of the proposed Louisiana Green Fuels sequestration site. The source wells,

treatment facility and storage tanks are on the same location and are within the AoR for the

sequestration site. The other system east of the river is distant to the northeast, far outside the AoR,

and is separately sourced. The ECWD reports that it provides service to 940 customers and a total

population of approximately 2,820. Usage in 2020 was 51 million gallons averaging 140,000

gal/day, and the total tank storage capacity is 300,000 gallons.

2.6.5 Water Wells and Data Sets

Water well data was gathered from the online database of the LDNR, specifically the online GIS

website SONRIS (<a href="https://www.sonris.com">https://www.sonris.com</a>). A water well search was performed through SONRIS

in November 2022. LDNR registered water well locations within 4 miles (red boundary) of the

Louisiana Green Fuels, Port of Columbia Facility are shown on Figure 2-51 (blue points) and

keyed to Table 2-14. Additionally, these water wells are also depicted on all the geologic maps

provided in Appendix A. Figure 2-51 also illustrates surface water bodies in the local area. The

Ouachita River, which is located just west of the proposed sequestration site, runs generally north-

south from southern Arkansas through northern Louisiana and eventually empties into the Red

River. It is approximately 605 miles long. There are also multiple branches and creeks, which are

seasonally intermittent. There are no quarries or subsurface mines within the study area.

A total of 173 water wells have been identified as having been drilled for sources of water (Table

2-14) and extend from depths of 28 feet to 845 feet (Figure 2-52). Of those 173 wells, 119 water

wells are still active, the remaining wells are plugged. These active wells are proportionately

completed in the MRVA (63%), the Cockfield Aquifer (24%), the Sparta Aquifer (8%), and minor

completions in other smaller systems (Figure 2-53).

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The available public data (note: some wells are missing data) indicates the water well usage within

a 4-mile radius of the proposed project site is primarily attributable to agricultural irrigation and

domestic consumption (Figure 2-54). The remaining water wells for which usage data is available

are used for commercial and industrial purposes or were drilled to provide temporary drilling water

in support of nearby oil and gas drilling well activity. Of the 119 active wells, six wells are

currently being used for municipal public water supply.

No Class I injection wells have ever been operated within Caldwell Parish. There are currently no

active Class II injection wells (saltwater disposal wells for oil and gas operations) located within

the AoR. Previously active Class II wells in the local area injected brine well below the USDW

into the saline reservoirs of the Upper Wilcox formation at depths between 1,300 feet to 2,500 feet.

It should be noted that all such previously active Class II saltwater disposal wells injected brine

into Upper Wilcox sandstone reservoirs that are well above the top of the Primary Confining Zone,

the 600 foot-thick shales of the Paleocene Midway Group.

2.6.6 Injection Depth Waiver

The injection zones proposed by Strategic Biofuels for its proposed Louisiana Green Fuels

sequestration site are more than 3,000 feet deeper than the base of the lowermost USDW.

Accordingly, Strategic Biofuels is not requesting an injection depth waiver.

2.7 GEOCHEMISTRY

The proposed data collection program (submitted in "Pre-Operational Testing" in Module D) has

been designed and implemented to determine the mineralogy of the Injection Zones, Containment

Intervals, and Confining Zones, as well as to characterize the interstitial fluids in each of these

zones.

Below the base of the lowermost USDW and throughout the entire interval of interest, all rock

formations contain brines of varying salinity in their pore spaces. Open hole logging methods, such

as the wireline recording of spontaneous potential, formation resistivity, and formation nuclear

magnetic resonance tool measurements, along with their interpretations, can be used to define the

vertical distribution of saline brine concentrations. The salinity of brines within such formations

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 132 of 204

Page 133 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

increases with depth under normal (i.e., non-geopressured / dewatered) conditions. For additional

accuracy, fluid samples will be collected *in-situ* during the initial completion of the injection wells

and brought to the surface to be analyzed by an LDNR accredited laboratory, in a manner similar

to that recently employed during the testing of the Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN: 975841). These

different sources of data will be integrated and compared to existing data available in the region

through published literature papers and state and/or federal regulatory agency databases.

The deep resistivity log data acquired in the Stratigraphic Test Well borehole and fluid samples

collected using various methods and tools have been used to characterize the formation fluid's

geochemical properties and assess potential compatibility issues between the supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> to

be injected and the native fluids and the mineralogy of the sandstones of the Injection Zones.

**2.7.1** Formation Brine Properties

During cased-hole testing, formation fluid samples were collected from the Stratigraphic Test Well

for each tested injection interval and the samples were subsequently analyzed by Stratum Reservoir

in Houston, Texas. The data obtained from the analysis of the formation fluid samples was used

to establish the model parameters and data inputs for the proposed sequestration reservoirs within

the AoR.

The resistivity of each formation fluid sample as measured in the laboratory was then compared to

the downhole water resistivity recorded by the deep induction resistivity curve logged in the

Stratigraphic Test Well. The salinity of the samples measured in the lab was also compared to the

salinity derived from the resistivity curve measurements and the calculated formation water

resistivities. Overall, the laboratory results were in excellent agreement with the properties

estimated using the wireline logging tools. Fluid viscosities were then calculated from the

temperature, pressure, and salt concentrations of the formation fluid samples, as was the pH of the

fluid, which is also discussed in this section for each injection interval. The site-specific test results

are summarized in Table 2-15.

Additional samples were collected from the Stratigraphic Test Well during testing operations and

maintained in pressurized conditions for flashed gas composition measurements at the surface.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 134 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

These datasets reveal the non-negligible presence of native CO2 in the subsurface, mostly in the

sandstones of the Upper Tuscaloosa Injection Zone, as detailed in Table 2-16.

2.7.1.1 Temperature

The formation temperature gradient can be estimated from temperature measurements previously

recorded in offset wellbores drilled to various depths within the AoR. The radius (rugosity) of the

borehole, the temperature of the drilling fluid, and the extent of mud filtrate invasion (from the

drilling fluid) can influence the temperature measured in the borehole, although such influence

generally attenuates (lessens) over time (Poulsen et al., 2012). The measured borehole temperature

is thus affected by the length of time between the end of drilling fluid circulation and the time the

wireline logging tool takes to reach the drilled bottom of the well and begin logging up. As such,

borehole temperature measurements recorded by wireline-conveyed thermometers at a given depth

in a borehole are likely to be lower than the actual formation temperature at that depth, because

the temperature of the mud column in the borehole (at time of logging) has not had sufficient time

to reach equilibrium with the actual formation temperature.

In Figure 2-36, the bottomhole temperatures recorded in 58 offset wells drilled within a radius of

20 miles from the Stratigraphic Test Well are combined with the temperatures recorded by the

cased hole Differential Temperature Survey run in said Well 24 hours after the injection tests were

completed. Also displayed for reference are the mean annual surface temperature and the

bottomhole temperature immediately measured prior to open hole logging. The data are fitted by

a linear trend which indicates a temperature gradient of 1.53 °F/100 feet.

The subsurface temperature for each injection interval can then be estimated from the temperature

gradient and the mean annual surface temperature (see also Table 2-15, which summarizes the

water formation properties analyzed from the fluid samples tested in the lab):

1) 129 °F for Injection Zone No. 1 – Annona Sand (log depth of 4,166 ft)

2) 145 °F for Injection Zone No. 2 – Upper Tuscaloosa Interval (depth of 5,166 ft)

3) 151 °F – Lower Tuscaloosa "Basal Sand" (depth of 5,612 feet; note – the Lower

Tuscaloosa Basal Sand is a low-permeability reservoir not targeted for injection)

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The temperatures of the confinement and containment intervals can also be calculated, such as:

1) 119 °F for Upper Confining Zone – Midway Shale (log depth of 3,469 feet)

2) 126 °F for Intermediate Containment Interval – Selma Chalk (log depth of 3,977

feet)

3) 155 °F for Lower Containment Interval – Paluxy Shale (depth of 5,846 feet)

**2.7.1.2** *Salinity* 

Much of the methodology for salinity determination is identical to the Resistivity Method used for

USDW determination detailed in Section 2.6.3, including the use of Equations (3), (4), (5) and (6).

For clarity, that analysis is repeated in full in this Section.

The general theory associated with the determination of water quality in "clean" saltwater-bearing

zones relies upon the resistivity of the native formation water (R<sub>w</sub>), which can be calculated by

using the Archie Equation (Archie, 1942). The use of the Archie Equation assumes that the

reservoir for which water resistivity is to be determined is 100% water saturated and does not

contain any appreciable volume of clay or shale. The Archie Equation further assumes that the

subject reservoir is sufficiently thick so that the deep investigation resistivity measurements have

not been affected by either shoulder beds or by mud filtrate invasion.

The general form of the water saturation equation is:

$$S_w^n = \frac{R_w}{(\Phi^m R_t)}$$

where:

 $S_w$  is the water saturation of the uninvaded formation

n is the saturation exponent, which varies from 1.8 to 4.0 but is normally assumed to be

2.0

 $R_w$  is the formation water resistivity at formation temperature

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

 $\Phi$  is the porosity

m is the cementation exponent, which varies from 1.7 to 3.0 but is normally assumed to

be 2.0

 $R_t$  is the true resistivity of the formation, corrected for invasion, borehole, thin bed, and

other environmental effects

In shaley (clay-rich) reservoirs, Archie's Equation tends to overestimate the water saturation.

Many models have been developed that attempt to take into consideration the volume of clay or

shale (Vshale) in the formation matrix to account for the overestimation of water saturation. As an

example, the Simandoux Equation (Simandoux, 1963) is among the most frequently used shaley

sand water saturation equations, and one that reduces mathematically to the Archie Equation when

the subject reservoir is clean (i.e., when Vshale = 0).

In the case of a fully saturated formation, the true resistivity of the formation (R<sub>t</sub>, expressed in

ohm-meters) is a function of the resistivity of the formation water, the amount and type of fluids

present, and the pore structure geometry. The rock matrix itself generally has zero conductivity

and therefore is not generally a factor in the resistivity log response unless the logged strata have

zero porosity. Induction logging determines near-wellbore resistivity by inducing an electrical

current into the formation and measuring the formation's conductivity (the reciprocal of

resistivity). The induction logging tool investigates deeply into the formation and tailored to

minimize the influences of borehole effects, surrounding formations, and invaded zones

(Schlumberger, 1987). Modern versions of the induction logging tool are considered capable of

measuring the R<sub>t</sub> of the formation (Schlumberger, 1987). In other words, modern induction

resistivity logging represents the most accurate resistivity measurement for resistivities under 2

ohm-meters.

Electrical conductivity in porous rocks almost always results from the transport of ions in the pore-

filled formation water and is dependent upon the volume and type of fluids present as well as the

pore space geometry (Schlumberger, 1988). In general, high-porosity sediments with open, well-

connected pores exhibit higher conductivity and lower resistivity whereas low-porosity sediments

with sinuous and constricted porous systems exhibit lower conductivity and higher resistivity. It

**Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels** 

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 136 of 204

has been established experimentally that the resistivity of a clean, water-bearing formation (i.e., a reservoir containing no appreciable clay or hydrocarbons) is directly proportional to the resistivity of the formation water,  $R_w$  (Schlumberger, 1988). This constant of proportionality is called the formation resistivity factor (F), such as:

$$F = \frac{R_t}{R_w}$$

For a given porosity, the formation resistivity factor (F) remains nearly constant for all values of  $R_w$  below 1.0 ohm-meter. For fresher, more resistive waters, the value of F may decrease as  $R_w$  increases (Schlumberger, 1987). It has been found that for a given formation fluid, the greater the porosity of a formation, the lower the resistivity of the formation ( $R_t$ ) and the lower the formation factor (F). Therefore, the formation factor is inversely related to the formation porosity. In 1942, Archie proposed the following relationship between the formation factor and porosity based on experimental data:

$$F = \frac{a}{\phi^m}$$

where:

 $\phi = porosity$ 

a =an empirical constant

m = a cementation factor or exponent.

In sandstones, the cementation factor m is generally assumed to be 2.0 but can vary from 1.2 to 2.2. In near-surface sandstones, as sorting, cementation, and compaction decrease, the cementation factor can also decrease. Experience over the years has shown that the following form of Archie's Law is generally accurate when applied to such shallow sandstones, especially in the U.S. Gulf Coast area, and is known as the Humble Relationship (Schlumberger, 1987):

$$F = \frac{0.81}{\phi^2}$$

Revision Date: February 2023 Module A – Project Information Tracking

By combining the two expressions:

$$\frac{R_t}{R_{tt}} = \frac{0.81}{\emptyset^2}$$

The above equation was applied to calculate the formation water resistivity in the Stratigraphic Test Well (La SN: 975841) from the deep resistivity curve measurements (Figure 2-54).

Resistivities of reservoir brines vary as a function of NaCl concentration and formation temperature. The relationship between formation temperature, NaCl concentration, and formation resistivity are typically shown in the form of a nomograph for computational ease (Figure 2-48). This nomograph (or its algorithmic expression) can be used to estimate the water salinity in the proposed Injection Zones.

Empirical methods exist to determine the salinity of the formation water, but the most accurate method is the direct physical analysis of formation fluid samples collected *in-situ*. Table 2-14 presents a summary of the major chemical constituents and physical properties of the eight formation water samples collected during the injection testing of the Stratigraphic Test Well by swabbing operations that immediately followed the perforation of the prospective sequestration reservoirs. *In-situ* samples were collected from their representative formations as follows:

- Annona Sand (4,149-4,184 ft)
- Upper Tuscaloosa Formation (5 samples collected between 4,913 and 5,484 ft)
- Lower Tuscaloosa Basal Sand (5,597-5,628 ft) (note see previous comments; the Lower Tuscaloosa Basal Sand is a low-permeability reservoir not targeted for injection)
- Paluxy "P-2" and "P-3" Sands (commingled interval: 5,810-5,846 ft)

The measurement of TDS, which indicates the salinity of the formation water, was measured in the lab by (1) the summation of the major ion constituents and (2) derived (estimated) from the observed conductivity of the formation fluid sample. The first method is preferred because the ion constituents are directly measured from the fluid samples. Table 2-15 provides the results for both calculations.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Since the dominant cation in each of the eight fluid analyses is sodium (Na<sup>+</sup>), and the dominant

anion is chlorine (Cl<sup>-</sup>), the TDS can be compared to the sodium chloride (NaCl) concentration

(which is also a proxy for salinity) estimated from the resistivity measurements performed in the

lab at a temperature of 77 °F, using the nomograph provided in Figure 2-48. The TDS can also be

compared to the NaCl concentration estimated from the formation water resistivity (Rw) computed

from the resistivity well log (R<sub>t</sub>), plotted in Figure 2-54 for the proposed Injection Zones, again

using the nomograph for the corresponding downhole temperatures. The NaCl concentrations

exhibit a near-perfect match between the two methods. However, it should be noted that a

discrepancy of -4% to +16% with the TDS calculated as the sum of the major ions and a

discrepancy of -18% to -13% with the TDS calculated from the sample conductivity was observed.

For subsequent calculations, the TDS obtained as the sum of the major ions in the fluid samples

will be used as the reference.

The water salinity / quality in the proposed Injection Zones can be summarized as:

1) 96,000 mg/l for Injection Zone No. 1 – Annona Interval (at a depth of 4,166 feet)

2) 126,000 mg/l for Injection Zone No. 2 – Upper Sands of the Upper Tuscaloosa

Interval (at a depth of 5,166 feet)

In addition, as a point of reference, the salinity of the Lower Tuscaloosa Basal Sand Formation

brine is as follows:

3) 104,000 mg/l for the Basal Sand of the Lower Tuscaloosa Interval (at a depth of

5,612 feet; note – see previous comments; the Lower Tuscaloosa Basal Sand is a

low-permeability reservoir not targeted for injection)

Overall, the water quality measurements indicate lower salinities in the Annona Sand (95,000-

100,000 mg/l) and the Lower Tuscaloosa Basal Sand (100,000-105,000 mg/l) than in the Upper

Tuscaloosa interval. As one would expect, the highest salinity is observed in the deeper

commingled Paluxy "P-2" and "P-3" Sand interval, with an expected range between approximately

130,000 and 145,000 mg/l. In the Upper Tuscaloosa, the general trend seems to be towards

increasing formation fluid salinity with depth, ranging from about 120,000 to 130,000 mg/l.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 139 of 204

Page 140 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

However, one formation fluid sample from the top of the Upper Tuscaloosa interval (near 4,930

feet) yielded an analysis of 130,000 mg/l, suggesting brine salinities throughout the Upper

Tuscaloosa may be relatively consistent.

**2.7.1.3** *Viscosity* 

Viscosity is a measure of a fluid's resistance to flow. At subsurface conditions, the formation brine

viscosity can be determined using a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet correlation developed by Douglas

M. Boone in 1993 which estimates the viscosity as a function of pressure, temperature, and NaCl

concentration. Viscosity tends to increase with pressure and salt concentration whereas it decreases

when temperature increases.

Table 2-14 includes the viscosity calculated for the fluid samples tested in the lab.

The formation brine viscosity in the proposed injection intervals can be summarized as:

1) 0.62 cP for Injection Zone No. 1 – Annona Sand (at a depth of 4,166 feet)

2) 0.59 cP for Injection Zone No. 2 – Upper Sands of the Tuscaloosa Interval (at a

depth of 5,166 feet)

3) 0.53 cP for the Basal Sand of the Lower Tuscaloosa Interval (at a depth of 5,612

feet; note - see previous comments; the Lower Tuscaloosa Basal Sand is a low-

permeability reservoir not targeted for injection)

As expected, viscosity decreases with depth as formation temperature increases. However, this

tendency for viscosity to decrease with depth may be offset by the higher salinities that also tend

to increase with depth. In such higher salinity reservoirs, the formation water becomes more

viscous (by way of example, note the higher viscosity of the formation brine recovered from the

commingled Paluxy "P-2" and "P-3" Sand interval).

2.7.1.4 pH Measurements

The pH scale, historically denoting potential of hydrogen (or power of hydrogen), is a scale used

to specify the acidity or basicity of an aqueous solution. Acidic solutions (solutions with higher

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

concentrations of H<sup>+</sup> ions) have lower pH values than basic or alkaline solutions. The pH scale is

logarithmic and inversely indicates the concentration of H<sup>+</sup> ions in the solution. At 25 °C (i.e., 77

°F), solutions with a pH less than 7 are acidic, and solutions with a pH greater than 7 are basic.

Solutions with a pH of 7 at this reference temperature are considered neutral (e.g., pure fresh

water).

Table 2-14 documents the pH measured in the lab for the eight fluid samples collected *in-situ* from

the Stratigraphic Test Well. All samples have a pH lower than 7, indicating slightly acidic

solutions. The highest (most basic) pH value of 6.4 was measured from the fluid sample collected

from the Annona Sand. For the upper sands of the Upper Tuscaloosa, the pH varies within a narrow

range of acidity, i.e., between 5.8 and 6.2. The most acidic values were measured from fluids

collected from the Lower Tuscaloosa Basal Sand (pH = 2.6; the Basal Sand is not targeted for

injection) and the commingled Paluxy "P-2" and "P-3" Sand interval (pH = 4.9).

2.7.1.5 Gas Analysis

Fluid samples were conditioned at reservoir temperature and pressure for a minimum of 24 hours

prior to measuring the gas/water ratio and flashed gas composition using gas chromatography

methods. The analyses were performed by Stratum Reservoir laboratories in Houston, Texas.

Table 2-15 presents the results for the eight samples collected between 4,134 feet and 5,808 feet

in the Stratigraphic Test Well. The shallowest sample was collected from the Annona Sand. Two

fluid samples were collected from perforations across the Upper Tuscaloosa "5,050-Foot Sand",

an optimal sequestration target stratigraphically located within the upper portion of the Upper

Tuscaloosa Injection Zone. Another two fluid samples were collected from the Lower Tuscaloosa

Basal Sand, which as earlier noted is a low-permeability sandstone that is not a candidate for

sequestration. The deepest two samples were from the commingled Paluxy "P-2" and "P-3" Sand

interval.

The measured gas/water ratio (GWR) indicates higher amounts of gas were present in the Lower

Tuscaloosa Basal Sand reservoir (19.0 and 21.7 scf/stb; the Basal Sand is not targeted for injection)

than in the Upper Tuscaloosa "5,050-Foot Sand" reservoir (4.9 and 6.2 scf/stb). There is a non-

negligible amount of native CO<sub>2</sub> in the Lower Tuscaloosa Basal Sand (74.2 mol% and 88.0

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

weight% on average) and in the Upper Tuscaloosa "5,050-Foot Sand" (22.0 mol% and 40.6

weight% on average). Significantly lower amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> were also present in the Annona Sand

(2.6 mol% and 6.5 weight%) and in the commingled Paluxy "P-2" and "P-3" Sand reservoir

interval (10.9 mol% and 22.9 weight%).

It is also worth noting that two gas collection bags were filled with gas emanating from certain

three-foot segments of the whole cores cut in the Stratigraphic Test Well, after the (aluminum

inner core) barrels had been transported to Stratum Reservoir's Houston laboratory for analysis

(the end caps of those sealed three-foot core barrel segments had been observed to be distended

(bulging) outward by pressure from a buildup in gas within those segments). When the distended

end caps of those segments were pierced, the slightly pressured gas trapped inside those barrel

segments was extracted and collected in the two bags and subsequently analyzed by Isotech

Technology (an affiliate of Stratum Reservoir).

The molecular content of the gas collected in those bags, while mostly methane, was confirmed to

also include the presence of CO<sub>2</sub>: 100% of the non-hydrocarbon gases present in the gas collected

from an Austin Chalk Equivalent core barrel segment (Whole Core No. 2) was CO<sub>2</sub>, which equates

to 0.092% of the gas collected from that three-foot core barrel segment; and 96% of the non-

hydrocarbon gases present in the gas collected from a core barrel segment cut in the upper portion

of the Upper Tuscaloosa interval (Whole Core No. 3) was CO<sub>2</sub>, which equates to 0.015% of the

gas collected from that three-foot core barrel segment.

2.7.2 Compatibility of the CO<sub>2</sub> with Subsurface Fluids and Minerals

Interactions between carbon dioxide and the formation brine and matrix materials in the subsurface

can be categorized as those that occur during the period of active injection (or in the immediate

period following injection), and those that occur over the longer term of carbon dioxide plume

migration and permanent storage. While interactions occurring during injection and in the early

phase of carbon dioxide sequestration can be (and have been) directly studied and evaluated, the

longer-term interactions over tens to hundreds of years can only be evaluated through modeling

and other forms of prediction. In general, geologic materials are not overly reactive, or very slowly

Page 143 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

reactive, with acids such as carbonic acid. Carbonic acid (H2CO3) is a weak acid that dissociates

into a proton (H<sup>+</sup> cation) and a bicarbonate ion (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> anion).

Because the permeability of the confining zones and adjacent containment intervals (shales) is

expected to be several orders of magnitude lower than the permeability of the Injection Zones

(sandstones), in a practical sense, the sequestered supercritical carbon dioxide has a much higher

potential to contact and react with the rocks and fluids in the Injection Zones. Additionally, because

of the extremely low permeability of the aquitard shales, only reactions near or at the shale/sand

interface are likely to occur.

Injection operations elevate pressure within the injection interval during the period of active

injection and for a period of time afterwards (during pressure recovery). This elevated pressure

provides the driving force for the potential vertical permeation of injected fluids and formation

brines into the overlying aquitards. Buoyance of the sequestered supercritical carbon dioxide also

provides an additive driving force. Permeation is greatest immediately adjacent to the wellbore

where the pressure buildup is large and involves primarily the injected fluids. With increasing

distance from the well, the permeation force drops off significantly with decreasing elevated

formation pressure. In addition, with increasing distance from the well, the mix of affected fluids

subject to the permeation force is comprised of decreasing concentrations of injected fluids. At a

considerable distance from the injection well, the vertical permeation force declines to the point

where it may only affect either the original formation brine or the injected fluids, depending on

the extent of the injected carbon dioxide plume.

Occasionally, fluids may move into the base of the overlying aguitard from the injection interval

below and compress some of the native brines immediately above it. This compression raises the

pressure within the lower portion of the aquitard and expands the pores immediately above the

interface. Aquitard materials, such as clay/shales, are known to exhibit significant pore expansion

(Neuzil, 1986). The combined effects of native brine compression and aquitard pore expansion

provides the necessary space to accommodate / store the entering fluids. This process does not

occur uniformly throughout the thickness of the aquitard; rather, it is confined to a narrow region

very close to the lower aquitard boundary. Throughout the remainder of the aquitard, there is

virtually no indication that any changes have taken place. This narrow region near the base of the

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

aquitard is referred to as the compression boundary layer. It contains new fluids that have entered

since the beginning of the injection, as well as original formation brines that have been pushed

upward into the expanded pores and compressed by the entering fluids. The vast majority of the

fluids within this layer are typically the original formation brines.

With continued injection, the compression boundary layer increases in thickness and may

eventually encompass the entire aquitard thickness. Native fluids originally present at the top of

the aquitard may then begin seeping out into the next overlying permeable layer. The time for this

to occur is proportional to the square of the aquitard thickness and inversely proportional to the

hydraulic diffusivity of the aquitard material (Bredehoeft and Pinder, 1970). Because the hydraulic

diffusivity of many aquitard materials (such as shales) is very low (Neuzil, 1986; Neuman and

Witherspoon, 1969a and 1969b; and Hantush, 1964), the time is in the order of decades (Chen and

Herrera, 1982) which is comparable to the operational lifetime of many underground sequestration

facilities. Thus, compressive storage effects in the aquitard layers are important when modeling

injection-induced permeation into an aquitard during injection and shortly after the cessation of

operation of the injection facility. When injection is discontinued, some of the injectate may seep

back into the injection interval from the aquitard. This reverse permeation phenomenon always

occurs when the pressure in the injection interval decreases.

The vertical permeation distance reaches an absolute maximum either during injection (typically

at the end of the injection period) or after an infinite time has passed since injection operations

have stopped. The time necessary to attain the maximum distance depends on the compressive

storage properties of the aquitard. For aquitards with high compressive storage capabilities, the

maximum permeation distance occurs at the end of the injection period. For aquitards with low

storage capabilities, the maximum permeation will occur at a much later time.

After injection operations have stopped, the driving force (i.e., pressure) for vertical permeation

usually will begin to dissipate, along with the compressive storage of fluids in the aquitard. The

pressure-driven rate of fluid movement into the overlying aquitard decreases to zero, leaving only

the residual buoyance force. Before the carbonic acid from the sequestered carbon dioxide can

react with the clay/shales of the aquitard, it must first migrate from the injection interval strata into

the base of the overlying aquitard. During the movement within the injection interval, the acid can

**Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels** 

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 144 of 204

Page 145 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

be partially or totally neutralized by the carbonates, clays, and other silicates (e.g., feldspars) in

the formation. This neutralization halts any further dissolution of carbonate minerals, so that the

fraction of dissolved carbonates (relative to the amount of pre-injection carbonate mineral that was

present) is extremely small.

The modeling of strong acids injected into Class I wells presented by DuPont indicates that:

• During injection, injected acids react with at most 2 inches per year of the shale in the

overlying arresting aquiclude layer. This rate drops to less than 0.1 inch per year if the

waste is injected at least five feet below the base of the arresting shale.

• After injection ceases, injected acids react with at most an additional two feet of the

overlying arresting aquiclude layer for all eternity.

• In the unlikely event that the overlying arresting aquiclude shale layer contains a vertical

streak of highly reactive material, such as calcite, the acid could at most migrate 26 inches

into this streak: 16 inches during a 60-year period of injection and an additional 10 inches

for all eternity post-closure.

Permeation through the arresting shale due to pressure buildup during injection is more

important than shale-acid reactions in determining how far injected fluids can migrate into

the overlying arresting aquiclude shale.

Therefore, interactions of the sequestered carbon dioxide and the formation fluids and materials

are the most critical within the injection intervals.

At the pressure and temperature conditions typical of supercritical carbon dioxide sequestration

projects, carbon dioxide is soluble to a limited degree. The dissolved carbon dioxide transforms

the native formation brine into a carbonic acid, such as:

 $CO_2$  (aqueous phase) +  $H_2O = H^+ + HCO_3^-$  (aqueous phase)

The carbonic acid can react with and dissolve certain minerals in the matrix, which acts to

neutralize the lower pH. The sequestration process includes both short-term and long-term

geochemical impacts. Short-term CO<sub>2</sub>-water-rock interactions can affect injection over the

operational time period (decades), such as dry-out and salt precipitation in the near-wellbore area

Page 146 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

from formation fluid evaporation. In addition, at first contact with CO2 (i.e., at the leading edge of

the sCO<sub>2</sub> plume), carbonic acid is formed via sCO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in the native formation brine. This

triggers dissolution of carbonate minerals. As a consequence of this chemical reaction, the carbonic

acid is quickly neutralized, and a new equilibrium is rapidly established between the elevated sCO<sub>2</sub>

concentration and the carbonate minerals. The new equilibrium is already established after only a

small amount of carbonate dissolution has occurred, such that porosity and permeability changes

are negligible.

Behind the advancing leading edge of the sCO<sub>2</sub> plume (where the formation brine has already been

neutralized), no further carbonate dissolution takes place. Long-term reactions can lead to the

permanent entrapment of the carbon dioxide via mineral trapping. The long-term geochemical

processes consist of a combination of slow dissolution and precipitation reactions. Significant

long-term dissolution without simultaneous co-precipitation is impossible because it would lead

to unrealistic supersaturation levels in the formation brine. In most systems, precipitation

dominates over dissolution, resulting in a gradual decrease of porosity and permeability and a

gradual mineral entrapment of CO<sub>2</sub>.

The extent of secondary trapping mechanisms within the injection interval is highly site-specific

and depends on the geology, structure, and hydrology of each reservoir. For instance, increasing

pore fluid salinity decreases carbon dioxide solubility (Gunter et al., 1993). The purity of the

injected carbon dioxide also affects the storage capacity of the reservoir (Talman, 2015). In such

sedimentary settings, the injected carbon dioxide may remain mobile for centuries and entrapment

would be attributable to the impermeability of the overlying confining layer(s) and sealing faults

(if present; there are no known faults within the AoR that would impact the mobility of the sCO2).

Large and extensive saline aquifers are essentially hydrodynamic traps, where the injected carbon

dioxide is expected to move rapidly through the pore space, interacting with a larger volume of

the reservoir. This interaction increases the extent of all secondary mechanisms (National

Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

The carbonic acid created by the dissolution and dissociation of the sCO2 into the formation brine

can readily react with calcium carbonate and hydroxide minerals, which in turn would reduce the

acidity of the formation brine. In addition to the precipitation of carbonates, a host of other fluid-

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 147 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

rock reactions can take place within the injection zone. Silicate minerals in arkoses and shales

display textures in experiments indicating those minerals are reacting with carbonic acid (Kaszuba

et al., 2002). Acid reacts with feldspars in a manner similar to its reaction with clays. However,

the overall rate is slower with feldspars than with clays because in typical rock matrix, the feldspar

is present as large particles, so the relative surface area available for feldspar to react is much

smaller than that for clay particles.

Silica can be solubilized by an acid as follows:

$$SiO_2 + H_2O + H^+ \rightarrow Si(OH)^{3+}$$

The rate of dissolution of silica is generally quite slow but becomes faster as the hydroxyl concentration increases. Note also that the rate of dissolution is 10,000 times faster at a pH of 8.5

than at a pH of 3.0 (Iler, 1979).

Mineral compatibility from CO<sub>2</sub>-brine-rock interaction experiments conducted in support of basin

characterization projects sponsored by the Department of Energy suggests that feldspars

(plagioclase and albite-K-spar system) are destabilized by the drop in pH associated with carbon

dioxide dissolution in the formation brine water, favoring the formation of minerals such as

kaolinite, muscovite, and paragonite (LBNL, 2014).

The principal effect of acid on clays is to leach metal ions from the clay lattice sites, leaving behind

a silica framework. In experiments which monitored the x-ray diffraction pattern of the clays as

the metal ions were leached out by acid, the pattern remained very similar to the original clay x-

ray pattern even when 50% of the aluminum had been extracted from the mineral (Matthews et al.,

1955). There are two types of sites in clays where metal ions can be located. The largest fraction

of metal ions is located within the octahedral sites of the clay structure. These are part of the

alumina sheet in the mineral structure and are coordinated to six oxygens. A smaller fraction of

the metal ions occupies the tetrahedral sites. These are part of the silica sheet and are coordinated

to four oxygens. Octahedrally coordinated aluminum is leached out at a faster rate than

tetrahedrally coordinated aluminum (Turner, 1964).

Page 148 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

At the BEG Frio Brine Pilot Test (in the Texas Gulf Coast Region), following carbon dioxide

breakthrough, samples from the monitoring well exhibited sharp drops in pH, pronounced

increases in alkalinity and iron content, and significant shifts in the isotopic compositions of

formation waters, dissolved inorganic carbon, and methane (Kharaka et al., 2006). Geochemical

modeling of the Frio Brine Pilot indicates that brine pH would have decreased further but was

buffered by the dissolution of carbonate and iron oxyhydroxides (Kharaka et al., 2006). The

dissolution of minerals, especially iron oxyhydroxides, and the leaching of the clays could

mobilize metals and organic compounds in formations containing residual hydrocarbons or other

organic compounds (Kharaka et al., 2006). It should be noted that whole core and rotary core

analysis, as well as an extensive petrophysical analysis of the Stratigraphic Test Well (and

surrounding older wells) indicate there is essentially nil residual hydrocarbons in the targeted

Injection Zones within the AoR.

The experimental and modeling analyses suggest that mineral precipitation and dissolution

reactions (within the targeted Injection Zones) are not expected to lead to significant changes to

the underground hydrologic system over time frames (approximately 30 years) that are typically

relevant for such injection operations.

2.7.3 Site Specific Geochemical Modeling

Injection of supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> into a reservoir leads to the dissolution and dissociation of CO<sub>2</sub> in

the formation water, causing the pH to decline and changing the geochemical equilibrium. As a

result, the dissolution and precipitation of minerals will take place until a new geochemical

equilibrium is reached. This process may take hundreds of years due to the slow rate at which

some minerals react. Mineral reactions, speciation reactions, and gas dissolution reactions are

quantified and coded in public geochemical databases (e.g., Thermoddem developed by BRGM),

which can be used by the simulation code PHREEQC to compute geochemical equilibria and

kinetic rates. PHREEQ is a C and C++ model software designed to solve various aqueous

calculations and is available as open-source code through the USGS.

For the Louisiana Green Fuels project, evaluations of the geochemical reactions, especially those

related to redox reactions and hydrogen sulfide will be performed in an effort to reduce model

Page 149 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

prediction uncertainties. A follow-up study is planned to be undertaken once more accurate data

(mineralogical and formation fluid compositions) has been obtained from the site-specific

acquisition of such data in the proposed injection wells as well as the composition of the actual

sCO<sub>2</sub> injection stream. Such follow-up work should include a 1D and/or 2D modeling study to

assess the reactive transport effects of CO<sub>2</sub>, the quantification of uncertainty (the impact of

physiochemical model input parameter uncertainties like mineral dissolution / precipitation kinetic

rates), and the geochemical impact of contaminants present in the reaction stream. The future

geochemical modeling will also evaluate the potential for the clogging (occlusion) of the pore

throats in the near-wellbore area, which could lead to loss of injectivity, caused by water

evaporation (dry-out) in the injected CO<sub>2</sub> and salt precipitation in the reservoir. Such salt

accumulation could be exacerbated as a result of the capillary backflow of brine from the aquifer

into the dried-out area during lengthy periods of non-injection.

The sampling program that will be implemented during the drilling and completion of each

proposed injection well has been designed to include additional sampling of relevant formation

fluids and formation materials so that tests on both injection interval and the confining layer(s) can

be made (see "Pre-Operational Testing and Logging Plan" submitted in Module D). The

interactions between carbon dioxide, formation brines, and formation minerals will be further

analyzed using geochemical and reactive transport models to evaluate changes in formation water

chemistry, mineral precipitation, and dissolution reactions, as well as any potential impact upon

formation permeability.

2.8 ECONOMIC GEOLOGY OF THE AREA

Historically, Riverton Gas Field, where much of the AoR is located, was once a producer of low

volumes of natural gas in Caldwell Parish, with methane production supplied from the so-called

Reynolds Coal Seam (actually, two separate lower Wilcox lignite beds), at depths between 2,400

feet and 3,100 feet. However, coalbed methane production from Riverton Gas Field has

significantly declined in production since 2008, and all the formerly producing wells are either

shut in or have been plugged and abandoned.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 150 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The thick shales of the Midway Group (the Upper Confining Zone) directly underlie the Wilcox

Formation and act as a very effective lower confining zone for the shallower lignite gas-bearing

reservoirs within the AoR (i.e., within the greater Riverton Field area). Most of the wells drilled

within a six-mile radius of the Port of Columbia site are shallow Wilcox test wells that did not

penetrate deeper than 3,300 feet, and therefore did not penetrate very far into the 600-foot-thick

Upper Confining Zone (if at all). As such, these shallow test wells will not be affected by the

deeper CO<sub>2</sub> injection operations at the project site and do not represent potential vertical leak

conduits; furthermore, almost all such wells have been plugged and abandoned.

Within Caldwell Parish, certain sandstones within the upper portion of the Wilcox Formation

(from 1,350 feet to 1,800 feet) are currently used by disposal operators for SWD. Eight such SWD

wells have been drilled within four miles of the Port of Columbia location. Of the eight wells, six

are currently plugged and abandoned, and the remaining two are active. The two active wells are

injecting into the upper Wilcox Sands at from depths of 1,368 to 2,200 feet. The historical well

documentation indicates that there is a sufficient barrier of a minimum 100 feet between the

shallow disposal operations and the base of the lowermost USDW. Therefore, the Cane River

Formation has been demonstrated as a good seal (aquitard) separating the historical SWD

operations within the upper Wilcox from the overlying lowermost USDW.

Detailed information on wells within the designated AoR is contained in the "Area of review and

Corrective Action Plan Report" [40 CFR 146.84(b)] submitted in Module B.

There are no sources of pressure, induced pressure sinks, or other critical operations involving

either the confining or injection zones in the local site area or region that will have an impact on

CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration operations at the Louisiana Green Fuels, Port of Columbia Facility.

2.9 SHALLOW SURFACE GEOLOGY

The project area is dominated by complex surface conditions including tree and grass-dominated

high areas, intermittently flooded freshwater wetland, and riparian zones. The area is expected to

be dynamic in terms of seasonal carbon dioxide production and uptake from active environments,

including wetland bottom sediments, intermittently saturated soils, plant and animal activities, and

**Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels** 

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

other activities which are likely to change over time. The determination of the baseline spatial

distribution of atmospheric and soil gas monitoring stations will be determined on a site-specific

basis and will consist of repeat measurements at several fixed and variable sites, and over a period

of at least one year, to capture any seasonal or diurnal variations (LCFS Protocol Subsection

C.4.1).

Atmospheric monitoring across the AoR will be conducted utilizing a single, broad-range eddy

covariance system and a portable gas meter to define natural background variability, including

seasonal and diurnal trends, and to detect potential atmospheric carbon dioxide leakage and/or

potential movement of carbon dioxide that may endanger the local USDW (LCFS Protocol

Subsection C.4.3.2.2(a)).

The sampling program that will be implemented during the testing and monitoring for the

Louisiana Green Fuels project is provided in "E.1 – Testing and Monitoring Plan" submitted in

Module E.

2.10 SITE SUITABILITY SUMMARY

The Strategic Biofuels, Louisiana Green Fuels site is suitable for injection of supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> as

per 40 CFR 146.83 standards for the Confining and Injection Zones. The key factors driving site

suitability are summarized:

• Only a very limited number of artificial penetrations (legacy wells drilled in search of oil

and gas) have been drilled within the project AoR that completely penetrated the Primary

Upper Confining Zone (the 600-foot-thick shales of the Paleocene Midway Group),

reducing associated CO<sub>2</sub> containment risk.

• The proposed Injection Zones are all deeper than 4,000 feet, a depth that is associated with

sufficient pressure and temperature to be favourable for supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> injection, which

increases site efficiency (injecting denser supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> means more carbon dioxide

can be stored in an equivalent pore space volume).

• The project AoR is interpreted to be devoid of faults and high-relief salt structures,

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003 Page 151 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

substantially reducing risk of vertical migration of injectate out of the proposed injection

zones via potential natural conduits.

• Structural dips are approximately less than 1.5 degrees, which is generally favorable for

migration-assisted supercritical carbon dioxide sequestration in a saline aquifer.

• There are two vertically stacked Injection Zone intervals: Injection Zone No. 1, the Upper

Cretaceous Annona Sand (held in reserve); and (the primary) Injection Zone No. 2,

comprised of the multiple sandstones of the Upper Tuscaloosa and Paluxy Formations,

both of which contribute to the capacity of the storage complex and thus improve the

storage efficiency of the proposed sequestration site.

• The primary Upper Confining Zone is the 600-foot-thick aggregate shale sequence of the

Paleocene Midway Group, which is a proven impermeable shale sequence that is laterally

extensive throughout the entire north Louisiana region. The Midway Group (aka Midway

Shale) meets all the standards of an Upper Confining Zone in accordance with 40 CFR

148.21(b)

• Secondary containment intervals that are internal to the sequestration complex, being

located between the base of the Primary Confining Zone (the Midway Shale) and the top

of the Primary Injection Zone (the top of the Upper Tuscaloosa Formation) include

the >1,000-foot-thick aggregate Selma, Taylor and Austin Chalk interval, which provides

an additional and very substantial measure of safety.

The Lower Confining Zone is comprised of the thick evaporites of the regionally extensive

Lower Cretaceous Ferry Lake Formation. Numerous secondary containment intervals that

are internal to the basal sequestration complex, being located between the base of the

Primary Injection Zone (the multiple sequestration sand target reservoirs of the Paluxy

Formation) and the top of the Lower Confining Zone (the Ferry Lake Formation) include

thick impermeable shales within the Lower Paluxy and Mooringsport Formations, which

in turn provide an additional and very substantial measure of safety. The regionally

extensive thick evaporites of the Lower Cretaceous Ferry Lake Formation also meets the

definition of the Lower Confining Zone specified by the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

(LCFS) regulations promulgated by the California Air Resources Board.

The heterogeneity and distribution of the sand and shale facies of the Upper Tuscaloosa and Paluxy

Formations, as well as their correlative intra-reservoir baffles and containment intervals, serve to

provide substantial local immobilization and effective containment of the proposed volumes of

supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> to be injected within the AoR. Substantial volumes of supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> can thus

be safely sequestered in the pore spaces by capillary forces and dissolved within the *in-situ* brine

of the targeted Injection Zones.

The mineralogy of the targeted Injection Zones and their Confining Zones, as well as the internal

containment intervals within the sequestration project, along with the native formation fluids

(brines) present in the targeted Injection Zones, are not expected to be reactive in any substantive

manner with the injected supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> stream. This lack of reactivity will be confirmed with

future data analysis and compatibility testing of the supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> stream.

# 3.0 AOR AND CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

Strategic Biofuels has uploaded the "Area of Review and Corrective Action Plan" technical report [40 CFR 146.82(a) and 146.84(b)] via the EPA GSDT portal. The report contains the details of the computational modeling [40 CFR 146.84(c)], which includes pressure and plume maps at 5-year intervals for the simulated 20-year sequestration operation period. The report also includes a tabulation of all wells within the delineated AoR [per 40 CFR 146.82(a)(4)]. A total of twelve legacy wells penetrates through the entire Confining Zone. A thorough evaluation of each of these wells, using well records, scout tickets, and logs was performed to determine if a corrective action plan is warranted. In addition to the Louisiana Green Fuels, Stratigraphic Test Well, four legacy wells in the AoR will be converted to in-zone monitoring wells for the project prior to injection operations. No wells within the AOR require corrective action as a result.

A reevaluation schedule for AoR delineation is set at 5-year intervals during injection operations.

#### **AoR and Corrective Action GSDT Submissions**

**GSDT Module:** AoR and Corrective Action

*Tab(s):* All applicable tabs

Please use the checkbox(es) to verify the following information was submitted to the GSDT:

 $\boxtimes$  Tabulation of all wells within AoR that penetrate confining zone [40 CFR 146.82(a)(4)]

△ AoR and Corrective Action Plan [40 CFR 146.82(a)(13) and 146.84(b)]

☑ Computational modeling details [40 CFR 146.84(c)]

This plan will be updated as the project is developed to be consistent with the geological, testing, and operational data derived from the injection wells and monitor wells as they are constructed and tested, and subsequently updated once injection has been initiated.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

4.0 FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

Strategic Biofuels has submitted a Financial Responsibility Demonstration (FRD) (in accordance

with 40 CFR 146.82(a) and 146.85. The submittal covers activities identified in the corrective

action plan, injection plugging plan, post-injection site care and closure, and the emergency and

remedial response plan. Additionally, it covers the monitoring and reporting activities during

injection and closure operations.

Cost estimates (Table 4-1) for the activities were provided by independent third-party contractors

per 40 CFR 146.85(c). Therefore, the cost estimates include project management, administrative

costs, overhead, and contingency.

Detailed cost estimates for each plan with supporting documentation has been uploaded on the

"Cost Estimates" Tab in Module C of the GSDT Tool. Values may change due to inflation of costs

or additional changes to the final project. If the costs estimate change, Strategic Biofuels will adjust

the value of the FRD and it will be submitted to the authorized regulatory body for review and

approval on an "as needed" basis. Detailed information and supporting documents have been

submitted through the GSDT through "Module C – Financial Responsibility Demonstration".

Financial Responsibility GSDT Submissions

**GSDT Module:** Financial Responsibility Demonstration

*Tab*(s): Cost Estimate tab and all applicable financial instrument tabs

Please use the checkbox(es) to verify the following information was submitted to the GSDT:

☑ Demonstration of financial responsibility [40 CFR 146.82(a)(14) and 146.85]

Page 156 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

5.0 INJECTION WELL CONSTRUCTION

Strategic Biofuels is requesting permits for three Class VI carbon dioxide sequestration wells (Well

Nos. 1, 2, & 3) for use with its Louisiana Green Fuels renewable diesel facility to be constructed

at the Port of Columbia in Caldwell Parish, Louisiana. These wells will initially be completed in

the Tuscaloosa/Paluxy Injection Zone. The Annona Sand Injection Zone (Zone No. 1) will be held

in reserve and may be completed at a later date.

The following Sections address the procedures to drill, sample, complete, operate, and test the

proposed injection wells, as well as specifications of the construction materials. Specification of

maximum instantaneous rate of injection, average rate of injection, and the total monthly and

annual volumes to be injected are also included. All construction data meets the requirements for

Class VI wells under 40 CFR 146.82(a)(9), (11), and (12). Procedures for the plugging and

abandonment of the injection wells is contained in "E.2 – Injection Plugging Plan" submitted in

Module E.

All phases of well construction will be supervised by qualified individuals acting under the

responsible charge of licensed professional engineers who are knowledgeable and experienced in

practical drilling and completion operations and who are familiar with the special conditions and

requirements of Class VI CO<sub>2</sub> injection well construction.

5.1 PROPOSED STIMULATION PROGRAM

A detailed stimulation plan will be developed for the Class VI wells at the Louisiana Green Fuels

facility, which will be employed after drilling and completion. The stimulation program will

consist of acidization and wellbore flowback (utilizing nitrogen on coiled tubing) to remove

formation skin damage due to invasion of solids during drilling and completion operations. The

acid treatment will most likely consist of the following acids, with acid treatment chemicals and

actual volumes to be determined based on core analysis, evaluation of open hole logs, and footage

of the interval to be treated at the time of placement:

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

• 15% Hydrochloric Acid (HCl)

• 7.5% HCl + 1.5% Hydrofluoric (HF) Acid

Best practices for recommended volumes for acid stimulations generally range from 25 to 100

gallons per foot of perforations, depending on the suspected severity of the near wellbore formation

damage. Chemicals will be added to the acid blends to limit clay swelling, reduce emulsions, and

inhibit reaction to the completion equipment. The type and quantity of these chemicals will be

determined based on formation characteristics determined from core and wireline log evaluation.

All stimulation fluids that may be used will be verified to confirm there is no adverse reaction that

could affect the confinement integrity of the reservoir [per 40 CFR 146.82 (A)(9)].

Additional acids and the use of diverter fluids may be considered at the time of placement. The

acid fluids will be displaced from the wellbore using non-hazardous treating water or brine.

Additional stimulation treatment may be necessary if the injection performance of the well remains

unacceptable following treatment. Stimulation procedures will be submitted for approval prior to

any additional stimulation work.

5.2 CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

Strategic Biofuels is requesting permits for three Class VI CO<sub>2</sub> Sequestration Wells (Nos. 1, 2, &

3) for its Louisiana Green Fuels facility. Each injection well will be completed into the

Tuscaloosa/Paluxy Injection Zone between the approximate depths of 4,900 to 7,000 feet. One

injection well will be located within the Louisiana Green Fuels renewable fuels facility site and

the other two wells will be located nearby on the surrounding acreage. Well depths and completion

intervals will be adjusted based on the actual formation tops encountered during the drilling

operations. The wells will be constructed in accordance with 40 CFR 146.86(b) and LCFS Protocol

Subsection C.3.1 standards for Class VI Injection Wells. Unless specified, all depths are relative

to ground level.

The proposed well completion schematics are included as Figure 5-1. Each of the injection wells

will be planned and constructed with the same general specifications, allowing for appropriate

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 157 of 204

Page 158 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

correlative depth shifts depending on geographic placement. The schematic includes well casing

specifications and setting depths, cementing data, and completion details.

Normal plant and area safety rules and regulations will be in force during construction of each of

the injection wells. Prior to well construction, the ground surface will be graded to level. An all-

weather wellsite pad and road will be installed for site access. Additional reinforcement will be

laced under the rig substructure area to support the rig and peripheral heavy equipment. The rig

contractor will provide power for the rig and associated drilling equipment. Access to the

construction site will be actively controlled to prevent entry by unauthorized personnel. Non-

hazardous wellbore solids and fluids will be handled in accordance with all state and federal

regulations and established safe oilfield practice, including the use of a closed loop system during

drilling operations with offsite disposal of all waste materials to a regulated facility. Personal

protective equipment in accordance with all regulations will be worn by onsite workers when

handling wellbore solids and fluids.

All phases of well construction will be supervised by qualified individuals acting under the

responsible charge of engineers who are knowledgeable and experienced in practical drilling and

completion operations and who are familiar with the special conditions and requirements of Class

VI Injection Well construction.

**5.2.1** Casing String Details

Casing specifications for the proposed Injection Wells are detailed in Table 5-1. Tubular stress

calculations for all well casing and tubing are included in Appendix D. All components of the

conductor, surface, intermediate and protection casings will be manufactured to API standards and

are designed as appropriate for the proposed injection life of the well (approximately 30 years),

and the sequestration reservoir monitoring life (100 years) based on the materials of construction

and their environment of use. The casing and cementing program have been designed to ensure

long-term wellbore mechanical integrity and that there is no movement of fluids from the

sequestration zone through the confining zone and into the shallow subsurface where it could

eventually reach the atmosphere.

Page 159 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Carbon steel (for non-CO<sub>2</sub> stream contact usage) will be used for the conductor/drive pipe, potable

water, and surface casings. A mixed string of carbon steel and 13CR stainless steel (for those

portions of the intermediate casing which might eventually come into contact with CO<sub>2</sub> stream

contact) will be utilized for the intermediate casing. The protection casing will consist of a mixed

string of carbon steel and 22Cr stainless steel (for those portions with CO<sub>2</sub> stream contact). The

CO<sub>2</sub> injection stream from the Louisiana Green Fuels facility will be 99.5% pure CO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> in

its pure form is non-corrosive to steel. The 22Cr steel (will be used for the protection casing where

it will be in contact with the injection stream) is highly corrosion-resistant and will provide proven

protection from corrosive fluids in the unlikely event any water vapor, in whatever small amounts,

eludes the highly efficient capture system that will be in use at the Louisiana Green Fuels facility.

Additionally, all casing strings will be fully cemented to surface (with cementing stage tools for

multiple cementing stages for the intermediate and protection strings), which will provide

additional isolation and protection of the casing strings from external formation fluids along the

full length of the wellbore.

Prior to running the casing in the hole, each string will be fully inspected during procurement and

each string will be drifted and visually inspected onsite to check for damage during the shipping

and handling. The connections will be cleaned, and the manufacturer's recommended thread

compound will be applied to the pin of each connection before make-up.

Casing design considered factors listed under 40 CFR §146.86(b)(1) and LCFS Protocol

Subsection C.3.1(b).

**5.2.2** Tubing and Packer Details

Tubing specifications for the proposed injection wells are detailed in Table 5-2. Tubular stress

calculations for all well casing and tubing are included in Appendix D. Consistent with 40 CFR

§146.86(c) and LCFS Protocol Subsection C.3.1(c), each well will be completed with 22CR steel

injection tubing to provide resistance to corrosion from CO<sub>2</sub> injection. The tubing will extend from

the surface (wellhead) to the deepest injection packer, with a slip-and-seal assembly installed to

provide engagement with the surface wellhead.

Page 160 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Prior to running the tubing in the hole, each string will be fully inspected onsite and drifted to

ensure that no defects are present. The connections will be cleaned, and the manufacturer's

recommended thread compound will be applied to the pin of each connection before make-up.

Each connection of the injection tubing will be externally pressure tested to ensure no leaks exist

upon makeup.

The injection packers will be visually inspected to ensure no defects are present. A pressure test

of the annulus will be conducted after installation of the packers to confirm proper setting and

absence of leaks.

5.2.3 Centralizers

Each casing string will have hinged bow type centralizers attached to the casing at intervals along

the entire well path. The centralizers will be placed to maximize the casing standoff from the well

bore to enhance the cementing of the wells. The centralizers will be placed as shown below.

On the 24-inch Potable Water Casing:

• 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float shoe, straddling a stop collar;

• 1 Centralizer on the first joint above the float shoe;

• 1 Centralizer every other joint to surface; and

• 1 Centralizer 10 feet below ground level.

On the 18-5/8-inch Surface Casing:

• 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float shoe, straddling a stop collar;

• 1 Centralizer on the first joint above the float shoe;

• 1 Centralizer every other joint to surface; and

• 1 Centralizer 10 feet below ground level.

On the 13-3/8-inch Intermediate Casing:

• 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float shoe, straddling a stop collar;

- 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float collar, straddling a stop collar;
- 1 Centralizer every joint to the cementing-stage tool at approximately 3,000 feet;
- 1 Centralizer above and below each cementing stage tool, straddling a stop collar;
- 1 Centralizer above and below the stage collar, straddling a stop collar;
- 1 Centralizer every other joint to surface; and
- 1 Centralizer 10 feet below ground level.

On the 9-5/8-inch Protection Casing:

- 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float shoe, straddling a stop collar;
- 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float collar, straddling a stop collar;
- 1 Centralizer every joint across planned perforated intervals to 4,800 feet;
- 1 Centralizer every other joint across planned non-perforated intervals to 4,80 feet;
- 1 Centralizer every other joint from 4,800 feet to the stage collar at approximately 3,000 feet
- 1 Centralizer above and below the stage collar, straddling a stop collar;
- 1 Centralizer every third joint from 3,000 feet to surface; and
- 1 Centralizer 10 feet below ground level.

Actual placement of centralizers will be determined once the drilling of each well section is completed, and logs have been reviewed. Additional centralizers may be used as needed to provide the highest quality cementing job as possible.

#### 5.2.4 Annular Fluid

The permanent packer fluid designed for the 5-1/2-inch x 9-5/8-inch annulus for these wells is 9.0 lb/gal (1.08 Specific Gravity) sodium chloride brine with corrosion inhibitor and oxygen scavenger additives or equivalent. An annulus monitoring and pressurization system will always maintain the annulus at a pressure greater than the injection tubing pressure.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

**5.2.5** Cement Details

The conductor/drive pipe will be set to approximately 100 feet or to the point of drive pipe refusal.

The potable water, surface, intermediate, and protection casing strings will be cemented using

current cementing technology and practices. Cementing standards detailed in LDNR Title 43, Part

XVII, Subpart 6 will be used during the construction of the well. The wells will use both standard

cement (Class A or Class H) and CO<sub>2</sub> resistant cement (e.g., SLB's Evercrete<sup>TM</sup>, or equivalent) to

ensure the longevity of the wellbore. All casing strings will be fully cemented to surface, which

will provide additional isolation of the casing strings from external formation fluids along the

borehole path (40 CFR §146.86(b)(1) and LCFS Protocol Subsection C.3.1(b)). For the

intermediate and protection casing strings, the CO<sub>2</sub> resistant cement will be brought to near the top

of the Midway Shale Confining Zone in each well.

Expected downhole temperature at total depth is 158°F at 7,000 feet, which is not considered

detrimental to the cement. The cement will increase in hardness over time and reach a value close

to its maximum compressive strength soon after setting.

5.3 CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES

**5.3.1 Proposed Drilling Procedure** 

The drilling program for each of the injection wells at the Louisiana Green Fuels facility includes

a conductor/drive pipe, potable water hole, surface hole, intermediate hole, and protection hole.

All depths in the outlined procedure are referenced to the kelly bushing (KB) elevation, which is

estimated at 20.0 feet above ground level.

**Conductor/Drive Pipe** 

1. Prepare surface location and mobilize drilling rig. Drill mousehole and rathole.

2. Pick up casing hammer and drive 30-inch conductor pipe to approximately 100 feet or until

100 blows per foot penetration rate is reached. (Alternatively, auger the "drive pipe" hole

and grout the casing to ensure a setting depth below 100 feet to avoid washout in

unconsolidated sands while drilling large diameter conductor hole).

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 162 of 204

3. Cut off drive pipe and install bell nipple to drill conductor hole.

## **Potable Water Hole**

4. Pick up 28-inch drilling assembly and drill conductor hole to 300 feet (+/-) using drilling fluid as detailed in the Drilling Fluids section of this procedure.

### 28-INCH BOREHOLE LOST CIRCULATION CONTINGENCY PLAN

Should lost circulation occur (expected) while drilling the 28-inch borehole from the base of conductor/drive pipe to the potable water casing point, paper, cottonseed hulls, or other forms of standard lost circulation material may be used to remedy the loss condition. A cement truck may be mobilized to location and placed on "standby" to minimize "wait time" if severe loss of circulation is encountered.

#### 28-INCH BOREHOLE OVERPRESSURED ZONE CONTINGENCY PLAN

If an overpressured zone is encountered (not expected) while drilling the 28-inch surface casing borehole, drilling fluid pump rate down the drill pipe will be increased while the drill fluid density is increased. The increased pumping rate will continue until the well stops flowing.

#### 28-INCH BOREHOLE DEVIATION CONTINGENCY PLAN

Take borehole inclination surveys at a minimum every 150 feet and at TD. A maximum recommended deviation from vertical is 2 degrees, and the targeted deviation between surveys is 1 degree or less. If the maximum recommended deviation is exceeded, an evaluation will be made to determine whether remedial action is necessary.

- 5. Run 24-inch casing to 300 feet (+/-). Refer to Section 5.2.1 Casing String Details for a detailed description of the casing.
- 6. Cement the casing in place using the stab-in method. Refer to Section 5.3.4 Cement Details.
- 7. If no cement returns are observed at surface, grout the un-cemented annular space to the surface if necessary.
- 8. After waiting on cement for a minimum of 12 hours, cut off the drive pipe and potable water casing and install bell nipple on the potable water casing to drill surface hole.

### **Surface Hole**

9. Pick up 22-inch drilling assembly, lower in the well, and drill the float shoe. Drill surface hole to a sufficient depth to set 1,200 feet of surface casing using drilling fluid as detailed in the Drilling Fluids section of this procedure. Configure the bottom hole assembly and follow established industry practice to ensure a vertical hole. Take deviation surveys every 300 feet. Maximum deviation from vertical should be no more than 2 degrees.

#### 22-INCH BOREHOLE LOST CIRCULATION CONTINGENCY PLAN

Should lost circulation occur (expected) while drilling the 22-inch borehole from the base of potable water casing to the surface casing point, paper, cottonseed hulls, or other forms of standard lost circulation material may be used to remedy the loss condition. A cement truck may be mobilized to location and placed on "standby" to minimize "wait time" if severe loss of circulation is encountered.

#### 24-INCH BOREHOLE OVERPRESSURED ZONE CONTINGENCY PLAN

If an overpressured zone is encountered (not expected) while drilling the 26-inch surface casing borehole, drilling fluid pump rate down the drill pipe will be increased while the drill fluid density is increased. The increased pumping rate will continue until the well stops flowing.

#### 24-INCH BOREHOLE DEVIATION CONTINGENCY PLAN

Take borehole inclination surveys at a minimum every 300 feet and at TD. A maximum allowable deviation from vertical is 2 degrees, and the targeted deviation between surveys is 1 degree or less. If the maximum recommended deviation is exceeded, an evaluation will be made to determine whether remedial action is necessary.

- 10. Run open hole electric logs as listed in Module D Pre-Operational Testing from total depth to 300 feet (setting depth of 24-inch casing). Continue logging the gamma ray log to surface.
- 11. Run 18-5/8-inch surface casing to a minimum of 1,200 feet. Refer to Section 5.2.1 Casing String Details for a detailed description of the casing.
- 12. Cement the casing in place using the using the two-plug method with a minimum of 100% excess cement over the hole size. Refer to Section 5.3.4 Cement Details.
- 13. If no cement returns are observed at surface, a temperature or similar diagnostic survey will be run to determine the top of cement. Grout the un-cemented annular space to the surface if necessary.
- 14. After waiting on cement for a minimum of 12 hours, cut off the surface and potable water casings and install a 20-1/4-inch x 3,000 psi x 18-5/8-inch SOW casing head on the surface casing and pressure test.
- 15. Nipple up 20-1/4-inch, 3,000 psi Blowout Preventers (BOP) and ancillary equipment and pressure test to a low pressure of 250 psig and a maximum pressure of 2,000 psig.
- 16. Rig up wireline unit and log differential temperature survey and cement bond log.
- 17. Pressure test (and record) the surface casing to 1,000 psi for 30 minutes.

### **Intermediate Hole**

- 18. Pick up a 17-1/2-inch drilling assembly and trip into the wellbore.
- 19. Displace the spud mud with a potassium-based drilling fluid to improve hole cleaning and stability. Drilling fluid system might be modified to use a different mud system. Drill out casing float equipment and 10 feet of new formation. Perform a formation integrity test (FIT) on the shoe to a pressure equivalent 10 ppg gradient.
- 20. Drill a 17-1/2-inch intermediate hole from surface casing point to 3,900 feet (+/-) into the top of the Selma Chalk, using drilling fluid as detailed in the Drilling Fluids section of this procedure. Take deviation surveys every 500 feet. To the extent possible, maintain deviation from vertical at should be no more than 2 degrees or less.
- 21. In one or more of the injection wells, attempt to collect a 60-foot conventional core from the Midway Shale Confining Zone. Refer to Module D Pre-Operational Testing for details on the coring program.

#### 17 1/2-INCH BOREHOLE LOST CIRCULATION CONTINGENCY PLAN

If circulation is lost (not expected) while drilling the 17-1/2-inch borehole, lost circulation material pills will be pumped to re-establish circulation. Depending upon the severity of lost circulation encountered, lost circulation material may need to be blended with the drilling fluid in concentrations dictated by hole conditions to maintain circulation to the surface casing point.

### 17-1/2-INCH BOREHOLE OVERPRESSURED ZONE CONTINGENCY PLAN

If an overpressured zone is encountered (not expected) while drilling the 17-1/2-inch borehole, drilling fluid pump rate down the drill pipe will be increased while the drill fluid density is increased. The increased pumping rate will continue until the well stops flowing.

### 17-1/2-INCH BOREHOLE DEVIATION AND CONTINGENCY PLAN

Take borehole inclination surveys  $\frac{1}{4}$  500 feet intervals and at TD. To the extent possible, maintain deviation from vertical of 2 degrees or less, and deviation between surveys is 1 degree or less. If the maximum recommended deviation is exceeded, an evaluation will be made to determine whether remedial action is necessary.

- 22. Run open hole electric logs as listed in Module D Pre-Operational Testing.
- 23. Run 13-3/8-inch intermediate casing to 3,900 feet (+/-) into the top of the Selma Chalk. Refer to Section 5.2.1 Casing String Details for a detailed description of the casing.
- 24. Rig up cementing equipment and cement the intermediate casing in place. Cement will be placed in three stages with the lower cement stage from the casing shoe to the top of the Midway Shale Confining Zone, being CO<sub>2</sub> resistant cement (Evercrete<sup>TM</sup> or equivalent). The upper two cement stages will be circulated through cementing stage tools placed at

Page 166 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

approximately 3,000 feet and 1,450 feet. The cement slurries for each stage will consist

of a lead lightweight cement blend and tail slurry of standard cement. Refer to Section

5.3.4 – Cement Details.

25. If no cement returns are observed at surface, a temperature log or similar diagnostic survey

will be run to determine the top of cement. Grout will be applied to the un-cemented

annular space to the surface if necessary.

26. After completing the third stage cementing, immediately pick up the BOP, set the 13-3/8-

inch casing slips, cut off the 13-3/8-inch casing, nipple down the BOPs, and install a 21-

1/4-inch 3,000 psi x 13-5/8-inch 3,000 psi casing spool and pressure test.

27. Nipple up 13-5/8-inch 3,000 psi BOPs and ancillary equipment and pressure test to a low

pressure of 250 psig and a maximum pressure of 3,000 psig.

28. Pick up 12-1/4-inch bit and lower in the well on the drilling assembly. Drill out the two

13-3/8-inch cement stage tools, trip in the well to the float collar, and circulate clean.

29. Wait on cement to cure and temperature to stabilize for approximately 24 hours.

30. Rig up wireline unit and log differential temperature survey and cement bond log. Analyze

cement bond log results and confirm adequate isolation before proceeding. If necessary,

the cement bond log will be repeated under pressure.

31. Pressure test (and record) the intermediate casing to 1,000 psi for 30 minutes.

**Protection Hole** 

32. Pick up a 12-1/4-inch drilling assembly and trip into the wellbore.

33. Displace the fresh water from cementing with a potassium-based drilling fluid to improve

hole cleaning and stability. Drilling fluid system might be modified to use a different mud

system. Drill out the shoe track cement and float equipment and drill 10 feet of formation.

FIT on the shoe to a pressure equivalent 11 ppg gradient.

34. Drill a 12-1/4-inch protection hole from intermediate casing point to 7,000 feet into the

Paluxy Formation. To the extent possible, maintain deviation from vertical at 2 degrees or

less. The actual total depth of the well will be contingent on the subsurface depth of the

base of the Tuscaloosa sand. Take inclination surveys every 500 feet to monitor well path.

35. Attempt to collect conventional whole cores at selected geologic intervals within the lower Selma Chalk and Tuscaloosa/Paluxy Injection Zone in one or more of the injection wells. Refer to Module D – Pre-Operational Testing for details on the coring program.

#### 28-INCH BOREHOLE LOST CIRCULATION CONTINGENCY PLAN

If circulation is lost (not expected) while drilling the 12-1/4-inch borehole, lost circulation material pills will be pumped to re-establish circulation. Depending upon the severity of lost circulation encountered, lost circulation material may need to be blended with the drilling fluid in concentrations dictated by hole conditions to maintain circulation to the intermediate casing point.

#### 12-1/4-INCH BOREHOLE OVERPRESSURED ZONE CONTINGENCY PLAN

If an overpressured zone is encountered (not expected) while drilling the 12-1/4-inch borehole, drilling fluid pump rate down the drill pipe will be increased while the drill fluid density is increased. The increased pumping rate will continue until the well stops flowing.

#### 12-1/4-INCH BOREHOLE DEVIATION CONTINGENCY PLAN

Take borehole inclination surveys at 500 feet intervals and at TD. To the extent possible, maintain deviation from vertical of 2 degrees or less, and deviation between surveys to 1 degree or less. If the maximum recommended deviation is exceeded, an evaluation will be made to determine whether remedial action is necessary.

- 36. Run electric wireline logs and collect rotary sidewall core samples (if needed) over the open hole interval. Refer to Module D Pre-Operational Testing for details.
- 37. Run 9-5/8-inch casing (mixed string), with casing packer and DV stage tool, (and fiber optic cable: DTS/DAS, and perforation markers, if required) to the planned casing point (7,000 feet). Refer to Section 5.2.1 Casing String Details for a detailed description of the casing.

Note: Louisiana Green Fuels is evaluating "smart well" completion technologies to monitor Differential Temperature, Acoustic, and Bottomhole Pressure.

- 38. Rig up cementing equipment and cement the protection casing in place. Cement will be placed in stages with the lower cement stage from total depth to the top of the Midway Shale Confining Zone being CO<sub>2</sub> resistant cement. The second stage will be circulated through a cementing stage tool at approximately 3,000 feet. The second stage cement will consist of lead slurry of a lightweight cement blend and tail slurry of standard cement. Refer to Section 5.3.4 Cement Details.
- 39. After completing the second stage cementing, pick up the BOP, set the 9-5/8-inch casing slips, cut the 9 5/8-inch casing above the slips, and remove the BOP's. Install the 13-5/8-inch 3,000 psi x 11-inch 5,000 psi casing/tubing spool and test the seals.

40. In the event cement returns are not observed at the surface, a temperature or similar diagnostic survey will be run to determine the top of cement. After the cement top is

located, a procedure to grout in the un-cemented annular space will be provided.

41. Set night cap and secure well.

42. Rig down the drilling rig, and associated equipment and move out.

**General Notes:** 

• All depths referenced are approximate and are based on the expected log depth.

• Actual depths may vary based on lithology of local formations.

**5.3.2** Proposed Completion Procedure

The completion procedure has been developed to utilize the Tuscaloosa/Paluxy Injection Zone for sequestration of the injected CO<sub>2</sub>. It is anticipated that the full interval in the Tuscaloosa will be utilized in each well completion and select sandstones in the Paluxy will be perforated based on results from the open hole logging program. The following is a proposed completion procedure

for the Louisiana Green Fuels facility.

1. Move in and rig up the completion rig and associated equipment.

2. Check for pressure, remove the night cap and nipple up and test the 11-inch 5,000 psi BOP

from 250 psi low to 5,000 psi high.

3. Pick up an 8-1/2-inch bit and casing scraper for 9-5/8-inch casing and trip into the wellbore

picking up completion work string.

4. Drill out the cementing stage tool plugs at  $\pm 3,000$  feet and the float shoe and cement in the

casing to  $\pm 6,990$  feet (15 feet above the casing shoe).

5. Rig up and run differential temperature and radial cement bond and casing evaluation logs

as detailed in the Module D – Pre-Operational Testing.

6. Pressure-test (and record) the casing string to 2,600 psi for 30 minutes.

7. Displace the drilling fluid in the wellbore with filtered completion fluid.

8. Rig up wireline unit and if fiber optics were installed on the casing, directionally perforate (to avoid fiber optic cable) selected intervals of the 9-5/8-inch casing within the overall geologic formation intervals in the following table:

<b>Perforation Interval</b>	Formation/Lithology
$\pm$ 4,910 feet to 5,210 feet	Upper Portion of Tuscaloosa Sand
$\pm$ 5,250 feet to 5,630 feet	Lower Portion of Tuscaloosa Sand
<u>+</u> 5,900 feet to 6,990 feet	Permeable Sandstones in Paluxy

(Note: Perforating depths are approximate and will be determined after review of open hole logs. Note, oriented perforating will be required if DTS/DAS cable run on casing through the Injection Zone)

- 9. Go in hole with the workstring to the bottom of the protection casing and circulate filtered completion fluid.
- 10. Prepare all completed intervals for injection, including washing perforations and performing acid stimulation as necessary. The final stimulation program will be based on the open hole logs and the injection interval core material collected during the drilling.
- 11. Remove the workstring from the well while laying down the workstring and downhole tools.
- 12. Install the two 9-5/8-inch x 5-1/2-inch injection packers with an adjustable sliding sleeve flow control valve between the packers, and 5-1/2-inch injection tubing to surface. The system will be installed on a single trip, spaced out, and hydraulically set. The installation will include two downhole surface readout (SRO) pressure gauges on a single electric line. The lower pressure gauge will monitor the injection pressure in the Lower Portion of the Tuscaloosa Injection Interval and the Paluxy Injection Interval between approximately 5,250 feet and 6,990 feet. The lower gauge will be installed below the lower injection packer. The upper pressure gauge will be installed below the upper packer and clamped to 5-1/2-inch spacer tubing between the two packers to monitor the pressure in the Upper Portion of the Tuscaloosa Injection Interval between approximately 4,910 feet and 5,210

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

feet. A general description of the completion equipment as picked up and lowered into the well (deepest to surface) is presented below:

- 9-5/8-inch x 5-1/2-inch hydraulically set lower injection packer with 22Cr or higher wetted surfaces with 10 foot long 5-1/2-inch 20 lb/ft, 22Cr65 tail pipe below with wireline reentry guide. The lower SRO gauge will be strapped to the 5-1/2-inch tail pipe below the packer and the gauge electric line will be connected to the gauge and the electric line will go through the packer pass through.
- 5-1/2-inch Spacer Tubing, 5-1/2-inch Adjustable Sliding Sleeve Flow Control Valve, Upper SRO Pressure Gauge, two ¼-inch Hydraulic Control Lines for the Adjustable Sliding Sleeve Flow Control Valve, and Electric Line for the two Pressure Gauges. A 5-1/2-inch, 20 lb/ft, 22Cr65 Spacer Tubing will be installed with integral connections to the top of the lower packer and the bottom of the upper packer. The 5-1/2-inch Adjustable Sliding Sleeve Flow Control Valve will be installed in the 5-1/2-inch Spacer Tubing at approximately 5,400 feet (Actual setting depth will be determined later). The two ¼-inch Hydraulic Control Lines for the Adjustable Sliding Sleeve Flow Control Valve and Electric Line for the two Pressure Gauges will be strapped to the 5-1/2-inch Spacer Tubing. The Upper SRO Pressure Gauge for monitoring the injection pressure in the Upper Tuscaloosa Injection interval will be set at approximately 5,300 feet (Actual setting depth will be determined later).
- 9-5/8-inch x 5-1/2-inch hydraulically set upper injection packer with 22Cr or higher wetted surfaces will be made up/connected to the 5-1/2-inch spacer tubing below the packer and the 5-1/2-inch 20 lb/ft, 22Cr65 Injection Tubing will be make up to the top of the packer. The two ¼-inch Hydraulic Control Lines for the Adjustable Sliding Sleeve Flow Control Valve and Electric Line for the two Pressure Gauges will feed through the passthrough in the packer. The packer will be spaced out and hydraulically set at approximately 4,800 feet.
- The 5-1/2-inch 20 lb/ft, 22Cr65 Injection Tubing will be installed from the top of the upper injection packer at approximately 4,800 feet to surface. The two ¼-inch

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Hydraulic Control Lines for the Adjustable Sliding Sleeve Flow Control Valve and

Electric Line for the two Pressure Gauges will be strapped to the 5-1/2-inch

Injection Tubing.

13. The 5-1/2-inch Injection Tubing will be spaced to position the equipment correctly.

Inhibited packer fluid will be circulated/pumped down the tubing-casing annulus until

inhibited brine is fully displaced to the top packer.

14. The two ¼-inch hydraulic control lines and the electric line for the two SRO pressure

gauges will be fed through the 5-1/2-inch tubing hanger, secured, and terminated. The 5-

1/2-inch tubing hanger will be positioned in the wellhead. The two completion packers

will be hydraulically set per the suppliers' specifications.

15. Top out the 5-1/2-inch x 9-5/8-inch casing annulus with inhibited packer fluid. Conduct

preliminary pressure test to verify proper installation with a test pressure of 2,500 psi.

16. Nipple down well control equipment and install tubing head adapter and the wellhead tree

section.

17. Rig down completion rig and demobilize from site.

18. Rig up coiled tubing and displace tubing and rathole with non-formation-damaging fluid

for undetermined period to await CO<sub>2</sub> source from the Louisiana Green Fuels facility.

**General Notes:** 

• All depths referenced are approximate and are based on the expected log depth.

• Actual depths may vary based on lithology of local formations.

**5.3.3** Proposed Well Fluids Program

Lost circulation material (LCM) will be on location to treat for fluid losses in top hole sands above

the potential injection intervals. The fluid system will be pre-treated with LCM before

encountering any known or suspected loss zones. High-viscosity sweeps will be used to assist hole

cleaning. Sodium chloride (NaCl) is planned for use as the completion fluid. The fluid weight will

be maintained slightly over-balanced to contain reservoir pressures. Table 5-3 is provided to show

the proposed well fluids per hole.

Page 172 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

**5.3.4 Proposed Cementing Program** 

The potable water, surface, intermediate, and protection casing strings will be cemented using

current cementing technology and practices. Cementing standards defined in LDNR Title 43, Part

XVII, Subpart 6 will be used during the construction of the well. The wells will use both standard

cement (Class A or Class H) and CO<sub>2</sub> resistant cement (SLB Evercrete <sup>TM</sup>, or equivalent) to ensure

the longevity of the wellbore. All casing strings will be fully cemented to surface, which will

provide additional isolation of the casing strings from external formation fluids along the borehole

path (40 CFR §146.86(b)(1) and LCFS Protocol Subsection C.3.1(b)). For the intermediate and

protection casing strings, the top of the CO<sub>2</sub> resistant cement will be above the top of the Midway

Shale Confining Zone in each injection well. The final cementing programs for each of the casing

will be revised based on the wellbore conditions during drilling and the cementing contractor's

recommendations.

5.3.4.1 Potable Water Casing

The following cementing program (Table 5-4) is proposed for installation of the potable water

casing string:

• 24-inch in 28-inch borehole at 300 feet

• Float shoe;

Cement to surface;

Cement volumes are estimated 100% excess over bit size in open hole interval;

Procedure might be modified to use the inner string method with a stab-in float shoe; and,

In the event that hole issues are observed, a minimum of 150 percent of the annular space

between the casing and drilled wellbore will be used for calculating cement volume for that

section of the wellbore.

Page 173 of 204

The following cementing program (Table 5-5) is proposed for installation of the surface casing

string:

• 18-5/8-inch in 22-inch borehole at 1,200 feet

• Float shoe:

• Float Collar, 1 joint above the float shoe;

Cement to surface;

• Cement volumes are estimated 100% excess over bit size in open hole interval;

• Actual volume to be calculated from caliper log plus 20% excess; and,

• In the event the hole diameter exceeds the scale of a 2-dimensional caliper, a minimum of

150 percent of the annular space between the casing and the maximum caliper reading will

be used for calculating cement volume for that section of the wellbore.

5.3.4.3 Intermediate Casing

The following cementing program (Table 5-6) is proposed for installation of the intermediate

casing string:

• 13-3/8-inch in 17-1/2-inch borehole at 3,900 feet

Float shoe;

• Float Collar, 2 joint above the float shoe;

• Three-stage cement job with cement to surface and cementing stage tools at 3,000 feet and

1,450 feet;

• Actual cement volumes to be calculated from caliper log plus 20% excess in the open

hole intervals; and,

In the event the hole diameter exceeds the scale of a 2-dimensional caliper, a minimum of

150 percent of the annular space between the casing and the maximum caliper reading will

be used for calculating cement volume for that section of the wellbore.

• caliper reading will be used for calculating cement volume for that section of the wellbore.

### 5.3.4.4 Protection Casing

The following cementing program (Table 5-7) is proposed for installation of the protection casing string:

- 9-5/8-inch in 12-1/4-inch hole at 7,000 feet;
- Two-stage cement job with cement to surface, with stage tool and external casing packer;
- Actual cement volumes to be calculated from caliper log plus 20% excess; and
- In the event the hole diameter exceeds the scale of a 2-dimensional caliper, a minimum of 150 percent of the annular space between the casing and the maximum caliper reading will be used for calculating cement volume for that section of the wellbore.

## **5.3.5** Casing Cementing Equipment

### **Potable Water Casing**

24-inch Cementing Equipment

- 1. Float shoe with receptacle for stab-in cementing technique
- 2. 6 hinged bow spring centralizers placed in accordance with Section 5.2.3.
  - 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float shoe, straddling a stop collar;
  - 1 Centralizer straddling the first casing collar above the float shoe; and
  - 1 Centralizer every other collar, up to the surface.

### **Surface Casing**

18-5/8-inch Cementing Equipment

- 1. Float shoe
- 2. Float collar, 1 joint above the float shoe

- 3. Approximately 50 hinged bow spring centralizers placed in accordance with Section 5.2.3.
  - 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float shoe, straddling a stop collar;
  - 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float collar, straddling a stop collar;
  - 1 Centralizer ever other casing collar to surface.

### **Intermediate Casing**

13-3/8-inch Cementing Equipment

- 1. Float shoe
- 2. Float collar, 1 joint above the float shoe
- 3. Two cementing Stage Tools spaced at approximately 3,000 feet and 1,450 feet
- 4. Approximately 45 hinged bow spring centralizers placed in accordance with Section 5.2.3.
  - 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float shoe, straddling a stop collar;
  - 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float collar, straddling a stop collar;
  - 1 Centralizer above and below each stage collar, straddling a stop collar;
  - 1 Centralizer every other joint, to 1,200 feet;
  - 1 Centralizer every third joint, from 1,200 feet to the surface; and
  - 1 Centralizer approximately 10 feet below ground level.

### **Protection Casing**

9-5/8-inch Cementing Equipment

- 1. Float shoe
- 2. Float collar, 2 joints above the float shoe
- 3. Cementing Stage Tool at approximately 3,000 feet
- 4. Approximately 59 hinged bow spring centralizers placed in accordance with Section 5.2.3.

Revision Number: 0 Revision Date: February 2023 Module A – Project Information Tracking

- 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float shoe, straddling a stop collar;
- 1 Centralizer 8 feet above the float collar, straddling a stop collar;
- 1 Centralizer every other joint, to 3,900 feet;
- 1 Centralizer every third joint, from 3,900 feet to the surface; and
- 1 Centralizer approximately 10 feet below ground level.

## 5.3.6 Well Logging, Coring, and Testing Program

Details on the proposed logging program are contained in the "Pre-Operational Testing and Logging Plan" submitted in Module D. All tools will be run on a wireline and will be compatible with open hole and cased hole diameters, allowing for successful testing runs (40 CFR 146.87 and LCFS Protocol Subsection C.2.3.1 standards).

# 6.0 PRE-OPERATIONAL LOGGING AND TESTING

Strategic Biofuels has designed the sequestration project using three injection wells. These wells will be completed into one or more of the project Injection Zones described above. All injection wells will follow the 40 CFR §146.87(a), (b), (c), and (d) standards for logging and testing requirements. Coring will be adaptive and based upon well spatial variability, wellbore conditions, core recovery, and core quality as each project well is drilled. All wells will demonstrate mechanical integrity prior to receiving authorization to inject.

The data obtained in this plan will be used to validate and update, if necessary, the "Area of Review and Corrective Action Plan" (submitted in Module B), to define and reduce uncertainties with the site characterization, revise the "E.1-Testing and Monitoring Plan" (submitted in **Module E**), and determine final operational procedures and limits.

This plan has been uploaded in **Module D**:

"D. Pre-Operations Testing and Logging Plan"

### **Pre-Operational Logging and Testing GSDT Submissions**

**GSDT Module:** Pre-Operational Testing

*Tab*(*s*): Welcome tab

Please use the checkbox(es) to verify the following information was submitted to the GSDT:

☑ Proposed pre-operational testing program [40 CFR 146.82(a)(8) and 146.87]

Page 178 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

7.0 WELL OPERATION

Strategic will operate the injection wells at the Caldwell Parish site per the operating requirements

in accordance with 40 CFR 146.82(a)(7) and (10). No injection operations will occur between the

outermost casing and the USDW per 40 CFR 146.88 (a). Operating the well in this fashion will

prevent the movement of fluids that could result in the pollution of a USDW and will prevent leaks

from any of the subject injection wells into unauthorized zones.

The annulus pressure will be maintained at least 100 psi greater than the injection tubing pressure

to prevent leaks from the well into unauthorized zones and to detect well malfunctions. The

annulus will be filled with a non-corrosive fluid.

During injection operations, continuous measurements will be taken at the wellhead for injection

pressure, rate, volume, and temperature of the CO<sub>2</sub> stream [40 CFR 146.88(e)(1)]. The maximum

injection pressure is governed by the fracture gradient. The planned maximum injection pressure

will be set at 80 percent (80%) of the calculated fracture gradient values (see Section 2.4.4 for

value determination). The maximum 80% of calculated fracture pressure threshold, which is lower

than that specified for a Class VI permitted well, is derived from the rules for carbon sequestration

of the California Air Resources Board, which has an overlapping regulatory authority on other

matters affecting the Louisiana Green Fuels project. Specific in-situ fracture gradients will be

determined during the drilling and testing of the Class VI Injection Wells.

The source of the CO<sub>2</sub> will be from the generation of biofuels and energy production from the

carbon negative renewable fuel facility. This facility will generate fuels and power using the

biomass waste from the forestry industry. A detailed analysis of the CO<sub>2</sub> waste stream composition

and characteristics will be performed prior to initiating injection operations. The CO<sub>2</sub>

composition is expected to be 99.5% pure CO<sub>2</sub>, with minor additional constituents, but will

remain as a non-hazardous classification.

If there are major changes to the operational stream (density changes, composition, etc.) or a new

source, Strategic Biofuels may reevaluate and adjust the operating pressures with approval from

the UIC Director.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

Under routine operations, injection pressures that approach the limits shown below will trigger

notifications or a full system shutdown (if limits are close to exceedance). Well conditions will

then be monitored to decide on steps to return to full rate injection. In cases where return to full

injection is not possible, additional troubleshooting steps may be required.

Values in Table 7-1 and 7-2 are presented for operation within each injection zone. Values and

limits will be updated and finalized prior to authorization to inject and will be used for the final

model simulations with refined parameters.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

8.0 TESTING AND MONITORING

In accordance with USEPA 40 CFR §146.90, Strategic Biofuels has developed a testing and

monitoring plan for the lifetime of injection operations. In addition to demonstrating that the

injection wells will be operating as expected, that the carbon dioxide plume and pressure front are

moving as predicted, and there is no endangerment to USDWs, the monitoring data will be used

to validate and guide any required adjustments to the geologic and dynamic models used to predict

the distribution of carbon dioxide within the storage complex, supporting AoR evaluations and a

non-endangerment demonstration. Additionally, the testing and monitoring components include a

leak detection plan to monitor and account for any movement of the carbon dioxide outside of the

sequestration project.

Strategic Biofuels has designed the program with one Above Confining Zone Monitoring (ACZM)

well. The initial ACZMI Monitoring zone for the sequestration project will be a permeable

sandstone (directly overlying the Confining Zone) within the basal Wilcox Formation. The

ACZMI Monitoring well will be located near the point of carbon dioxide injection, where elevated

formation pressure within the storage project is expected to be the greatest. The ACZMI wells will

be completed with a real-time, continuously recording downhole pressure/temperature gauge.

Direct in-zone monitoring at the injection wells will confirm that the wells are performing as

intended; delivering the carbon dioxide to the authorized zones; not exceeding safe injection

pressures and measuring the pressure response in the injection zones (a key model match

parameter). Downhole pressure gauges and injection logging in the constructed injection wells will

be used for data collection.

Multiple in-zone (IZ) monitoring wells, located away from the injection site (up and down dip),

will validate the dynamic model, calibrating both the growth of sequestered carbon dioxide plume

and pressure front over time. Downhole pressure gauges and logging in the constructed monitoring

wells will be used to collect real-time, continuous data. Monitor wells will be located initially

outside of the carbon dioxide plume and will primarily monitor the pressure changes due to the

developing pressure front.

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 180 of 204

Revision Number: 0 Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

The monitoring plan has been uploaded in **Module E** – Project Plan Submission as Report:

"E.1 – Testing and Monitoring Plan"

A quality assurance and surveillance plan (QASP) for all testing and monitoring activities, required pursuant to §146.90(k), is provided in Appendix 1 – Quality Assurance and Surveillance Plan (QASP) to the Testing and Monitoring Plan.

### **Testing and Monitoring GSDT Submissions**

**GSDT Module:** Project Plan Submissions **Tab(s):** Testing and Monitoring tab

Please use the checkbox(es) to verify the following information was submitted to the GSDT:

☑ Testing and Monitoring Plan [40 CFR 146.82(a)(15) and 146.90]

Page 182 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023 Module A – Project Information Tracking

9.0 INJECTION WELL PLUGGING

The Injection Well Plugging Plan has been developed using the GSDT Template and meets the

requirements under 40 CFR 146.92(b). It contains testing prior to closure and plugging plans and

schematics for each injection well in this application. Mechanical integrity of each injection well

will be verified prior to closure. A final bottomhole reservoir pressure will be determined prior to

commencing injection well plugging operations [40 CFR 146.92(b)(1) and LCFS Protocol

Subsection C.5.1(d)(1)]. The Injection Wells be plugged using acid resistant cement across the

completion zones by squeezing cement through a retainer. Plugs will be set across the surface

casing shoe and at surface using the balanced method and premium type of cement.

In accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 146.92(d), within 60 days of plugging and closure,

a plugging report will be submitted to the UIC Program Director. This report will be certified as

accurate by Strategic Biofuels, and by the person who has performed the plugging operations.

Strategic Biofuels will retain the well plugging report for 10 years following the site closure.

It has been uploaded in **Module E** – Project Plan Submission as Report:

"E.2 – Injection Well Plugging Plan"

The Injection Plugging Plan will be updated as the project develops to be consistent with the

Injection Well "as built" details after construction.

Injection Well Plugging GSDT Submissions

**GSDT Module:** Project Plan Submissions

Tab(s): Injection Well Plugging tab

Please use the checkbox(es) to verify the following information was submitted to the GSDT:

☑ Injection Well Plugging Plan [40 CFR 146.82(a)(16) and 146.92(b)]

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

## 10.0 POST INJECTION SITE CARE (PISC) AND SITE CLOSURE

The Post Injection Site Care (PISC) and Site Closure Plan has been developed using the GSDT Template and meets the requirements under 40 CFR 146.9 for EPA and 5.2(a)(2) for LCFS. It has been uploaded in **Module E** – Project Plan Submission as Report:

"E.3 – Post Injection Site Care and Closure Plan"

Strategic Biofuels plans to implement a PISC over a 100-year timeframe (exceeding EPA Class VI timeframe) to demonstrate conformance and containment with 5.2 (a)(2) of the LCFS. Data will be gathered to track the position of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume, declining pressure front and to demonstrate that the USDW is not endangered, using an adaptive, sustainable, risk-based monitoring approach. Figures representing the pressure differentials in each injection zone, as well as figures projecting the plume extent, both at the end of the 50-year observation period are included.

Prior to authorization for site closure, Strategic Biofuels will demonstrate that no additional monitoring is needed to ensure that the geologic sequestration project does not pose an endangerment to USDWs as per 40 CFR 146.93(b)(3).

#### **PISC and Site Closure GSDT Submissions**

GSDT Module: Project Plan Submissions

Tab(s): PISC and Site Closure tab

Please use the checkbox(es) to verify the following information was submitted to the GSDT:

☑ PISC and Site Closure Plan [40 CFR 146.82(a)(17) and 146.93(a)]

The Emergency and Remedial Response Plan (ERRP) has been developed using the GSDT

Template and meets the requirements under 40 CFR 146.94(a). It has been uploaded in **Module E** 

Project Plan Submission as Report:

"E.4 – Emergency and Remedial Response Plan"

The ERRP Plan will be updated and further developed to meet the projects needs throughout three

phases of development: 1) Construction; 2) Operation; and 3) Post-Injection Site Closure.

Revisions will be drafted and notated with date of submittal. Detailed information is contained in

the Emergency and Remedial Response Plan [40 CFR 146.94(a)] submitted within **Module E** –

Project Plan Submission through the GSDT portal.

**Emergency and Remedial Response GSDT Submissions** 

**GSDT Module:** Project Plan Submissions

*Tab(s):* Emergency and Remedial Response tab

Please use the checkbox(es) to verify the following information was submitted to the GSDT:

☑ Emergency and Remedial Response Plan [40 CFR 146.82(a)(19) and 146.94(a)]

# 12.0 <u>INJECTION DEPTH WAIVER AND AQUIFER EXEMPTION</u> <u>EXPANSION</u>

Strategic Biofuels is not requesting an Injection Depth Waiver or Aquifer Exemption Expansion. Therefore, this Section is not applicable.

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

13.0 OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Strategic Biofuels will apply for a Class VI UIC Injection Well Permit (in addition to this federal

request) with the State of Louisiana, through the LDNR. This is a state level permit that is required

for all wells in Louisiana, regardless of primacy status.

Strategic Biofuels is also applying to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) for site

certification using more stringent parameters (such as designing the project with injection

pressures below 80% fracture gradient) to qualify for that state's Low Carbon Fuel Standard

(LCFS) credits. That application will be submitted in tandem with this Class VI application to

USEPA Region 6 and the LDNR. Authorization for injection will rely on approval from both

Region 6 and LDNR. The CARB application will be for certification purposes only and will be

unrelated to any regulatory authorization to inject.

Strategic Biofuels will apply to LDNR for drilling permits for the re-entering and conversion to

in-zone monitor wells of four legacy wells (previously plugged and abandoned) identified within

the AoR and for the new drilling of a shallow monitor well (located on the renewable fuels facility

site) to the base of the Wilcox Formation just above the Upper Confining Zone. These permits will

be obtained from the LDNR.

As noted elsewhere in this application, the Louisiana Green Fuels, Port of Columbia project plans

for two of the three proposed Injection Wells to be located offsite to the renewable fuels plant that

will be the source of the CO<sub>2</sub>. This will require a short bi-furcated pipeline approximately 3.5

miles in total length (intrastate) to transport sCO<sub>2</sub> to the two offsite wells. The pipeline is integral

to the project and considered part of this Class VI permit application. Its construction and

operation will be subject to the safety standards and reporting requirements for pipeline facilities

used in the transportation of hazardous liquids or Carbon Dioxide in accordance with the US

Department of Transportation - Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

(PHMSA) (49 CFR Part 195). The safety standards and enforcement responsibility will be under

the jurisdiction of the Pipeline Division of the LDNR in accordance with Title 33 Environmental

Quality, Part V. Hazardous Waste and Hazardous Materials, Subpart 1. Louisiana Department of

Environmental Quality – Hazardous Waste, Subpart 3. Natural Resources promulgated by the

Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels

Class VI Permit Application Narrative – R6- LA- 0003

Page 186 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

LDNR – Pipeline Division (49 CFR Part 195). The pipeline will also require a Section 404 permit

from the US Armey Corps of Engineers to cross those tracts along the pipeline route designated

as wetlands, as well as the approval of the Louisiana Department of Transportation and

Development to cross beneath US Highway 165 and LA Highway 847.

Pursuant to LAC 33:III.503, Strategic Biofuels (through its subsidiary Louisiana Green Fuels) has

filed an application with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) and is

awaiting issuance of an Air Permit (Minor Source) related to the planned operations of the CO<sub>2</sub>-

generating renewable fuels facility. The Air Permit is not related to carbon capture and

sequestration operations.

Construction of the renewable fuels' facility will require several Section 404 permits from the US

Army Corps of Engineers where wetlands are encountered at the facility site.

A draft Environmental Assessment (EA) of the site of the renewable fuels plant associated with

this Class VI application, has been completed. An expanded EA covering the planned offsite wells

and pipeline will be completed and submitted for review and acceptance prior to the

commencement of CO<sub>2</sub> injection operations.

Within the AoR, there are two privately-owned residential structures identified to be on the

National Register of Historic Places and subject to the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966,

16 U.S.C. 470 et seq. Synope Plantation House (circa 1857) is located approximately 2300' from

the nearest proposed injection well. Breston Plantation House (circa 1835) is located

approximately 4100' from the nearest proposed injection well and 2600' from the nearest proposed

monitor well. Neither of the sites is assessed to be impacted by the project. In the case of Synope

Plantation House, the current owner sold the adjacent injection well site for that purpose. There

are no other structures or sites within the AoR known or believed to be eligible for listing on the

National Register.

The Ouachita River traverses the AoR. It is not listed as a "wild and scenic" river and is not subject

to the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, 16 U.S.C. 1273 et seq. It is a navigable river controlled and

regulated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers with multiple lock and dam structures, but is not

assessed to be impacted by the planned carbon sequestration operations, with all well sites and

**Strategic Biofuels - Louisiana Green Fuels** 

Class VI Permit Application Narrative - R6- LA- 0003

Page 187 of 204

Revision Date: February 2023

Module A – Project Information Tracking

pipelines located beyond artificial levees. The CO<sub>2</sub>-generating renewable fuels facility itself will

have raw water intake and wastewater discharge operations directly affecting the river (unrelated

to this application) which will require permitting and be subject to regulation by the LDEQ as well

as the US Army Corps of Engineers.

The draft EA has not identified any endangered or threatened species at or near the renewable fuels

facility and no similar identifications are anticipated from the planned expanded EA covering the

Injection Wells and pipeline routes located offsite to the renewable fuels facility that may be

subject to the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.

The project site is located far inland from the Gulf of Mexico and is not within an area subject to

the Coastal Zone Management Act, 16 U.S.C. 1451 et seq.

No additional information or documents have been requested by the UIC Program Director to date

for this Class VI Permit Application for the Louisiana Green Fuels, Port of Columbia Facility in

Caldwell Parish.

However, Strategic Biofuels has performed an initial assessment using the Environmental Justice

Screening and Mapping Tool (EJScreen Tool) in February 2023. Reports applicable to the project

are contained in Appendix E to this Project Narrative.

## **REFERENCES**

- Adams, R.L. and Carr, J.P., 2010, Regional depositional systems of the Woodbine, Eagle Ford, and Tuscaloosa of the U.S. Gulf Coast, Gulf Coast Association of geological Societies Transactions, v. 60, p. 3-27
- Adkins, W. S., 1933, The Mesozoic systems in Texas, The geology of Texas; v. 1, Stratigraphy; University of Texas Bulletin 3232 p. 239-517
- Archie, G. E., 1942, The electrical resistivity log as an aid in determining some reservoir characteristics, Petroleum Transactions of the AIME, v. 146, p. 54-62.
- Aronow, S. and Wesselman, J. B., 1971, Groundwater Resources of Chambers and Jefferson Counties, Texas: Texas Water Development Board Report No. 133.
- Aumman, H. H., 1966, Experimental study of the effect of stress on the creep in shales: Exxon Production Research Report, (private communication to R. E. Collins, DuPont consultant)
- Baria, L. R., Stoudt, D. L., Victoria, P. M. and Crevello, P. D., 1982, Upper Jurassic reefs of Smackover Formation, United States Gulf Coast: Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geologists Bull., v. 66, n. 10, p. 1449-1482.
- Bentley, C. B., 1983, Preliminary Report of the Geohydrology Near Cypress Creek and Richton Salt Domes, Perry County, Mississippi: Water-Resources Investigations Report 83-4169, U. S. G. S., Jackson, MS.
- Berg, R. B. and Cook, B. C., 1968, Petrography and origin of Lower Tuscaloosa sandstones, Mallalieu Field, Lincoln County, Mississippi: Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions, v. 18, 242-255.
- Bethke, C. M., Harrison, W. J., Upson, C. and Altaner, S. P., 1988, Super computer analysis of sedimentary basins: Science, v. 239, Washington, D. C.
- Bornhauser, M., 1960, Depositional and structural history of Northeast Hartburg field, Newton County, Texas: AAPG Bulletin, v. 44, no. 4, p. 458-470

- Bowden R K, Curran J H, 1984. Time-dependent behaviour of joints in Shale. In: Dowding C H, Singh M M (eds). Rock mechanics in productivity and production: proceedings of the 25th U.S. Symposium on Rock Mechanics, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, 25–27 June 1984. Littleton: Society of Mining Engineers, pp 320–327.
- Bredehoeft, J.D., & Pinder, G.F., 1970, Digital Analysis of Areal Flow in Multiaquifer Groundwater Systems: A Quasi Three-Dimensional Model. Water Resources Research, 6, 883-888.
- Brendsdal, A.O.E., 2017, The capacity of creeping shale to form an annular barrier, Master Thesis, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Geoscience and Petroleum.
- Caughey, C. A., 1977, Depositional systems of the Paluxy Formation, The University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology Geological Circular 77–8.
- Chang, C. and Zoback, M.D., 2009, Viscous creep in room-dried unconsolidated Gulf of Mexico shale (I): Experimental results. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 69, 239-246
- Chasteen, H. R., 1983, Reevaluation of the lower Tuscaloosa and Dantzler Formations Mid-Cretaceous with emphasis on depositional environments and time-stratigraphic relationships: Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions, v. 33, 31-40
- Chen-Charpentier, B. and Herrera I.R., 1982, Numerical Treatment of Leaky Aquifers in the Short Time Range. Water Resources Research. 18. 557-562
- Clark, J. E., 1987, Groundwater flow in deep saline aquifers: Special Session on the Hydrologic and Geochemical Processes Involved in Deep Injection of Liquid Wastes, Groundwater Committee of the American Geophysical Union and the International Association of Hydrogeologists, Baltimore, Maryland.
- Clark, J. E., Howard, M. R., and Sparks, D. K., 1987, Factors that can Cause Abandoned Wells to Leak as Verified by Case Histories from Class II injection, Texas Railroad Commission files: International Symposium on Subsurface Injection of Oilfield Brines, Underground Injection Practices Council, New Orleans, LA., p. 166-223.

- Clark, J. E., 1988, Groundwater flow in deep saline aquifers: Special Session on the Hydrologic and Geochemical Processes Involved in Deep Injection of Liquid Wastes, Groundwater Committee of the American Geophysical Union and the International Association of Hydrogeologists, Baltimore, Maryland.
- Clark, W. J., 1995, Depositional environments, diagenesis and porosity of Upper Cretaceous volcanic-rich Tokio sandstone reservoirs, Haynesville field, Claiborne Parish Louisiana: Transactions—Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies, v. 45, p. 127–134.
- Clark J. E., Bonura, D. K., Papadeas, P. W., McGowen, R., 2005, Gulf Coast Borehole-Closure-Test Well Near Orange, Texas: Developments in Water Science, v. 52, p. 157-166.
- Clifford, H. J., 1973, Hydrodynamics of the Mt. Simon Sandstone, Ohio and Adjacent Areas: in Underground Waste Management and Artificial Recharge Vol. 1, American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Tulsa, OK.
- Clifford, H. J., 1975, Subsurface Liquid Waste Injection in Ohio: Ohio Geological Survey Information Circ., n. 43.
- Collier, A.L and Sargent, B.P., 2015, Water use in Louisiana 2015, Department of Transportation and Development, Water Resources Special Report No. 18
- Collins, R. E., 1986, Potential Breaches in the Confining Layer Near Injections Wells on the Gulf Coastal Plain. Report to E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. Inc.
- Davis, K. E., 1986, Factors Effecting the Area of Review for Hazardous Waste Disposal Wells: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Subsurface Injection of Liquid Wastes, New Orleans, National Water Well Association, Dublin, OH, p. 148-194.
- Davis, S. D., Pennington, W. D. and Carlson, S. M., 1987, A compendium of earthquake activity in Texas: Bureau of Economic Geology Circular, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, in press.
- Devery, D. M., 1982, Subsurface Cretaceous strata of Mississippi: Mississippi Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Geology and Energy Resources Information Series, v. 82, no. 1, p. 1–24

- Dockery, D. T., 1977, Mollusca of the Moodys Branch Formation, Mississippi. Mississippi Geological, Economic and Topographical Survey, Bulletin 120.
- Eaton, B. A., 1969, Fracture Gradient Prediction And its Application in Oilfield Operations, Journal of Petroleum Technology, volume 21: 1353 1360.
- Ellsworth, W.L., 2013, Injection-induced earthquakes: Science, v.341, p. 6142-6148
- Esker, G. C., 1968, Biostratigraphy of the Cretaceous-Tertiary Boundary in the East Texas Embayment Based on Planktonic Foraminifera, LSU Historical Dissertations and These. 1484.
- Everett, A. G., Anderson, J. J. and Shakoor, A., 1986, Factors Affecting the Integrity of Confining Beds for Injected Waste Reservoirs: A Review: Underground Injection Committee Modeling Work Group, Chemical Manufacturers Association, Inc., Vol. II, pp 1-60.
- Eversull, L.G., 1984, Regional Cross Sections North Louisiana, Louisiana Geological Survey, Folio Series No. 7
- Ewing, T. E. and Lopez, J. A., 1991, Principal structural features, Gulf of Mexico basin: in A. Salvador, ed., The Gulf of Mexico Basin: The Geological Society of America, The Geology of North America, v. J., plate 2, 1 sheet.
- Ewing, T. E., 2009, The Ups and Downs of the Sabine Uplift and Northern Gulf of Mexico Basin; Jurassic Basement Blocks, Cretaceous Therman Upfits and Cenozoic Flexure: Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions, v. 59, p. 253-269
- Ewing, T. E. and Galloway, W. E., 2019, Evolution of the Northern Gulf of Mexico Sedimentary Basin, in Miall, A. D., ed., Sedimentary Basins of the United States and Canada, Second Edition: The Netherlands, Elsevier, p. 627-694
- Fjær, E., Holt, R. M., Raaen, A., 2008, Chapter 1 Elasticity: Petroleum Related Rock Mechanics, Second Edition: The Netherlands, Elsevier, p. 1-54
- Fogg, G. E. and Kreitler, C. W., 1982, Groundwater hydraulics and hydrochemical facies in Eocene aquifers of the East Texas Basin: University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology Report of Investigations No. 127, 75 p.

- Fogg, G. E., Seni, S. J. and Kreitler, C. W., 1983, Three-dimensional ground-water modeling in depositional systems, Wilcox Group, Oakwood Salt Dome area, East Texas: Texas Bureau of Economic Geology Report of Investigations 133, Austin, 55 p.
- Foote, R.Q., 1984, Open-File Report Vol. 1984 (84-339), Summary report on the regional geology, petroleum potential, environmental consideration for development, and estimates of undiscovered recoverable oil and gas resources of the United States Gulf of Mexico Continental Margin in the area of proposed oil and gas lease sales nos. 81 and 84. US Geological Survey Open-File Report 84-339, p. 1-193.
- Forgotson, J. M., 1963, Depositional history and paleotectonic framework of Comanchean Cretaceous Trinity stage, Gulf Coast area: AAPG Bulletin, v. 47, no. 1, p. 69-103.
- Frohlich, C., Potter, E., Hayward, C., and Stump, B., 2010, Dallas-Fort Worth earthquakes coincident with activity associated with natural gas production: The Leading Edge, v. 29, p. 270-275.
- Galloway, W. E., 1968, Depositional systems of the lower Wilcox Group, north-central Gulf Coast Basin: Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions, v. 18, p. 275–289.
- Galloway, W. E., Hobday, D. K. and Magara, K., 1982(a), Frio Formation of the Texas Gulf of Mexico Basin-depositional systems, structural framework and hydrocarbon origin, migration, distribution, and exploration potential: Bureau of Economic Geology, Report of Investigations No. 122, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, p. 78.
- Galloway, W. E., Henry, C. G. and Smith, G. E., 1982(b), Depositional framework, hydrostratigraphy and uranium mineralization of the Oakville sandstone Miocene Texas Coastal Plain: Bureau of Economic Geology, Report of Investigations No. 113, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, 59 p.
- Galloway, W. E., 1985, Depositional framework of the lower Miocene (Fleming) episode Northwest Gulf Coast Basin: Gulf Coast Association of Geologists Society Transactions, v. 35, pp. 67-73.

- Galloway, W. E., 2008, Depositional evolution of the Gulf of Mexico sedimentary basin, in Hsü, K. J., ed., Sedimentary basins of the world, Volume 5, The sedimentary basins of the United States and Canada, Miall, A. D., ed.: The Netherlands, Elsevier, p. 505–549.
- Galloway, W. E., Ganey-Curry, P. E., Li, X. and Buffler, R. T., 2000, Cenozoic depositional history of the Gulf of Mexico basin: AAPG Bulletin, v. 84, no. 11, p. 1743-1774.
- Galloway, W. E., Whiteaker, T. L. and Ganey-Curry, P., 2011, History if Cenozoic North American Drainage basin evolution, sediment yield and accumulation in the Gulf of Mexico Basin, Geosphere, August 211; v. 7; no. 4; p. 938-
- Granata, W.H., 1963, Cretaceous stratigraphy and structural development of the Sabine Uplift Area, Texas and Louisiana: Reference Report of the Shreveport Geological Society, v. 5, p. 50-96.
- Gray, G. R., H. C. H. Darley, and W. F. Rodgers, 1980, Composition and Properties of Oil Well Drilling Fluids: Gulf Publishing Company, Houston, Texas.
- Gunter, W., Perkins, E. and McCann, T., 1993, Aquifer disposal of CO<sub>2</sub>-rich gases: Reaction design for added capacity: Energy Conversion and Management. 34. 941-948.
- Han, H. X., 2021, Effects of transient borehole deformation on rock stress and rock properties analysis: University of Waterloo Doctoral Thesis
- Hantush, M. S., 1964, Hydraulics of Wells: Advances in Hydroscience, Vol. 1, Ed.: V. T. Chow, Academic Press, New York, pp. 281–432.
- Hart, B. S., Flemings, P. B., & Deshpande, A., 1995, Porosity and pressure: Role of compaction disequilibrium in the development of geopressures in a Gulf Coast Pleistocene basin. *Geology*, 23(1), 45-48.
- Hearne, J. H. and Lock, B. E., 1985, Diagenesis of the Lower Tuscaloosa as seen in the du Pont de Nemours No. 1 Lester Earnest, Harrison County, Mississippi: Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions, v 35, 387-393.
- Hiland, P., 2010, Memo to Brian W. Sheron Director Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Subject: Safety/Risk Assessment results for the Generic Issue 199, "Implications of

- Updated Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Estimated in Central and Eastern United States on Existing Plants.: see also US Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- Holt, R.M., Larsen, I., Fjær, E. and Stenebraten, J.F., 2020, Comparing mechanical and ultrasonic behaviour of a brittle and a ductile shale: Relevance to prediction of borehole stability and verification of shale barriers, J. of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 187, 106746.
- Horton, S., 2012, Disposal of hyrofracking waste fluid by injection into subsurface aquifers triggers earthquake swarm in central Arkansas with potential for damaging earthquake: Seismological Research Letters, v. 83, no. 2, p. 250-260.
- Hosford, W. F., 2005, Mechanical Behavior of Materials. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Hoshino, K., Koide, H., Inami, K., Iwamura, S., Mitsui, S., 1972, Mechanical properties of Japanese Tertiary sedimentary rocks under high confining pressures: Geological Survey of Japan Report 244, 200 p.
- Hosman, R.L., Long, A.T., Lambert, T.W., and others, 1968, Tertiary aquifers in the Mississippi embayment, with discussions of quality of the water, by Jeffery, H.G.: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 448-D, 29 p.
- Hosman, R. L., 1996, Regional Stratigraphy and subsurface geology of Cenozoic deposits. Gulf Coastal Plain, South-Central United States: U. S. Geological Survey professional paper; 1416-G
- Hovorka, S. D. and Nance, H. S., 1994, Dynamic depositional and early diagenetic processes in a deep-water shelf setting, Upper Cretaceous Austin Chalk, north Texas: Transactions—Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies, v. 44, p. 269–276.
- Hovorka, S.D., Tutton, P., and Trevino, R.H., 2018, Feasibility study of CO<sub>2</sub> storage in saline formations in the region of the planned Lake Charles Methanol Plant, Final Report, Gulf Coast Carbon Center, Bureau of Economic Geology, Jackson School of Geosciences, The University of Texas at Austin, July 30, 2018.
- Iler, R. K., 1979, The Chemistry of Silica: New York, Wiley and Sons, pp. 34-40.

- Jackson, M. P. A. and Galloway, W. E., 1984, Structural and depositional styles of Gulf Coast Tertiary continental margins: Application to hydrocarbon exploration: Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geologists, Continuing Education Course Note Series No. 25, p. 147, 215.
- Jaeger, J.C., Cook, N.G., and Zimmerman, R.W., 2007, Fundamentals of Rock Mechanics, 4<sup>th</sup> edition. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, pp 197-204
- John, C.J., Jones, B.L., Moncrief, J.E., Bourgeois, R., Harder, B.J., 1997. An Unproven Unconventional Seven Billion Barrel Oil Resource-The Tuscaloosa Marine Shale. BRI Bulletin. V. 7, pp. 1-22
- Johnston, O. C. and Greene, C. J., 1979, Investigation of Artificial Penetrations in the Vicinity of Subsurface Disposal Wells: Texas Department of Water Resources.
- Johnston, O. C., and Knape, B. K., 1986, Pressure effects of the static mud column in abandoned wells: Texas Water Commission LP 86-06, 106 p.
- Jones, T. A. and Haimson, J. S., 1986, Demonstration of Confinement: An Assessment of Class 1 Wells in the Great Lakes and Gulf Coast Regions: Journal of the Underground Injection Practices Council, Number 1, pp. 279-317.
- Kaszuba, J.P., Janecky, D.R. and Snow, M., 2005, Experimental evaluation of mixed fluid reactions between supercritical carbon dioxide and a NaCl brine: relevance to the integrity of a geologic carbon repository. Chemical Geology, vol 217, p 277-293
- Kharaka Y.K., Cole D.R., Hovorka S.D., Gunter W.D., Knauss K.G., Freifeld B.M. (2006) Gas—water–rock interactions in Frio Formation following CO<sub>2</sub> injection: implications for the storage of greenhouse gases in sedimentary basins. Geology 34(7):577–580
- Kidwell, A. L., 1951, Mesozoic igneous activity in the northern Gulf Coastal Plain: GCAGS Trans., v. 1, p. 182-199.
- Kose, S. G., 2013, Crustal Architecture, Cretaceous Rise and Igneous Activity of Sabine, Monroe and Jackson Uplifts, Northern Gulf of Mexico Basin, Master's Thesis, University of Houston

- Kreitler, C. W., 1986, Hydrogeology of sedimentary basins as it relates to deep-well injection of chemical wastes, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Subsurface Injection of Liquid Wastes, New Orleans, National Water Well Assoc., Dublin, Ohio, pp. 398-416.
- Kreitler, C. W., Akhter, M. S., Donnelly, A. C. A. and Wood, W. T., 1988, Hydrogeology of formations used for deep-well injection, Texas Gulf Coast: Prepared for the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency under Cooperative Agreement ID No. CR812786-01-0, The University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology Austin, Texas, 215 p.
- Kristiansen, T.G., Dyngeland, T., Kinn, S., Flatebø, R. and Aarseth, N.A., 2018, Activating shale to form well barriers: Theory and field examples, SPE-191607-MS.
- Lawless, P. N. and Hart, G. F., 1990, The LaSalle Arch and its Effects on Lower Paleogene Genetic Sequence Stratigraphy, Nebo-Hemphill Field, LaSalle Parish, Louisiana. Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions, v. 40, p. 459-473
- LBNL, 2014, Final report on experimental and numerical modeling activities for the Newark Basin: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley California, 130 p.
- Ledger, E. B., Tieh, T. T. and Rowe, M. W., 1984, An evaluation of the Catahoula Formation as a uranium source rock in East Texas: Gulf Coast Association of Geologists Society. Transactions, v. 34, pp. 99-108.
- Leeds and Associates, 1989, Seismic Effects/DuPont DeLisle Plant, Mississippi.
- Leeds and Associates, 1989, Seismic Effects/DuPont Sabine River Works, DuPont Sabine River Work.
- Loizzo, M., Lecampion B., Mogilevskaya S., 2017, The role of geological barriers in achieving robust well integrity. Energy Procedia. Pp. 5193-5205
- Lowry, P., 1988, Stratigraphic Framework and Sedimentary Facies of a Clastic Shelf Margin: Wilcox Group Paleocene-Eocene, Central Louisiana; Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College, PhD Dissertation
- Lund Snee, JE., Zoback, M.D., 2020(b), Multiscale variations of the crustal stress field throughout North America. *Nat Commun* **11**, 1951 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15841-5

- Mancini, E. A., Mink, R. M., Bearden, B. L. and Wilkerson, R. P., 1985, Norphlet Formation Upper Jurassic of southwestern and offshore Alabama: environments of deposition and petroleum geology: Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geologists Bull., v. 69, n. 6, p. 881-889.
- Mancini, E. A., Mink, R. M., Payton, J. W. and Bearden, B. L., 1987, Environments of deposition and petroleum geology of Tuscaloosa Group Upper Cretaceous, South Carlton and Pollard Fields, Southwestern Alabama: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 71, 1128-1142.
- Martin, A. and Whiteman, C.D., 1985, Geohydrology and regional groundwater flow of the coastal lowlands aquifer system in parts of Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and Florida a preliminary analysis, U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 88-4100
- Martin, A. T., 2014, Depositional History and Stratigraphic Framework of Upper Cretaceous Campanian to Maastrichtian Strata in the Minerva-Rockdale Oil Field of Milam County and Adjacent Counties, Texas, Graduate Theses and Dissertations, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville
- Matthews, A. C., Weed, S. B., Coleman, N. T.1955, The Effect of Acid and Heat Treatment on Montmorillonite: National Academy of Sciences, Washington DC.
- Matthews, W. R. and Kelly, J., 1967, How to predict formation pressure and fracture gradient from electric and sonic logs: The Oil and Gas Journal, Figure 3, ADT 21
- McGee, B.D. and Brantly, J.A., 2015, Potentiometric surface, 2012, and water-level differences, 2005–12, of the Sparta Aquifer in north-central Louisiana: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3313, 2 sheets
- Meckel, T., and Trevino, R., 2014, Gulf of Mexico Miocene CO<sub>2</sub> Site Characterization Mega Transect: Bureau of Economic Geology, Jackson School of Geosciences, The University of Texas at Austin.
- Meckel, T.A., Nicholson, A.J., and Trevino, R.H., 2017, Capillary Aspects of Fault-Seal Capacity for CO<sub>2</sub> Storage, Lower Miocene, Texas Gulf of Mexico", in Geological CO<sub>2</sub> Sequestration

- Atlas of Miocene Strata, Offshore Texas State Waters, Bureau of Economic Geology, 2017.
- Miller, C., Hales C., Clark, J. E. and Collins, G., 1989, Density drive flow near salt domes: Underground Injection Practices Council Winter Meeting, San Antonio, Texas
- Murray, 1957, Hydrocarbons in Gulf Coastal Province of the United States: Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies, Transactions, Vol. VII, and p. 254.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2019, Sequestration of Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> in Deep Sedimentary Geological Formations; Synthesis in Negative Emissions Technologies and Reliable Sequestration: A Research Agenda, Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. pp. 319 401
- Nealon, D. J., 1982, A Hydrological Simulation of Hazardous Waste Injection in Mt. Simon, Ohio: Ohio State University, Master's Thesis, 279 p.
- Neuman, S. P. and Witherspoon, P. A., 1969a, Theory of flow in a confined two aquifer system, Water Resources. Res., 5, 4, 803-816.
- Neuzil, C. E., 1986, Groundwater flow in low permeability environments: Water Resources Research, v. 22, no. 8, p. 1163-1195
- Nicholson, A., J., 2012, Empirical Analysis of Fault Seal Capacity for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration, Lower Miocene, Texas Gulf Coast: Master's Thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, 100 p.
- Nunnally, J.D. and Fowler, H.F., 1954, Lower Cretaceous Stratigraphy of Mississippi; Mississippi Geological Survey, Bulletin 79, 45 p.
- Ohio Department of Natural Resources, 2012, Executive Summary: Preliminary report on the Northstar I Class II injection well and the seismic events in the Youngstown, Ohio area: State Report, p 1- 23, Columbus, Ohio.
- Ostermeier, R. M. 2001, Compaction effects on porosity and permeability: Deepwater Gulf of Mexico turbidite. Journal of Petroleum Technology, 53(02), 68-74.
- Paine, W. R., 1968, Stratigraphy and sedimentation of subsurface Hackberry wedge and associated beds of southwestern Louisiana: AAPG Bulletin, v. 52, no. 2, p. 322-342

- Paine, W.R., and Meyerhoff, A.A, 1968, Catahoula Formation of western Louisiana and thinsection criteria for fluviatile depositional environment, Journal of Sedimentary Research, v.38(1), p. 92-113
- Pair, Jessica D., 2017, The Tuscaloosa Marine Shale: Geologic History, Depositional Analysis, and Exploration Potential. Electronic Theses and Dissertations. 68.
- Pan, P., Wu, Z., Feng, X. and Yan, F., 2016, Geomechanical modeling of CO<sub>2</sub> geological storage: A review. Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, 8, p. 936-947
- Paulson Jr, O. L. 1972, Various factors influence Wilcox deposits in the Golden Triangle. Oil Gas Journal.; United States, vol. 70(25), p 86
- Payne, J. N., 1968, Hydrologic significance of the lithofacies of the Sparta Sand in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas: USGS Professional Paper 569-A, p. 17
- Payne, J. N., 1972, Hydrogeologic significance of lithofacies of the Cane River Formation or equivalents of Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas: Geological Survey Professional Paper 569-C, 17 p
- Poulsen, S. E., Nielsen, S. B., Balling, N., 2012, Estimating the equilibrium formation temperature in the presence of bore fluid invasion, Geophysical Journal International, vol. 190, Issue 3, pp. 1551–1561.
- Prakken, L., and White, V. 2014, Water Resources of Caldwell Parish, Louisiana: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2014-3044, 6p.
- Rainwater, E. H., 1964, Regional stratigraphy of the Gulf Coast Miocene: GCAGS Trans., v. 14, p. 81-124.
- Rainwater, E. H., 1964(a), Regional Stratigraphy of the Midway and Wilcox in Mississippi: Mississippi Geological Economic and Topographical Survey, Bulletin No. 102, 9-31 pp.
- Rollo, J.R., 1960, Ground water in Louisiana: Louisiana Department of Conservation and Department of Public Works, Water Resources Bulletin 1, 84 p
- Ryals, G.N., 1984, Regional geohydrology of the northern Louisiana salt dome basin, part II, geohydrology maps of the tertiary aquifers and related confining layers; U.S. Geological Survay, Water-Resources Investigations Report 83-4135

- Salvador, A., 1991, Triassic–Jurassic, in A. Salvador, ed., The Gulf of Mexico basin: Boulder, Colorado, Geological Society of America, The Geology of North America, v. J, p. 131–180.
- Schlumberger, 1987, Log Interpretation Principles/Applications: Schlumberger Educational Services, Houston, Texas, 198 p.
- Schlumberger, 1988, Archie's Law: Electrical conduction in clean, water-bearing rock: The Technical Review, v. 36, n. 3, Schlumberger Educational Services, Houston, Texas, p. 4-13.
- Shirley, K., 1987, Valuable tool for Tuscaloosa; trend spins seismic success story: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Explorer, v. 8, no. 10, p. 14,25.
- Simandoux, P., 1963, Dielectric measurements of porous media: application to measurement of water saturations, study of the behavior of argillaceous formations: Revue de L'Institut Français du Petrole 1B, Supplementary Issue, p. 193-215.
- Skoumal, R. J., Ries, R., Brudzinski, M., Barbour, A., & Currie, B. 2018, Earthquakes induced by hydraulic fracturing are pervasive in Oklahoma. Journal of Geophysical Research, 123, pp. 10,918–10,935.
- Skoumal, R. J., Kaven J. O., Barbour A. J., Wicks, C., Brudzinski M. R., Cochran E. S., Rubinstein, J. L., 2021, The Induced Mw 5. 0 March 2020 West Texas Seismic Sequence: JGR Solid Earth, Volume 126, Issue 1, p. 17.
- Slaughter, G.M., 1981, Analysis of ground-water flow times near seven salt domes in the Gulf Interior Region: Prepared by Law Engineering Testing Company for Battelle Memorial Institute, Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation, 88 p
- Sone, H. and Zoback, M., 2013, Mechanical properties of shale-gas reservoir rocks Part 2: Ductile creep, brittle strength, and their relation to the elastic modulus. Geophysics, Vol. 78, No. 5 (September-October 2013); p. D393–D402.
- Spooner, H. V., 1964, Basal Tuscaloosa sediments, east-central Louisiana: American Association of Petroleum Geologist Bulletin, v. 48, p. 1-21

- Stancliffe, R. J. and Adams, R. E. 1986, Lower Tuscaloosa fluvial channel styles at Liberty Field, Amite County, Mississippi: Transactions Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies., v. 36, 305-313
- Stevenson, D., and Agnew J. D., 1988, Lake Charles, Louisiana, Earthquake of 16 October 1983: Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, Vol. 78, No. 4, pp. 1463-1474
- Stolper, K., 1994, Calculate a More Accurate Water Salinity by Visually Estimating "m": Houston Geological Society Bulletin, September 1994, p. 34.
- Talman, S., 2015, Subsurface geochemical fate and effects of impurities contained in a CO<sub>2</sub> stream injected into a deep saline aquifer: What is known: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 40, p. 267 291.
- Todd, E. G. and Mitchum, R. M., 1977, Seismic sequences and global changes in sea level, part 8: Identification of Upper Triassic, Jurassic, and Lower Cretaceous seismic sequences in Gulf of Mexico and offshore West Africa: in Seismic Stratigraphy – Applications to Hydrocarbon Exploration, Payton, C. E., ed., American Association of Petroleum Geologists Memoir 26, p. 145-163.
- Turner, R., 1964, Kinetic studies of Acid Dissolution of Montmorillonite and Kaolinite: Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Calif. Davis, p. 140.
- Vail, P. R., Mitchum, R. M. and Thompson, S., 1977, Seismic stratigraphy and global changes in sea-level, Part 4: global cycles of relative changes in sea-level, in Seismic Stratigraphy-Applications to Hydrocarbon Exploration, Payton, C. E., ed., American Association of Petroleum Geologists Memoir 26, pp. 83-98.
- Walkinshaw, S., 2020, The Lower Smackover Brown Dense Limestone: Its Potential as a Hybrid Unconventional Resource Play, extended abstract and oral presentation, 2020 GeoGulf Convention (October 2020), Lafayette, Louisiana
- Warner, D. L., 1988, Abandoned oil and gas industry wells and their environmental implications:

  Underground Injection Practices Council, 1988 Summer Meeting; prepared for the

  American Petroleum Institute, pp. 69-90.

- Warner, D. L. and Syed, T., 1986, Confining layer study-supplemental report: prepared for U. S. EPA Region V, Chicago, Illinois.
- Weingarten, M., Ge S., Godt, J. W., Bekins B. A. and Rubinstein, J. L., 2015, High-rate injection is associated with the increase in U. S. mid-continent seismicity: Science vol. 348, Issue 6241, pp. 1336-1340.
- Wesson, R. L., and Nicholson, C., 1987, Earthquake hazard associated with deep well injection: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 87-331, p. 108
- Whitfield, M.S., Jr., 1975, Geohydrology and water quality of the Mississippi River alluvial aquifer, northeastern Louisiana: Louisiana Department of Public Works Water Resources Technical Report no. 10, 29 p.
- Williams S., Carlsen T., Constable K., Guldahl A., 2009, Identification and qualification of shale annular barriers using wireline logs, presentation for SPE/IADC Drilling Conference and Exhibition, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, p. 20.
- Wood, D. H. and Guevara, E. H., 1981, Regional Structural cross sections and general stratigraphy, East Texas basin: University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology, 21 p.
- Zhang, J. and Roegiers, J. C., 2010, Integrating borehole-breakout dimensions, strength criteria and leak-off test results, to constrain the state of stress across the Chelungpu Fault, Taiwan. Tectonophysics, Volume 492, Issues 1-4, p. 295–298.
- Zoback, M. L. and Zoback, M., 1980, State of Stress in the Conterminous United States. Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 85, no. B11, pp. 6113-6156